Review article Available online www.ijsrr.org ISSN: 2279–0543

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Amphibian poisons, Importance and Effects

Salwa A. Abdul Jaleel*,Eman A. Mukhaifi and Salman S.Atshan

*Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Basra, Iraq
sal_bio2009@yahoo.com
http://doi.org/10.37794/IJSRR.2019.8411

ABSTRACT

Animals can employ peptides and venomous proteins for predation or protectionusuallyrely on specific morphological compositions, such as fangs, spines or stinger, for effectual intoxication. Peptides secreted by the skinof frog , usually believed fraction of the immune system of amphibians, permeabilize the epithelial tissue of the mouth and allow rapid access to toxins co-secreted to the blood and organs of the predator**.**

KEY WORDS: Amphibian, Poison, Effect, Importance.

***Corresponding author:**

Salwa A. Abdul Jaleel

Department of Biology,

Faculty of Science,

University of Basra, Iraq

sal_bio2009@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Class amphibia contain three main orders of amphibians include, order: Urodela (Caudata),order: Gymnophiona (Apoda), and order; Anura (Ecaudata). The Anura fit in to the super-order Salientia. For the current stipulations, order urodela include salamander and newts) while order Anura contain the frogs and toads are of interest. Amphibian vary significantly in their prototypes of geographic distribution and in the variety of live category, for example aquatic amphibian (like, Xenopus laevis), semi-aquatic amphibian (as, semi-aquatic amphibian (as, Rana temporaria), semi-terrestrial such as, Bufo marinus) and arboreal (like, Hyla cinerea). Amphibian reside in an extensive variety of environment types as of dry deserts to bottomless freshwater lakeside. Several might expend mainly of their living belowground or lofty in blur forest sunshade. Some are establish north of the Arctic Circle be able to bear cold situations, even as others contain improved a variety of acclimation to avert drying in warm regions of the world[1].

Amphibian and reptiles are traced back to a familiar ancestor existing in early Carbon. Snakes, lizard, crocodiles and birds, can be dates back to a common ancestor livelihood in the center of Perm. Crocodiles and birds from the common ancestor, possibly lived in the late Triassic. Amongst the amphibians, urodela (newts and salamanders) and the anura (frogs and toads) are of significance for the current proposals[2].

Different animals can produce various natural types of poisons or venoms. toxins are broadly created by dissimilar appearances of life, in addition to animals, plants and microbes, to hinder and interrupt the physiological processes of other organisms and, to foster their own resists for existence [3], numerous animals obviously create venoms, toxins, and poisons to protect themselves and debilitate their prey, even as others animals collect poisons from the food they consume. From jellyfish to snakes, poisonous creatures approach in dissimilar shapes, sizes, and colours like the Asian tiger snake , hooded pitohui , Comb stars, hawksbill sea turtles, cane toads, poison dart frog, Spanish fly , striated surgeonfish, and spikes of puffer fish poison-dart frogs) , African crested rats and New Guinean Pitohui birds can obtain and accumulate small molecular and toxic metabolites and toxins from their environment even as keeping relation resistance to the toxic effects of toxins[4,5, 6].

Amphibians are not considered exemplary venomous animals because of the lack of a venom release system. The dermal of amphibians is bare to meet specific physiological requires, for instance respirations and fluid equilibrium[7]. consequently, the skin must form a particular protections scheme to survive urgent automatic, chemical and natural factors. protective responses

(innate immunity) alongside possible invasion of pathogen and the ability of the cell coating to repair the disturbed surface are essential [8, 9, 10.]

Venoms have changed to serve a broad diversity of purposes. Expected effects can range from mild and fleeting discomfort to paralysis and death. They can also select very selectively the species they target, which often makes them harmless to almost all co-evolved organizations. Because the description of "poisonous" can be tremendously wide 3.There are three orders of amphibians: Anura include frogs and toads, Urodela (salamanders) and Apoda (Caecilians). the number of amphibian species is around 8,000, of which almost 90% are frogs.

