

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Study on the impacts of radioactive radiation on female's health: A brief review report

Biswajit Das

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Physics & Techno physics, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore – 721102, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT

Radioactive radiation is a part of natural background radiation. Every object in earth is continuously exposed by this radiation. Knowledge about the health risks associated to radioactivity is important to protect our lives and to review the protective guidelines. Generally, the risks of incidence of some health effects including cancers are somewhat higher for females than males. To observe the present status of the radioactivity-induced diseases in females, the present study reviewed briefly the occurrence of some health problems on females. It is found that the female's organs are more sensitive to radioactive radiation than males for causing acute health diseases including cancers. This study cannot find a definite answer regarding the reason of increased harmful effects on women's health due to radioactive radiations. The developing tissues are more sensitive to ionizing radiations; therefore, the effective damages in these tissues may appear quickly than others. Additionally, hormonal differences between women and men may have some impact on the enhanced radio sensitivity to women. Other unknown influencing factors related to human biology may greatly present in females than males, and thereby some of these factors may also be assumed to be responsible for occurrence of some harmful effects significantly in females. Statistical data concerning the different health risks to females who are unintentionally exposed by natural environmental radioactivity are lacking. More systematic studies are required in future to elucidate the gender dependent radio sensitivity from fetus age to old age, and to ensure the people of different ages are equally protected from radioactivity.

KEYWORDS: Radioactivity. Modes of radiation exposures. Radioactivity-induced diseases. Female's health status.

***Corresponding author**

Biswajit Das

Assistant Professor,

Department of Physics & Technophysics,

Vidyasagar University, Midnapore – 721102,

West Bengal, India.

e-mail address: biswajitdass100@yahoo.com

Mobile number: +91-9474751320

INTRODUCTION

Natural background radiation occurs at varying intensities all over the environment. There is nowhere place and object including human body on the earth that one can get away from the exposure to background radiation. The natural background radiation includes cosmic radiations, primordial radiations, and radiations from cosmogenic radioactivity¹. Cosmic radiation is the earliest source and emerges at the formation of the universe. This radiation is the collective of the high-energetic particles that originated from the sun and from outside the solar system¹¹. Primordial radionuclides created with the formation of the earth, and these are ²³⁸U, ²³²Th radionuclides and their corresponding decay progenies, and also natural ⁴⁰K radioisotope². The third source is the cosmogenic radioactivity resulting from the interactions of the cosmic radiation with the atmosphere in a continuous manner. The cosmogenic radionuclides are mainly ³H, ⁷Be and ¹⁴C.³ Occasionally, people are exposed by another type of radiations that emitted from several anthropogenic sources, such as fallout from accidental releases, debris from weapons tests, and releases from the nuclear reactors and fuel cycle¹.

Among the above mentioned radiations, the radioactive radiation is a type of ionizing radiation (IR), and it is emitted from a radionuclide which is an energetically unstable nuclide. Effect of the radioactive radiations is a complete carcinogen for human population, because they can cause significant biological effects on the human body and weaken the body genetic materials. The natural radionuclides of the decay series (mainly, ²³⁸U and ²³²Th decay series) are ubiquitous in the earth's crust; and ⁴⁰K, ¹⁴C and other radionuclides are present in living cells themselves¹⁸. On the other hand, artificial or human-made radioisotopes such as ¹³⁴Cs, ¹³⁷Cs, ⁹⁰Sr and ¹³¹I are generated by a number of human activities⁴, which are atmospheric nuclear weapons testing, nuclear reactor, non-nuclear industries such as building materials and fertilizers industries, particle accelerators, materials used in medical exposures, and occupational exposures^{3, 5, 41}. So, the common people is continuously exposed by the radioactive radiations with varying intensities directly or/and indirectly.

Air, water, and soil are the basic indicators of the environmental radioactivity, because they act as the sources of transfer of radionuclides into the human body through the air-plant transfer process¹⁴ and soil-plant transfer process¹³. When the radioactive materials are entered into the human body, the emitted radiations, mainly alpha and beta radiations can interact with the atoms or molecules of the body cells, and gamma rays can easily pass through the entire body without interacting. However, ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) reported that the ionizing radiations such as alpha and beta particles, and gamma rays can directly and indirectly alter the normal structure of living cells¹⁹. Uranium is an alpha emitter; it has both chemical and

radiological toxicities and affects mostly kidney and lungs⁵⁵. Beta emitters such as ⁹⁰Sr, can accumulate in the bones and increases risks to humans⁷⁸. Alpha particles have strong ionizing power so that they have larger ability to cause double strand breaks of DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid)⁷⁴, and can disrupt the DNA inside cells, which leads to cell damage and cell death⁶¹. The DNA chromosome damage by alpha particle radiation is 100 times more than by exposure of DNA to other types of radiation¹². If double strand breaks are not repaired by the natural biological process of human body, it may lead to increased frequency of chromosomal aberrations, mutations and carcinogenesis⁴¹.

The radio sensitivity of different human subpopulations is influenced by different demographic factors which are sex, age, genetic susceptibility, co-morbidities, and a variety of other lifestyle factors⁴⁷. A very less attention has been found in literatures regarding the influence of gender differences on radioensitivity to human. ICRP has based its recommendations on a population average, rather than the data on the radio sensitivity of different subpopulations⁴⁷. The report on biological effects of ionizing radiation (BEIR)⁶, emphasized that the risk of suffering and dying from radiation-induced cancer is greater for women than for men, even for the exposure to the same dose of radiation to them⁴⁷. It is observed that female breasts are more sensitive to ionizing radiation, while men can develop breast cancer is extremely rare with an incidence about 0.01% of the female's breast cancer⁶⁶.

Therefore, increasing attention has been paid on the effects of radioactive radiation on female's health, because the female reproductive health is one of the great significance for familial and social harmony¹⁷. Blot and Sawada referred an article, where it was mentioned that the Nagasaki women who were pregnant at the time of atomic bomb explosion, the excessive fetal loss and infant mortality were found among those women who were heavily exposed by the radiations resulting from the explosion⁶⁷. So, it can be expected that girls who have been exposed unintentionally by the environmental radioactive radiation for a long-time period, they may survive later from various radioactivity-induced diseases, e.g., the high risk of ovarian failure, which results in future in the increase of infertility, occurrence of stillbirth and low birth weight among offspring.

Humanocyte, a female gametocyte or germ cell, is exquisitely sensitive to ionizing radiation^{9, 17}. When the radioactive materials are entered into a pregnant woman, these are absorbed into her bloodstream and may then transport from the mother's blood to the fetus or baby through the umbilical cord, or even concentrate in nearby areas of the mother's womb such as the urinary bladder. Finally the fetus or baby is exposed by the radiations emitted from the transported radioactive materials; this was concluded by ICRP⁴². The exposure of a fetus to radiation, called prenatal radiation exposure, can also occur when the mother's abdomen is exposed to the external radiation. Radiation exposure before birth can increase a person's risk of getting cancer later in life⁷⁵. So,

exposure of the embryos or fetuses to radioactive radiation is of immense concern for radiological protection and health. Additionally, the magnitude and type of risks associated with radiosensitivities to the children and mothers must be known to prevent the health consequences of exposure to such radiations. Until now, limited number of published articles has been found regarding the health effects on female or women due to the natural radioactive radiations. Reflections on the impact of advances in the assessment of genetic risks of exposure to ionizing radiation on international radiation protection recommendations were undertaken by Sankaranarayananand Wassom³⁸.