Accordingly to the fossil record, Lissamphibia,(subclass) which includes all contemporary amphibians and is the only existing ancestry, may have split off from the extinct groups Temnospondyli and Lepospondyli at some period between the Late Carboniferous and the Early Triassic. Newer study point to that the common ancestor of all Lissamphibians lived about 315 million years ago, and that stereospondyls are the nearby relations to the caecilians [11].

A microhylid frog from New Guinea (*Paedophryneamauensis*) is suggested as the small amphibian in the world discovered in 2012, while the largest living amphibian is the Chinese giantsalamander (*Andriasdavidianus*) [12], but it is smaller than the largest amphibian ever - the Prionosuchus, a 9m extinct species, dating back 270 million years. the Middle Permian of Brazil[13].

the toxicity of certain amphibian species to mammals results from proteins and physiological peptides secreted in the mucus of the skin. peptides in amphibian skin are connected to mammalian hormones in addition to antimicrobial peptides [14, 15, 16, **17,** 18].

Amphibian skin

Histologically, frog dermal be composed of an epidermis and the dermis count on the species, the epidermis of frog can be a mucosal stratified squamous epithelium (non-keratinized)about 6 to 8 mm in thick, consisting of three layers of keratinocyte (basal, intermediate, &apical)[19].

Amphibians frequently eat the removed dermal. Caecilians are distinctive among amphibians in have mineralized dermal scales entrenched in the dermis between the grooves in the skin [20]. The comparison of the cells with those of the bony fish is in a large superficial part. Lizards and several frogs have slightly similar osteoderms that form bone put downs in the dermis, [21]. Other than this is an exemplar of approximate evolutions with analogous structure that have emerged independently in various vertebrate pedigrees.

Amphibians cutaneous is porous to water. Gaseous interchange can occur through the skin (cutaneous respirations), allowing adult amphibians to breathe without returning to the superficies of the water and hibernating at the undermost of ponds[22]. To recompense for their slim and fragile skin, amphibian have developed mucous glands, mainly on the head, back and tail. The secretions manufactured by these assist remain the skin humid. moreover, most amphibian species have granular glands that secreted unpleasant or toxin substances.

Amphibians have developed various defense mechanisms to stay alive. The first line of defense for salamanders and frogs is the secretion of mucus that they produce. This keeps their skin moist and makes them slippery and difficult to grasp. Secretion is often sticky and unpleasant or toxic[23].

The main poison-producing glands, paratoid, produce the neurotoxin bufotoxin and are located behind the toads' ears, on the backs of frogs, behind the salamander's eyes and on the upper surface of the Caecilians[24]. In a number of salamanders, the skin is toxic. The jagged-skinned newt (Tarichagranulosa) of North America and other members of its genus hold the neurotoxin tetrodotoxin (TTX), the majority toxic non-protein substance known and the same to that produced by the puffer fish.

Poison frogs are well known for their brightly colored skin. Bright colors forewarn possible predators of their toxicity. With the exception of some salamandrid salamanders that can extrude sharp venom-shaped ribs, [25].

Amphibians aren't recognized to vigorously inject venom. Mainly toxic amphibians are recognized to be toxic to contact or to eat. Its frequently confiscate toxins from animals and plants they feed on, usually toxic insects or poisonous plants. A well known example is the famous dart frog. They consume a lethal chemical like lipophilic alkaloid by consumption a poisonous feed in the tropical forest. They were resistant to poison and exude it throughout their skin as a protection technique against predators. That poison is so effective that the natives of the South American tropical forest used the toxins of frogs to murder their prey [26], which gives them the nickname "frog poison".