The objective of this study is to comprehensively and systematically review the health diseases of females or women due to radioactivity and to observe the association between the natural radioactive radiation and the female's health conditions at present.

MODES OF EXPOSURE TO RADIOACTIVE RADIATIONS

People are commonly exposed by two principal modes of exposure, namely, internal and external. Another type of exposure is contact exposure, but it is rare.

Internal exposure occurs when the source is present into the human body. Internal exposure due to radioactivity occurs usually as a consequence of the ingested or inhaled radioactive materials through the consumption of air, water, and foods. For example, ¹⁴C is absorbed by vegetation, ⁴⁰K is found in the soil, and traces of uranium, thorium and their descendants can be found into soil, foods, and drinking water⁴⁶. After consumption, different radioactive elements can be deposited in several organs with different amounts depending upon the metabolism of the radionuclides⁵². For example, uranium is deposited in kidney, lungs and soft tissues^{54 – 55}, whereas thorium is deposited in skeletal tissues and liver⁵³. The trace quantities of radon gas, another descendant of uranium, can be entered into lungs through the inhalation process, and its radioactive descendants sometimes fix themselves to bronchi in the lungs and damaging the basal cells of the lungs tissues by exposure to alpha radiation^{9, 30}.

External exposure results from the radiations emitted from those sources which are located apart from the human body. Cosmic rays, radioactivity of rocks, gamma rays, and occasionally X-rays, are mainly included in the external radiations. If the radioactive elements remain fixed outside the body, radioactivity does not provide relevant information as to comparative danger. But, when the radioactive contaminants spread throughout the environment, then it is more concern for human population as well as animals. It is reported that the health consequences of the Chernobyl disaster have shown a significant increase in the risk of thyroid cancer among children and adolescents living near the reactor^{70 – 71}. The increased risk has been definitively linked to the quantities of ¹³¹I, ¹³⁴Cs

and ^{137}Cs isotopes, which were released from accident of the Chernobyl nuclear reactor to the surrounding environments^{18, 76}.

It may be noted that ^{131}I isotope provides an effective illustration of the different risks associated with internal and external exposure. However, the internal exposure is the most harmful than the external as the sources that trapped in the human body can stay there for long time, and working over very small distances inside the body.

EFFECTS OF RADIOACTIVE RADIATIONS ON HUMAN POPULATION

For general public

If the radioactive materials are present in the human body, the emitted radiations from these materials can severely damage the body cells by disrupting the atomic structure of the molecules of the cells. Damaged cells that survive may then induce carcinogenesis or other abnormalities in human organs through gene mutation and chromosome aberration^{56 – 60}. The affected organisms have the ability to compensate the biological damages like loss of cells, if the harm appeared as temporary; otherwise, the cellular damages may lead to increase the possible risk of cancer, particularly in the developing tissues. Unlike cancer, the effects of radiation exposure causing the radiation sickness, which includes symptoms like nausea, vomiting, hair loss, diarrhea, skin burns, hemorrhage, destruction of the intestinal lining, and central nervous system damage. However, the effects of radioactive radiations on human health depend on the time duration of exposure and intensity level of the radiations.

Health effects of human due to exposure to ionizing radiation are generally classified as deterministic and stochastic^{21, 25}.

Deterministic effects are also called non-stochastic effects or tissue reactions. These effects are characterized by a threshold dose, and below the threshold dose there seems to be no risk of the effects to a person^{21 – 22}. These effects can usually appear within a day or after a few weeks if the exposure is taking with high-level for short-time; or, appear after a month or quickly than a year if the exposure is taking with low or high levels and long-time. If dose is high as over 0.5 Gy, the damage is sharply predictable, reproducible, and highly widespread; the severity response of these effects is normally seen with increasing doses of radiation⁴⁸. Deterministic effects are differentiating in two types – the acute radiation sickness and the chronic radiation sickness. Acute radiation effects are early-responding, and occur mainly when the radiation exposure is high-level and short-time. These effects occur just after exposure or within 24 hours, these are curable or controllable. The acute radiation sickness includes nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, headache, fever, hair loss, and the skin and tissue burns¹⁶. On the other hand, the chronic radiation sickness is late-responding and occurs when

the exposure is taking with low or high levels and continues over many years. The chronic radiation effects are dangerous and non-curable, and sometimes reach to chronic level that may lead to death of a large number of cells and may later induce some chronic diseases such as cataract, cancer, genetic mutation, temporary and constant sterility, and instability to conceive baby¹⁶.

Stochastic effects occur when a person received a high dose of radiation. The result of these effects is some of the changes may persist in daughter cells but not killed. These effects occur by chance usually without getting a threshold dose. For low or medium-strength doses radiation exposure, the effects are not as clear-cut and predictable immediately. Their probability of appearance depends on the dose-rate and follows a simple, linear-non-threshold (LNT) model, which is the basis for current radiation protection standards and practices^{7, 23}. The severity does not depend on the magnitude of the absorbed dose. For the same absorbed dose, it is found that the densely ionizing radiations such as alpha particles are more effective in causing biological effects (especially stochastic effects) than gamma rays, X-rays or electrons⁹. The stochastic effects include the somatic stochastic effects and the genetic or hereditary effects. Stochastic effects are the cancer development in the exposed individuals if the mutation occurred in the somatic cells (somatic effect), and are the hereditary diseases in the offspring of individuals exposed if the mutation occurred in the reproductive or germ cells (genetic effect)⁸. Somatic effects are malignant and harms (cancers) for individuals and the exposed individuals suffer during their lifetime. The genetic effects are transmitted throughout the generation. The gene mutation and DNA damage are included in the genetic effects⁷. It is observed that prolonged exposures of the low level radiation can cause acute health effect on the consumers, evidence of an increased cancer risk in humans is available at doses above 100 mSv^{8, 15}.

It is important to remember that not all organs of a human body are equally affected by the ionizing radiations, but the effects are depending on the radiosensitivity of each organ and also the age of the human body. The developing human brain has been shown to be especially sensitive to ionizing radiation⁹. Reproductive organs such as the male gonads are more than 20 times sensitive to skin. The frequency of breast cancer of women increases with age and the radiosensitivity of the breast decreases with age⁹.

For females or women

Many studies have been found that a number of major illnesses in human body are influenced by gender. This holds true for the potential risks associated with exposure to radioactive radiation or other ionizing radiations. Added radiation may increase the health risks to human. National Academy of Sciences (NAS)⁶ report is stunning enough; it finds that harm (cancer) to women caused by radiation is 50% higher than the comparable harm to men from ionizing radiation of same doses. The most common cancers that appeared among men are lung, colorectum, stomach, prostate and

liver cancers; and that appeared among women are breast, lung, cervix, thyroid, colorectum, ovarian and stomach cancers^{26, 70–71}. Effects of radiation can result in the reduced immunity, pregnancy loss, and the infertility in women. Irradiation during gestation of women is associated with some health problems such as functional impairment, and also increased the other diseases such as neurobehavioral abnormalities, heart diseases, birth defects with heart defects, as well as other mutations (both heritable and not), fetal growth disorders, congenital malformations, and malignant diseases in offspring. Possibility of these health problems depends on the gestational age of the fetus at the time of exposure and the amount of radiation it is exposed to.

The developing organism such as prenatal development, which is characterized by intensive cell proliferation, cell differentiation, and cell migration, is highly sensitive to radiation. Fetus with its rapidly dividing cells is particularly sensitive to the radioactive radiation during their early development⁶², between weeks 2 and 18 of pregnancy⁷⁵. When damage is catastrophic to a developing embryo, the spontaneous abortion or miscarriage of a pregnancy may appear.

Risk of the breast and ovarian cancers from radiation is high in case of women, but the radiation causes breast cancer in men is extremely rare^{63 – 64}. UNEP have also reported that girls whose age is under 20 years, they are about twice as likely to induce breast cancer resulting from ionizing radiation than adult women²⁶. Females are also seen to have a higher risk of the radioactivity-induced thyroid cancer than males^{70–71}.

METHODS

This study searched published research articles, review reports, including PubMed database that contain the data or information relevant to the incidence health risks due to the radioactive or other ionizing radiations to human population. This is required for making the present study successfully. Most of these studies are published in different valuable national and international repute journals and books from 1972 to April, 2019. From these studies, the required information and supporting data can be used to obtain the status of the radioactivity-induced diseases or health problems of females at the present time. A few reference lists in the research articles and review articles are also taken to identify the additional relevant publications.

The obtained published studies have been written and published in English and have the sufficient data on the relationship between the radioactive radiations and the health diseases of females.

Global scenario on the radioactivity-induced health effects in female population

In this section, introducing some significant issues in how the radioactivity of different sources induces harmful effects on female population.

A study on the female's breast cancer that was incidence during the period of 1950 – 1969 after the Hiroshima and Nagasaki atomic bomb explosion (9th August, 1945) in Japan was undertaken by McGregor and group⁶⁹, they have been identified 231 cases breast cancer among 63,275 female survivors. They have suggested that carcinogen effect of the neutron and gamma radiations on the breast tissue inducing the breast cancer.

Exposure of pregnant women to ionizing radiation has been studied in several populations including the survivors of atomic bomb blast at Hiroshima and Nagasaki⁶². This population based study found an increased incidence of breast cancer in female survivors. The study reported that women who were exposed during their pregnancy, they have suffered from the increased incidences of stillbirths, miscarriages, and neonatal deaths. The study also reported that children survivors have been suffered from congenital defects. A similar study was conducted by Land and group, regarding the incidence of female breast cancer among the survivors⁶⁵. They infer that exposure at before age 20 years of females who survived from breast cancer are associated with higher excess relative risk (ERR) (1 Sv) compared to the exposure at older ages. So, the acute health effects have been found in the pregnant and non-pregnant women as well as children survivors.

Several other studies have been involved on the effects of Hiroshima and Nagasaki atomic bomb blast. In a life span study on the survivors it is observed that the ERR estimates for cancers were significantly higher in women than in men, and the ERR of the total deaths and all solid cancer due to ionizing radiation exposure is found as nearly twice times high in females than in males⁶⁸. The increased risks to the cancers of gallbladder, ureter and renal-pelvis occurs in males only, whereas the cancers of rectum, stomach and other digestive diseases showed increased radiation risk in females only. This life span study also found the significant increases of malignant lymphoma mortality for males only, but multiple myeloma for females only. Preston and coworkers⁵¹ have been reported that the increased risks for solid cancers vary with gender. In a case-control study, conducted by Hill and group⁶⁴ among young women, it is mentioned that the medical radiation exposures at before age 20 years of females are associated with the slightly increased risk of breast cancer regardless of their family history of breast cancer, whereas the increased risk of breast cancer in the older women is observed only in the women having a positive family history of breast cancer⁶⁴. So, the risk of breast cancer is not only increased with radiation exposures but also increased significantly with the family history of breast cancers. Added ionized radiation can be enhanced the probability of occurrence of some chronic diseases like the breast cancer.

A study, on the effects of ¹³⁷Cs and ¹³⁴Cs radioisotopes which were released from the accident of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) in Japan, was conducted by Evangelou et al.³⁷. They have been mentioned that the lifetime attributable risk (LAR) is

higher in female infants (> 14 in 10,000) and lower for 10-year-old male children (< 4 in 10,000) in the territories of the Fukushima NPP. A pediatric oncologist at Jikei University School of Medicine has tested lingering exposure to caesium radioisotopes on the pregnant women and children in Kouri town, adjacent to Fukushima City, and the oncologist found that one in hundred has received a dose higher than worldwide background radioactivity levels. This was reported by Pacchioli²⁴.

The possible health risks associated with human exposure to radiation from damaged reactors at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power station (NPS) were assessed by Walsh and co-workers⁷⁹. Their estimated results show that the LAR to solid cancers in females (ranging from 0.1×10^{-2} to 0.7×10^{-2}) is higher than in males, depending on age group. The lifetime baseline risk (LBR) to leukemia is smaller for females ($\approx 0.4 \times 10^{-2}$) than for males ($\approx 0.6 \times 10^{-2}$). For the thyroid cancer, LBR in females ($\approx 0.8 \times 10^{-2}$) is about 4 times greater than in males ($\approx 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$), and LAR in females is about 5 times greater than in males. The LAR in case of female breast cancer is greatest after exposure at 1 year of age and becomes progressively smaller with increasing age at initial exposure.

Radiation dose rates in three areas neighbouring the NPP were evaluated by a group of researchers⁴⁴. The lifetime risk for the incidence of solid cancer has been found as higher in the females and infants than in the males. They have been found the highest relative increased risks of solid cancer, leukemia, and breast cancer as 3.3% in female infants, 4.6% in male infants, and 4.5% in female infants, respectively. Compared to the LBR of these three types of cancers, they have also been found as 6.5% for female infants, 13.6% for male infants, and 27.5% for female children, respectively.

The Chernobyl nuclear reactor accident (April 26, 1986) is a catastrophic nuclear accident, by which the territories nearby the reactor were badly contaminated by the radionuclides released from the reactor. Narendran and group have been reported that women of the radioactive-contaminated areas in Ukraine, located at the territory of the reactor, developed thyroid cancer 2.5 times more than men; it was mentioned in the cases of thyroid cancers registered from 1998 to 1999.⁴⁷ This group has shown that the sex-related factors may potentially influence the long-term response to radiation exposure; additionally the long-term radiosensitivity to females is higher than that to males. A detailed analysis of the populations exposed to the Chernobyl fallout also revealed changes in the sex ratio, early mortality, Down's syndrome, and other genetic alterations. After 1990, a similar trend was observed by Yablokov et al. in Russia and Czech Republic where the women were at a higher risk of developing thyroid cancer than men⁴⁹. In Belarus, near the reactor, there is found a 1.5- and 2-

fold increase in lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers in women and men, respectively, during the first 5 years after the Chernobyl disaster⁴⁹. The overall cancer incidence rates in Belarus are higher in women (18% per year) than in men survivors (4.4% per year), and overall the rates of breast cancer incidence were increased from 1745 to 2322 cases between the years of 1986 to 1999⁴⁹. Also, the incidence of breast cancer was considerably increased in women living in the territories which contaminated with ¹³⁷Cs radioisotope during 1990–2003⁴⁹. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) reported that the release of ¹³¹I, ¹³⁴Cs, and ¹³⁷Cs radionuclides from the Chernobyl nuclear reactor were adversely affects the individuals of the territories of the reactor⁷⁶. The association between thyroid cancer and ¹³¹I radioisotope has been confirmed as ¹³¹I radioisotope is mainly concentrates in the thyroid gland²⁶.