Some people employ the bufotoxins of some toxic toad species as a drug [27], but it can become extremely unsafe, because of the size and toxicity of toads, poisons would not be deadly for a healthy and growing adult. But if too much toxin is absorbed, or if the person is young or sick, poisons can become a grave danger. It depends on the species: some amphibians have toxins strong sufficient to kill even a mature person in good health in a minority minutes, whilst further frog species might not have sufficient to have no effect. Biologicaly Licking toads is not sensible. For these tryptamines to

be orally make active, the human monoamine oxidase system be obliged to inhibited. Therefore, licking a poisoned amphibian will not assurance enough dose**.**

Frogs poison

About 180 species of new world Frogs characterized by the aptitude to produce tremendously toxic skin secretions. Poisoned frogs live in the tropical forests of the New World, from Nicaragua to Peru and Brazil. Poison frogs, or dendrobatids, are small and variety from 2-5 cm mm(fig.1) [28]. poison frogs (*Minyobates*) to about 65 mm (2.6 inches) in the skunk frog (*Aromobates nocturnes*) [29].

Fig 1:**Poison Dart frogs: *Dendrobates leucomelas*, the yellow-banded poison dart frog, *Dendrobates pumilio*, (the strawberry poison dart frog) .**

Scientists found that *C. greening* and a related species of hylid frog, *Apara sphenodon brunoi*, are the only venomous frogs known to science**.**

conventionally, venomous organisms bite you, sting or stab you to inflict damage, while you bite or touch poisonous creatures to feel their effects. This means that venomous organisms need a way to enter, such as fangs or teeth. Some frogs and toads are poisonous, with the main venom glands lying on the sides of the neck and under the warts in the back. These regions are presented to the attacking animal and their secretions can be nauseating or cause various physical or neurological symptoms. In total, more than 200 toxins were isolated from the limited number of amphibian species that were studied. More than 100 toxins / metabolites have been identified in the cutaneous secretions of poisoned frogs, particularly of the genus Dendrobates and Phyllobates. These are also known as poison arrow frogs. These toxins can come from the metabolism of chemicals from frog feeding [30].

Toxic species often use brilliant coloring to warn possible predators of their toxicity. These warning colors be inclined to red or yellow combined with black,for example the salamander ash (*Salamandra salamandra*). In some species, such as the fire-bellied toad (*Bombina spp.*), The precautionary color is on the belly and these animals adopt a defensive position when they are attacked, showing their glowing colors to the predator[30].

The coloring of poisoned frogs usually includes reds, oranges, yellows and even bright blues and greens on a black or dark background (fig 2). All dendrobatids are not so toxic or brightly colored; Many have brown patterns and are well camouflaged (as in Colostethus), and their skin emissions are commonly non-toxic and non-irritating[29].

Fig 2: Two members of the family of Neotropical frogs Dendrobatidae. (Left) Colostethus trilineatus. All members of this genus are invisible in color. (Right) Epipedobates macero, a members of the brilliantly colored and poison family. The investigate notify in this subject shows that the shining coloring and the toxicity have developed some times jointly in this family of frogs [49].

Actually, secretion of the skin of the real frog toxin, or poison frog (Phyllobates terribilis), is thus poisonous that the point of a dart scrape on the back selects up enough fatal to kill a big bird or a monkey.

The source and manufacture of poison secretions of the frog skin remain unconvinced, but as a minimum in some dendrobatids, this seems to come from their consumption of beetles, their main prey. Suspended in captivity and fed with a diet empty of beetles, the poison secretion of frogs do not contain extremely toxic alkaloids [15].

The amphibian cutaneous glands in general be in contact to four types according to their secret: mucoid glands, serous, mixed (seromucic) glands, and sebaceous [31, 32] descried the mucous glands as

epithelial unit (adenomeres or acini) through tight lumens which contain of a solitary layers of secretory cells .

frog Phyllobates bicolor skin includes two kinds of exocrine gland: mucoid and serous, which emptying their products on the surface of the epidermis through an intraepithelial canal that leads to an ostomy. The mucoid and serous glands and intercalated channel is bounded by a rebound sheath of myoepithelial cells, which attenuate the light of the acinus and the lumen of the canals and facilitate the secretion and release of their contents. The serous gland contain a polarize syncytium of cuboid or columnar epithelial cells. Equally gland have a blended discharge, so the content of the mucoiod gland be inclined to neuter and basophilic stippling, whilst the content of the serous gland are basophilic and acidophilic [33]