Sonowal and Jojo⁷² mentioned a survey report regarding the Jaduguda Uranium Mine, their survey report revealed that the women folk in the locality of U-mine complaint about certain reproductive healthproblems which may be caused by the radiations resulting from the contaminations of Jaduguda Uranium Mine. For instance, 47% women reported disruptions during their menstrual cycle, 18% women reported miscarriages or still birthin last 5 years, 30% reported some sort of problem in conception, and majority of women complained of fatigue,weakness and depression. Among the 66 sufferer in and around Jaduguda, the number of suffered persons particularly in case of TB (tuberculosis) are far greater among the males (~ 33.33%) than females (~ 3.03%). The local women also reported that the 51 children in and around the U-mine area were suffered from different types of ailments and 38, i.e., above 74%, among children were suffered from disabled and crippled diseases. Similar study has been undertaken by Thakur and Sapra⁷³. They have mentioned a study that says 9.60% of women were unable to conceive baby even after 3 years of marriage.They have been found complaint on the occurrence of the infertility and congenital anomalies on the females of ages above thirteen years. Besides, some diseases like child death, intra uterine deaths, premature birth, spontaneous abortion, etc. are also found among females.They have also mentioned that themost common complaint of among females was the leucorrhoea (3.4%).Another study was conducted by Lourenço et al. on the deactivated uranium mine at Cunha Baixa¹⁰, they have collected blood samples individualsnearthe deactivated U-mine. This study reports the significant differences have been observed in total WBC (white blood cell) count of females of ages bellow 40 years. This shows an increase in WBC infemales at the control and contaminated sites of the Cunha Baixa village. The same was observed for neutrophils in the same individuals.

A study regarding the workers in the nuclear industry throughout the United Kingdom, as well as British Nuclear Fuels was conducted by Doyle and group⁵⁰. They have reported that the 2.6% of men and 3.7% of women workers undergo primary infertility. The authors observed that there is an association between the low level radiation exposures and the increased primary infertility in women, but the same is not found in case of men. They also mentioned by referring an article that an increased risk of fetal loss is observed in the pregnant female workers. In case of Chernobyl emergency workers, UNSCEAR recognized that there is an increased incidence of cataracts among the workers. Studies since 1986 show that the persons who were exposed as children to the ¹³¹I radioisotope and the workers who possibly associated high doses of radiation exposure, they are now suffered at increased risk to induce radiation effects²⁶.

The lifetime risk of lung cancer attributable to continuous life-long exposure to indoor ²²²Rn at a concentration of 150 Bq.m⁻³ was estimated by National Academy of Sciences²⁹ for men and women, and found risks as 3.4% and 1.4%, for men and women, respectively. The value of 150 Bq.m⁻³ is the EPA guideline for maximum acceptable ²²²Rn concentration in indoor air. But, in another study that was undertaken by Jarrahi and group⁴³, they have reported that a higher mortality rate is found in female residents of high background natural radiation areas than in residents of normal background radiation area. They infer that this is attributed to the higher indoor radon dose, because, most of the female residents remain indoors. Also the study revealed that there is no evidence of the increase in incidence or mortality among the male population. Another study reports the alpha-radiation emitted from the radon gas can damage both male and female reproductive systems, and aggravate the infertility⁴⁰.

The study of regional patterns of thyroid cancer incidence in relation to iodine intake and iodination in Sweden revealed that residence in iodine-deficient regions is related with a 2-fold increased risk of follicular cancer in case of men (relative risk 1.98) and a 17% increase in case of women (relative risk 1.17)³¹. The occurrence of thyroid cancer differed slightly in some regions, suggesting that the observations in these regions defined by iodine intake were unlikely to be artifactual. They also suggest that iodination of the food supply is not related in the occurrence of thyroid cancer.

Levels of the natural and artificial radioactivities in six types of fishes consumed in Hong Kong have been determined by Yu and co-workers³⁵. They estimated the committed effective doses due to intake of radionuclides through the consumption of such fishes, and found as **1.2** (male) and **6.4** (female) $\mu\text{Sv.y}^{-1}$ for natural radionuclides, whereas **0.027** (male) and **0.026** (female) $\mu\text{Sv.y}^{-1}$ for artificial radionuclides. This suggests that the radiosensitivity of natural radionuclides to women is higher than men.

Reproductive and developmental toxicity of natural and depleted uranium has been reviewed by Domingo⁴⁵. Domingo mentioned that the effects of uranium toxicity can be decreased fertility, embryo or fetal toxicity including teratogenicity, and also the can be reduced growth of the offspring at different gestation periods of women. Here, the uranium causes reproductive toxicity, maternal toxicity, and the postnatal effects of uranium are also reviewed.

The environmental monitoring of radon in the blood and urine samples of females with the cancer problems has been carried out by Salih and co-workers³⁶ for the purpose of health risk assessments. Their estimated results showing the radon concentration in urine samples is larger than that in blood samples. They infer that the radon concentration in the samples of blood and urine of women of the exposed group is higher than those of the control group. The significant of the results in this study showingthe association betweenthe radon concentration of blood and urine and the cancers.

Concentrations of the accumulated ⁴⁰K, ¹³⁷Cs, ²¹⁰Pb, ²²⁶Ra, ²²⁸Ra, and ²²⁸Th radioisotopes in human teeth due to environmental contaminants at different states in the Northern Malaysian Peninsula were estimated by Almayahi et al.³³, the concentrations of the radionuclides are higher in female teeth than in male teeth. Sogut et al. ³⁹ have shown that the mean activities of the gross alpha and gross beta of the tooth samples of female age-groups vary between $0.534 - 0.203 \text{ Bq.g}^{-1}$ and $0.010 - 0.453 \text{ Bq.g}^{-1}$ and that of male age-groups vary between $0.009 - 1.168 \text{ Bq.g}^{-1}$ and $0.071 - 0.204 \text{ Bq.g}^{-1}$. The radiobiological analysis of natural alpha emitters in human teeth of people in Malaysia was done by Almayahi et al.³⁴, they have observed that the average value of the emission rate of alpha particles from the male teeth is greater than that fromthe female teeth. On the other hand, a case study was undertaken by Taskin and group³²to determine the activity levels of gross alpha and gross beta in human teeth of Istambul, Turkey. The determinedradioactivity level in the tooth samples of males is higher than those of the females.

To assess the impact of alpha-emitters on women fertility, Salih and Jaafar⁴⁰ collected 30 biological samples of uterine tissues from both the female cancer patients and the women with fertility problemsin Iraqi Kurdistan region. They have mentioned that the alpha-radiation emitted from the radon gas can damage both male and female reproductive systems, and aggravate the infertility. This study determined the concentration of alpha-emitters in these tissue samples and found as 0.0180 ppm to 0.0691 ppm with an average value of 0.0330 ppm .

Williams and Fletcher²⁰ have been studied on the risks of prenatal exposure to ionizing radiation during pregnancy of women²⁰. They have been reported that the fetus is most susceptible to radiation during organogenesis (2 to 7 weeks after conception) and in the early fetal period (8 to 15 weeks after conception). The possibility of growth restriction, spontaneous abortion and mental

retardation may occur at higher exposure levels. Non-cancer health effects have not been detected at any stage of gestation after exposure to ionizing radiation of less than 0.05 Gy .

Based on the simple additive risk model²⁷ and simple multiplicative risk model²⁸, Upton and co-workers have been estimated the lifetime attributable risk of cancer to 0.1 Sv of whole-body irradiation, and found $20 - 40$ number of females out of $100,000$ can be died due to breast cancer¹⁸.

DISCUSSIONS

From the above mentioned studies, it is observed that a significant number of research groups have been involved on the radioactivity-induced diseases which are appeared from the results of various sources such as the nuclear disaster, nuclear industry and mining, but the statistical data concerning the different levels of health risks to human population including females who are unintentionally exposed by the natural environmental radioactivity are lacking.

The different health diseases that occurred in females and women due to the effects of the atomic bomb blast, nuclear reactor accident, mining, nuclear industry, radon exposure, uranium toxicity, and the other environmental contaminating agents are summarized in Table 1. A large number of adverse health effects occur in people of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Fukushima Daiichi NPP and Chernobyl nuclear reactor, and their territories after the disasters. It is seen that the females are suffered mostly from the breast cancer, thyroid cancer, solid cancer, cancers of stomach and rectum, multiple myeloma, and the other health problems such as infertility, miscarriages, ovarian failure, and stillbirths. On the other hand, male persons are suffered largely from TB and leukemia than the female persons. So, the incidence risks to TB and leukemia due to the radioactive radiations or other ionizing radiations are more sensitive for males than for females.

Female survivors of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki and its territories have been suffered mostly from the increased incidence of the breast cancer, multiple myeloma, solid cancer, cancers in stomach and rectum. The miscarriage, stillbirths, and neonatal deaths occurred among women who were exposed during the pregnancy period. It is mentioned in the literatures that younger females of ages around 20 years, they have higher excess relative risk (ERR) of radiation-induced breast cancer than the older women. Women of age more than 50 years have not suffered from the measurably increased risk of the radiation-induced breast cancer⁶³.

Table 1: Some radioactivity-induced health effects on females mentioned in literatures

Sl. No.	Health effects occur on female due to radioactivity	Sources of radiations	References
1	Breast cancer, cancers of stomach, rectum and other digestive diseases, increase of multiple myeloma, increased incidences of miscarriages, stillbirths, and neonatal deaths, congenital defects.	Hiroshima and Nagasaki atomic bomb explosion	[62 – 65, 68 – 69]
2	Lifetime attributable risk (LAR) for solid cancer, breast cancer, and thyroid cancer.	Accident of Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant	[37, 51, 79]
3	Thyroid cancer, breast cancer, solid cancer, changes in the sex ratio, early mortality, Down's syndrome, and other genetic alterations.	Chernobyl nuclear reactor accident	[47, 49]
4	Cancers, disruptions during the menstrual cycle, miscarriages or still birth, unable to conceive baby, fatigue, weakness and depression, birth defects, cancer, child death, intra uterine deaths, premature birth, spontaneous abortion, and leucorrhea, significant differences in WBC count.	Uranium Mine	[10, 72 – 73]
5	Primary infertility, increased risk of fetal loss.	Nuclear industry, Nuclear Power Plant	[26, 50]
6	Lung cancer, damage of reproductive systems, infertility, and higher mortality rate.	Exposure to indoor ^{222}Rn isotope	[29, 43]
7	Thyroid cancer, follicular cancer	Iodine intake and iodination	[31]
8	Dose received from natural radionuclides is higher than that from artificial radionuclides	Natural and artificial radionuclides in some fishes	[35]
9	Decreased fertility, embryo or fetal toxicity including teratogenicity, and reduced growth; reproductive toxicity, maternal toxicity, and the postnatal effects.	Natural and depleted uranium	[45]
10	Radionuclide concentrations were found higher in female teeth than in male	Human teeth	[33]
11	Infertility, damage of reproductive systems	Alpha emitters in uterine tissues of female cancer patients and women with fertility problems	[40]
12	Cancers, spontaneous abortion, growth restriction, and mental retardation	Exposure to ionizing radiations such as gamma rays	[20]
13	One in hundred women has received a dose higher than worldwide background radioactivity levels	Lingering exposures to caesium radioisotopes	[24]

After the accident of Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (NPP), the different types of cancers like solid cancer, leukemia, and breast cancer are mostly occurred in the individuals of its nearby areas. The lifetime attributable risks (LARs) and lifetime baseline risks (LBRs) to the solid, thyroid and breast cancers are found higher for females and infants than for males⁷⁹. The LAR and LBR for females are 5 and 4 times greater than for males survivors, respectively⁷⁹. People who were exposed to ionizing radiation at the age of 30 years, and after became ages of 70 years their solid cancer rates increased by about 35% per Gy for men and 58% per Gy for women⁵¹. Many researchers have been mentioned that these are due to the exposures to caesium radioisotopes that were released comprehensively after the accident of NPP and contaminating the surrounding areas. However, LBR for the incidence of leukemia is smaller in female than in male.

After the Chernobyl nuclear reactor accident, a large number of people survived from various types of diseases, of which women survived greatly from the breast and thyroid cancers. The occurrence of such diseases is due to the release of ^{131}I , ^{134}Cs , and ^{137}Cs radionuclides from the reactor, reported by UNSCEAR⁷⁶. From the study conducted by Narendran et al.⁴⁷, it may be revealed that the women from radioactive contaminated areas developed thyroid cancer 2.5 times more than men. So, women those are living at such radioactive contaminated areas have a higher risk of developing the breast and thyroid cancers than men. Besides, women are suffered from other health problems such as changes in sex ratio, early mortality, Down's syndrome, and other genetic alterations.

In case of Jaduguda Uranium Mine, the local women of the mine area have been suffered from some health problems like miscarriages or still birth, unable to conceive baby, fatigue, weakness and depression due to the contaminations of the U-mine⁷². There are many other kinds of adverse health problems complaint by the local women of the mine area similar to the health problems caused by higher dose of radiation, e.g. birth defects, cancer, leukemia, and immediate death. From this reports, it may seem that the contaminated environment surrounding the mine areas can induce health effects acutely to the local people including women. The women of the Jaduguda U-mine areas have the high risks of occurrence of the infertility and congenital anomalies, child death, intrauterine deaths, premature birth, and spontaneous abortion, and most common health disease is leucorrhoea (3.4%)⁷³. Regarding the deactivated uranium mine at Cunha Baixa¹⁰, Lourenço et al. found the significant differences in total white blood cell (WBC) count in the blood samples of females of ages below 40 years, showing an increase in WBC in the females of the Cunha Baixa village. The same is observed by them for neutrophils in the same individuals. So, the result of the environmental contaminations due to U-mine can induce several health impacts on women population adversely.

In case of nuclear industry workers, the most common symptoms of female or women workers are infertility and increased risk of fetal loss⁵⁰.

The lifetime risk of lung cancer attributable to continuous life-long exposure to indoor ^{222}Rn isotope is found as higher for men than for women. But, the negative results are observed in another study⁴³, in where it is mentioned that a higher mortality rate found in female residents of high background natural radiation areas due to the higher indoor radon dose. So, the radiosensitivity of radionuclides to organs is differing between men and women.

From the study Pettersen et al.³¹, it is revealed that women in iodine-deficient regions of Sweden is associated with a 17% increased risk of the follicular cancer in women (relative risk

1.17).The study suggest that iodination of the food supply is not related tothe occurrence of thyroid cancer.

The committed effective doses due to intake of natural radionuclides through the consumption of some fishesarefound as high in female and low in male, whereas the doses due to intake of artificial radionuclides are approximately the same³⁵. This suggests that the radiosensitivity of natural radionuclides to women is higher than men, when compared to artificial radionuclides.

Domingo has been mentionedthat the effect of the toxicity of the natural and depleted uranium results in the decreased fertility, embryo or fetal toxicity, and the reduced growth of offspring at different gestation periods of women⁴⁵. Thus, uranium toxicity is not only acutely affects kidney and lungs⁵⁵, but it has also adverse effects on women during their gestation periods.

Salih et al. ³⁶observed that the level of the radon concentration urine is high than in blood of the female cancer patients, additionally, the radon in the samples of blood and urine of women of the exposed group is higher than those of the control group.

Salih and Jaafar observed that the concentration of alpha-emitters in the uterine tissues of the female cancer patients and women with fertility problems are vary from one woman to another, depending on their ages and the allergic reaction of uterus to the radiation, and also depending on the geological formation of the area⁴⁰.

The concentrations of some radionuclides deposited in human teeth are higher in female teeth than in male teeth³³. On the other hand, the gross alpha and gross beta radioactivitiesof the female's teeth are quite low than those in the male's teeth samples^{32, 39}. From this negative result, it can be realized that the concentrations of nuclides in human teeth depend on the geological formation of the region and the food habits of the local people.

The high level exposure to ionizing radiation on the pregnant women results in the possibility of growth restriction, spontaneous abortion, and mental retardation²⁰.Non-cancer health effects have not been detected on the pregnant women at any stage of gestation after exposure to ionizing radiation of less than 0.05 Gy.

Upton and co-workers found that 20 – 40 numbers of females out of 100,000 are died due to breast cancer¹⁸ due to lifetime of cancer attributable to 0.1 Svof whole-body radiation exposure.

From the above discussions, it is observed that the occurrence of the radioactivity-induced diseases in females is high than in males. The female's organs are highly sensitive to the radioactive radiation than the male's organs with few exceptions such as radon exposure.ICRP reported that the overall lethality risk to ionizing radiation for women is approximately 35% more than that for men⁸. The risk for radiation-induced breast cancer in men is negligible, but it is induced significantly in female. Thethyroid gland have a lethality risk about 6 times more than that for men and additionally

the breast risk being substantially greater⁸. It is seen that the risks of occurrence of the solid cancer, leukemia, thyroid and breast cancers can vary with gender. Gender-specific cancers and female breast cancer seem to be the main reason for the heightened risk for women⁶¹.

The younger females have the higher risk to induce radiation-associated breast cancer, whereas the women of ages more than 50 years have no measurably increased risk of breast cancer due to the radiation exposure⁸⁰. It may be due to the fact that the breast tissue of adolescent females is more susceptible to induce carcinogenic effects by the exposure to ionizing radiation^{63, 69}. Additionally, the breast tissue is a development tissue and it is present largely in young women than in men. On the other hand, the length of the time that tumor promoters (such as endogenous hormones) operate radiation exposure has an important influence on the increase of the radiation-induced breast cancer⁷⁷. It is also observed that the occurrence of the risk of breast cancer can be enhanced with family history of breast cancer of a female person.

This study cannot find to fully understand the reason of the increased harmful effects on women's health due to the radioactive or other ionizing radiations. In various literatures, it was mentioned that the developing tissues such as brain, reproductive, breast, and fetus are more sensitive to ionizing radiations, noting the masses of reproductive tissue and breast tissue are present largely in the young women, therefore, the effective damages in these tissues may appear quickly than the other tissues by the exposures to same dose of radiation. The hormonal differences between women and men may have some impact on the enhanced radio sensitivity of women⁸¹. Other unknown influencing factors related to human biology may greatly present in females, and thereby some of these factors may also be assumed to be responsible for the incidence of some harmful effects comprehensively on females. In case of pregnant women, the changes in hormonal balance during pregnancy can possibly have some influencing effect on radiation sensitivity to the developing embryo or fetus⁸.

As the health diseases such as genetic problems or cancers appear after some considerable time of the radiation exposure, therefore it is very difficult to differentiate from identical diseases with different sources. Therefore, sources of the health diseases must be determined to know whether these are due to radioactivity or not, if these are radioactivity-induced diseases, then it is very important to find the source and to take an initiate action for controlling or preventing the emitted radioactive radiations so that radioactivity-induced diseases cannot unintentionally appear in human population in their future life.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provide the important information regarding the occurrence of adverse health effects in females due to the effects of the nuclear disaster, nuclear industry, mining, natural and depleted uranium, contaminated environment and exposure to radon isotopes.

The female gender are vulnerable to the radioactive radiation and other ionizing radiation, especially the developing tissues of organs of the younger females are more sensitive to such radiations. Most of the females have a higher risk of radiation-induced diseases like cancers in thyroid and breast, solid cancer, multiple myeloma, and some other adverse health problems such as infertility, problems in reproductive systems, ovarian failure, heart diseases, child death, birth defects, and gene mutations. Younger females have the higher risk to induce radiation-associated breast cancer than the females of older ages.

Alpha-radiation emitted from the radon and uranium can aggravate the infertility, reduce the growth of offspring, and can significantly damage the fetal or embryo and female reproductive systems. Thus, the association between the alpha radioactivity of blood and urine and the cancers may persist in the females with cancer problems.

However, the study cannot find to fully understand the reason of the increased harmful effects on women's health due to radioactive or other ionizing radiations. The developing tissues such as brain, reproductive, breast, and fetus are more sensitive to ionizing radiations, therefore, the effective damages in these tissues may appear quickly than the others by the exposures to same dose of radiation. The hormonal differences between women and men may have some impact on the enhanced radiosensitivity to women⁸¹. Other unknown influencing factors related to human biology may greatly present in females, and thereby some of these factors may also be assumed to be responsible for the occurrence of some radioactivity-induced harmful effects significantly in females.

During the pregnancy, concerns must be focused in preventing the inadvertent radiation exposure on the developing tissues of the reproductive systems, fetuses and on the pregnant women. As the fetuses of embryos are sensitive to ionizing radiation, so the pregnant women who are living at the high background radiation area or any radioactive contaminating areas, they should be checked their radiation level routinely. They should also consult with the radiologist if they have any concern about radiation exposure to their fetuses. It is essential to protect from the incidence health risks of the prenatal after birth and also of the women themselves.

For the protection of our lives, the sources of the health diseases must be determined to know whether these are due to radioactivity or not, if these are radioactivity-induced diseases, then it is very important to find the source and to take an initiate action for controlling or preventing the

radioactive radiations so that radioactivity-induced diseases cannot unintentionally appear in human population in their future life.

Further, the systematic studies are necessary to elucidate the gender dependent radiosensitivity from fetus age to old age, and to ensure the people of different ages are equally protected from radioactivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is not funded by any department of government and private. I gratefully acknowledge my parents, sisters, wife and daughter for their inspiration during the period of this study.

REFERENCES

1. Cember H, Johnson T. Introduction to Health Physics. In: 4th ed. United States: McGraw-Hill;2009; 109 - 128.ISBN: 978-0-07-164323-8.
2. Aytas S, Yusan S, Aslani MAet al. Natural radioactivity of riverbank sediments of the Maritza and Tundja Rivers in Turkey. *J. Environ. Sc. Health, Part A*. 2012;47:2163–2172.
3. Varskog P. Naturally occurring radionuclides in the marine environment—an overview of current knowledge with emphasis on the North Sea area. The Research Council of Norway. Norse Decom AS, P. O. Box 112, 2027 Kjeller, Norway. Report: ND/E-19/03; 2003; 1 – 57. ISBN 82-92538-01-1.
4. Wallova G, Kulichova Z, Rajczykova E et al. Survey of radioactivity along the Bosna River. *J.Radioanal.Nucl. Chem.* 2016; 307: 247–252.
5. Noureddine A, Benkrid M, HammadiAet al. Radioactivity distribution in surface and core sediment of the central part of the Algerian Coast: an estimation of the recent sedimentation rate. *Mediterranean Marine Sc.* 2003; 4:53–58.
6. BEIR:Health Risks from Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation. Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR) VII, Phase 2 report. Published by the National Academy Press, Washington, DC; 2006.
7. Hamada N, Fujimichi Y. Classification of radiation effects for dose limitation purposes: history, current situation and future prospects. *J. Rad. Res.* 2014; 55: 629 – 640.doi: 10.1093/jrr/rru019
8. ICRP: Annals of the ICRP. ICRP Publication 103. The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), 2007; 37. ISBN 978-0-7020-3048-2, ISSN 0146-6453.

9. UNSCEAR.Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR), Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. United Nations, New York; 1993.
10. Lourenço J, Pereira R, Pinto Fet al.Biomonitoring a human population inhabiting nearby a deactivated uranium mine. *Toxicolog.* 2013; 305: 89 – 98.doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2013.01
11. WHO.Guidelines for Drinkingwater Quality, Fourth Edition, Incorporating the First Addendum, World Health Organization (WHO); 2017. ISBN 978-92-4-154995-0.
12. Kilthau GF.Cancer risk in relation to radioactivity in tobacco.*Radiol. Technol.* 1996;67: 217 – 222.
13. IAEA. Measurement of radionuclides in food and the environment, A Guidebook. Technical Report series No. 295. STI/DOC/10/295. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Wagramer Strasse 5, P.O. Box 100, A-1400, Vienna, Austria;1989: ISBN 92-0-125189-0. ISSN 0074-1914.
14. Lee H, Peyton TO, Steele RV et al. Potential Radioactive Pollutants Resulting from Expanded Energy Programs. Volume 1. Interagency Energy-Environment Research and Development Program Report. United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA),EPA-600/7-77-082.Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, Las Vegas, Nevada 1977; 89114.
15. Brenner D et al. Cancer risks attributable to low doses of ionizing radiation: Assessing what we really know. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2003; 100:13761–13766.
16. Choudhary S. Deterministic and Stochastic Effects of Radiation. *Cancer Therapy Onco. Int. J.* 2018; 12. ISSN: 2473-554X. DOI: 10.19080/CTOIJ.2018; 12: 555834
17. Gao W, Liang J-X, Yan Q.Exposure to radiation therapy is associated with female reproductive health among childhood cancer survivors: a meta-analysis study. *J.Assist.Reprod. Genet.* 2015; 32:1179 – 1186.
18. Upton AC, Shore RE, Harley NH. The Health Effect Of Low-Level Ionizing Radiation. *Annu. Rev. Publ. Health.* 1992; 13:127 – 150.
19. ICRP. Pregnancy and medical radiation. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). *Ann ICRP.* 2000; 30: 1 – 43.
20. Williams PM, Fletcher S.Health effects of prenatal radiation exposure. *Am. Family Physi.* 2010; 82: 488 – 493.
21. Fattibene P, Mazzei F, Nuccetelli C et al. Prenatal exposure to ionizing radiation: sources, effects and regulatory aspects. *Acta. Paediatr.*1999; 88:693 – 702.

22. Brent RL. Saving lives and changing family histories: appropriate counseling of pregnant women and men and women of reproductive age, concerning the risk of diagnostic radiation exposures during and before pregnancy. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 2009; 200:4 – 24.
23. ICRP. 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. Ann ICRP.1991; 21: 1 – 201.
24. Pacchioli D. Health Risks How Can We Assess the Impacts of Radiation Exposures? Ocean. Magaz. 2013; 50: 20 – 23.
25. UNSCEAR. Sources, effects and risks of ionizing radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR), United Nations, New York; 2006.
26. UNEP.Radiation: effects and sources, What is radiation ? What does radiation do to us ? Where does radiation come from ? United Nations Environment Program me (UNEP), Austria; 2016. ISBN: 978-92-807-3517-8
27. UNSCEAR.Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR),United Nations, New York; 1988.
28. UNSCEAR. Genetic and Somatic Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Report to the General Assembly, with annexes. (Forty-first Session, Suppl. No. 16). Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR), United Nations, New York; 1986.
29. NAS.National Research Council (US) Committee on Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Health Risks of Radon and Other Internally Deposited Alpha-Emitters: BEIR IV.Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1988.
30. Popović D, Todorović D. Radon Indoor Concentrations and Activity of Radionuclides in Building Materials in Serbia. FACTA UNIVERSITATIS. Series: Physics, Chemistry and Technology. 2006; 4: 11 – 20.
31. Pettersson B, Coleman MP, Ron E et al. Iodine supplementation in Sweden and regional trends in thyroid cancer incidence by histopathologic type. Int. J. Cancer. 1996; 65: 13 – 19.
32. Taşkin H,Kobya Y, Tokgöz M et al. Biomonitoring of trace elements and gross alpha/beta radioactivity levels in human teeth: A case study from Istanbul, Turkey. Microchem. J. 2018;141: 458 – 465.
33. Almayahi BA, Tajuddin AA,Jaafar MS.Measurements of natural radionuclides in human teeth and animal bones as markers of radiation exposure from soil in the Northern Malaysian Peninsula. Radi. Phys. Chem.2014; 97: 56 – 67.

34. Almayahi BA, Tajuddin AA, Jaafar MS. Radiobiological long-term accumulation of environmental alpha radioactivity in extracted human teeth and animal bones in Malaysia. *J. Environ. Radioact.* 2014;129:140 – 147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2014.01.001>
35. Yu KN, Mao SY, Young EC et al. A study of radioactivities in six types of fish consumed in Hong Kong. *Appl. Radiat. Isotopes.* 1997;48: 515 – 519. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043\(96\)00283-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(96)00283-7)
36. Salih NF, Jafri ZM, Aswood MSh. Measurement of radon concentration in blood and urine samples collected from female cancer patients using RAD7. *J. Radiat. Res. Appl. Sci.* 2016; 9: 332 – 336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2016.02.002>
37. Evangelou N, Balkanski Y, Cozic A et al. Global and local cancer risks after the Fukushima Nuclear Power Plant accident as seen from Chernobyl: A modeling study for radiocaesium (^{134}Cs & ^{137}Cs). *Environ. Internat.* 2014; 64: 17 – 27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.020>
38. Sankaranarayanan K, Wassom JS. Reflections on the impact of advances in the assessment of genetic risks of exposure to ionizing radiation on international radiation protection recommendations between the mid-1950s and the present. *Mutation Res./Rev. Mutation Res.* 2008; 658: 1 – 27.
39. Sogut O, Aydin MF, Kucukonder E et al. Measurement of gross alpha and gross beta activity concentrations in human tooth. *J. Environ. Radioac.* 2010; 101:226 – 229.
40. Salih NF, Jaafar MS. Novel method to assessing and the impact of alpha emitter's concentration of the uterus on women fertility in Iraqi Kurdistan region. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 2013; 298: 755 – 761 (2013). DOI 10.1007/s10967-013-2583-y
41. Jain V, Kumar PRV, Koya PKM et al. Lack of increased DNA double-strand breaks in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of individuals from high level natural radiation areas of Kerala coast in India. *Mutation Res./Fundamen. Molecul. Mechan. Mutagen.* 2016;788: 50 – 57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2016.03.002>
42. Kendall GM, Smith TJ. Doses to organs and tissues from radon and its decay. *J. Radiol. Prot.* 2002; 22:389–406.
43. Jarrahi AM, Mohagheghi M, Akiba S et al. Mortality and Morbidity from cancer in the Population Exposed to High Level of Natural Radiation Area in Ramsar, Iran. *Int. Congress Series.* 2005; 1276: 106 – 109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2004.11.109>
44. Harada et al. Radiation dose rates now and in the future for residents neighboring restricted areas of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant. *Proc. Nat. Aca. Sci. U. S. A.* 2014; 111: E914 – E923. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1315684111>

45. Domingo JL. Reproductive and developmental toxicity of natural and depleted uranium: a review. *Reproduc. Toxicol.* 2001; 15:603 – 609.
46. UNSCEAR.Ionizing Radiation: Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annex B, United Nations, United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). United Nations Publication, New York; 2000.
47. Narendran N, Luzhna L, Kovalchuk O. Sex Difference of Radiation Response in Occupational and Accidental Exposure. *Fronti. Genetics.* 2019; 10:1 – 11.Doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00260
48. Blakely EA. Biological effects of cosmic radiation: deterministic and stochastic. *Health Phys.* 2000; 79: 495 – 506. doi: 10.1097/00004032-200011000-00006
49. Yablokov AV, Nesterenko VB, Nesterenko AV. Chernobyl: Consequences of the Catastrophe for People and the Environment. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Boston, MA: Blackwell; 2009.
50. Doyle P, Roman E, Maconochie N et al. Primary infertility in nuclear industry employees: report from the nuclear industry family study. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2001; 58: 535–539. doi: 10.1136/oem.58.8.535
51. Preston DL, Ron E, Tokuoka Set al. Solid cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors: 1958–1998. *Radiation. Research.* 2007; 168 (1): 1–64. Doi: 10.1667/RR0763.1
52. Cothern CR, Lappenbusch WL, Michel J. Drinking-water contribution to natural background radiation. *Health Phys.* 1986; 50: 33 – 47.
53. ICRP. Age-dependent doses to members of the public from intake of radionuclides—Part 2: Ingestion dose coefficients. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). ICRP Publications 67. Ann. ICRP. 1993; 23.
54. ICRP. Age-dependent doses to members of the public from intake of radionuclides—Part 3: Ingestion dose coefficients. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). ICRP Publications 69. Ann. ICRP. 1995; 25.
55. ATSDR. Toxicological profile for uranium. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Report Number EPA ATSDR/TP-90/29, Atlanta, USA.1990.
56. NCRP. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements Publications. Natural background radiation in the United States. NCRP Report No. 45. Washington, DC, United States. 1975.
57. Simpson RE, Shuman FG, Baratta EJ et al. Survey of radionuclides in Foods, 1961–77. *Health Phys.* 1981; 40: 529 – 534.

58. Stroube WB, Jr. Jelinek CF, Baratta EJ. Survey of radionuclides in foods, 1978 – 1982. *Health Phys.* 1985; 49: 731 – 735.
59. Han W, Yu KN. Chapter 7-ionizing radiation, DNA double strand break and mutation. In: *Advances in Genetics Research..* Urbano, K.V. Ed. (New York, Nova Science Publishers, Inc.). 2010 ; 4: 1–13. ISBN 978-1-61728-764-0.
60. Desouky O, Ding N, Zhou G. Targeted and non-targeted effects of ionization radiation. *J. Radiat. Res. Appl. Sci.* 2015; 8: 247 – 254.
61. Das B, Deb A, Chowdhury S. Radiological Impact of Some Common Foods of Southern Part of West Bengal, India. *Radiat.Prot.Dosim.* 2018; 179: 169 – 178. doi:10.1093/rpd/ncx246
62. NAP. Chapter: Case study 37: Ionizing Radiation. Institute of Medicine. Environmental Medicine: Integrating a Missing Element into Education. The National Academies Press, Washington DC. 1995. Doi:10.17226/4795
63. Preston DL, Mattsson A, Holmberg E et al. Radiation effects on breast cancer risk: a pooled analysis of eight cohorts. *J. Radiat. Res.* 2002; 158:220 – 235.
64. Hill DA, Preston-Martin S, Ross RK et al. Medical radiation, family history of cancer, and benign breast disease in relation to breast cancer risk in young women, USA. *Cancer Causes Contr.* 2002; 13:711 – 718.
65. Land CE, Tokunaga M, Koyama K et al. Incidence of female breast cancer among atomic bomb survivors, Hiroshima and Nagasaki, 1950-1990. *Radiat. Res.* 2003; 160:707 – 717.
66. Jemal A, Tiwari RC, Murray T et al. Review Cancer statistics, 2004. American Cancer Society. C. A. Cancer J.Clin. 2004; 54:8 – 29.
67. Blot WJ, Sawada H. Fertility among Female Survivors of the Atomic Bombs of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. *Amer. J. Hum. Genet.* 1972; 24:613 – 622.
68. Ozasa K, Shimizu Y, Suyama A et al. Studies of the mortality of atomic bomb survivors: Report 14, 1950–2003—an overview of cancer and non-cancer diseases. *Radiat. Res.* 2012; 177: 229 – 243.
69. McGregor DH, Land CE, Choi K et al. Breast Cancer Incidence Among Atomic Bomb Survivors, Hiroshima and Nagasaki, 1950–69. *J. Nation. Cancer Inst.* 1977;59:799 – 811. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/59.3.799>
70. Niedziela M, Korman E, Breborowicz D et al. A prospective study of thyroid nodular disease in children and adolescents in western Poland from 1996 to 2000 and the incidence of thyroid carcinoma relative to iodine deficiency and the Chernobyl disaster. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer.* 2004; 42: 84 – 92.

71. WHO. Health effects of the Chernobyl accident: an overview. World Health Organization (WHO). CH1211, Geneva 27, Switzerland. 2006.
72. Sonowal CJ, Jojo SK. Radiation and Tribal Health in Jadugoda: The Contention Between Science and Sufferings. Stud. Tribes Tribal. 2003; 1: 111 – 126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972639X.2003.11886490>
73. Thakur H, Sapra BK. Baseline Survey of Health Status of Population in 2006 around a Uranium Mining Site in Jaduguda, India. Rad. Emergen.Medicin. 2013; 2: 14 – 22.
74. Song H, Hedayati M, Hobbs RF et al. Targeting Aberrant DNA Double-Strand Break Repair in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer with Alpha-Particle Emitter Radiolabeled Anti-EGFR Antibody. Mol. Cancer. Therapeu. 2013; 12: 2043 – 2054. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0108
75. CDC. Radiation and Pregnancy: A Fact Sheet for the Public. Radiation Emergencies. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Reviewed and updated November 15, 2011. <http://emergency.cdc.gov/radiation>.
76. UNSCEAR. Health effects due to radiation from the Chernobyl accident. Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes, United Nations, United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). United Nations Publication, New York. 2008.
77. Tokunaga M, Land CE, Yamamoto T et al. Incidence of Female Breast Cancers among Atomic Bomb Survivors, Hiroshima and Nagasaki, 1950 – 1980. Rad. Res. 1987; 112: 243 – 272.
78. ATSDR. Public Health Statement for Strontium. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Report Number EPA ATSDR/TP-90/29, Atlanta, GA: U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.2004.
79. Walsh et al. A Framework for Estimating Radiation-Related Cancer Risks in Japan from the 2011 Fukushima Nuclear Accident. Rad. Res. 2014; 182: 556 – 572. DOI: 10.1667/RR13779.1
80. Preston DL, Mattson AM, Holmberg E et al. Radiation effects on breast cancer risk: a pooled analysis of eight cohorts. Radiat. Res. 2002; 158: 220 – 235.
81. Su X, Li Z, He C et al. Radiation exposure, young age, and female gender are associated with high prevalence of RET/PTC1 and RET/PTC3 in papillary thyroid cancer: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 16716 – 16730. Doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.7574