Noble & Noble(1944)[34] characterized the leathern gland of Rana pipiens (mucous and alveolar) like an alveolar hollow space bounded by cubic shape epithelial cells, monolayers cubical epithelium, also in their cytoplasm it is probable to examine secretory granules). Cutaneous secretions of amphibians have a big numeral of biologically energetic compound, which are hypothetical to play multiple roles including the regulating the physiological purposes of the skin, or as a protection devices against predators or microorganisms. The variety of chemical components in the auditory and cutaneous glands of toads in fact particularly essential resources, as of which curative agent can be expanded[35]. The cutaneous secretions of toads parotoid skin, The Bufo genus contains no less than 86 different types of active compounds, each with the potential to become a powerful drug[36]. The glands of frogs secrete their products outside the epidermis through an intraepithelial canal that leads to a formed stoma by three to four modified epithelial cells called stomalcells,[34].

More than 800 amphibian cutaneous alkaloids have been noticed in arthropods, presumed sources of arthropods for coccinellin-like tracers (batrachotoxins) and tricyclics (coleopterans), pumiliotoxins (ants, mites), decahydroquinolines, izidines, pyrrolidines and piperidines (centipedes) were determined[4].

What's the amphibian poisons?

It has long been known that toad toxins contain rich chemical potential pharmaceutical substances [27]. Ongoing studies have reported in excess of 100 such chemical components, including peptides, steroids, indole alkaloids, bufo gargarizanines, organic acids and others.. parotoid secretions and glands in skin of different toad species amphibian skin have different chemical

compounds ,that may have a role to defense mechanism against predators and guard against parasites[4].

Studies propose that even as toxic defense substances may be useful for avoiding predators, it could be bad for a species in the long run. This is another example of how evolution does not act "for the good of the species", but for the sake of the individual [37]. The results also propose that the means a species defends itself could be part of the puzzle of species requiring conservation efforts. Neuwirth [38] established the structure of grainy glands of nine species of dendrobatid frog was identical in all species albeit the dissimilar frogs produce substances of diverse chemical composition and poisoning.

Compound in grainy dermal glands in amphibians include amine, peptides, protein, steroids and alkaloid soluble in water and lipids. amphibian skin implicates lots of structural classes of alkaloid hitherto unidentified in natural world. These comprised of batracho toxins, lately find out in the dermal and plumes of bird, histrionic- toxins, gephyro- toxins, decahydroquinolines, pumilio- toxins and homopumilio toxins, epibatidine and samandarines. several alkaloids in the amphibian dermis are obviously isolatedd from the food, that include of tiny arthropods. These comprise the pyrrolizidine and indolizidine alkaloid of ants, tricyclic coccinellines of beetle and pyrrolizidine oximes, apparently centipedes[39].

Amphibian secretions, however, are not all bad. More than 200 chemical toxins considered beneficial for medical research have been isolated from only a small percentage of the world's amphibian species[40].

Numerous classes of compounds have been recognized from the parotoid glands or the toad skin, counting peptides, steroids, indole alkaloids, bufo gargarizanines, organic acids and others [41], [42].Bufadienolides and indole alkylamines are believed as the two major groups of compounds with therapeutic potential like Bufalin, Cinobufagin, Arenobufagin, Gamabufotalin (table 1)

Table 1. The identification of significant bioactive compounds in different species of toads [27]

		Species of Toad			
Name	Formula	B. b. gargarizans	B. marinus	B. alvarius	B. melanosticus
Bufalin	C24H34O4	+	+	+	+
Cinobufagin	C26H34O6	+	-	-	-

Arenobufagin	C24H32O6	+	+	+	+
Gamabufotalin	C24H34O	+	-	+	+
Telocinobufagin	C24H34O	+	+	+	+
Marinobufagin	C24H32O5	+	+	+	+
Bufotenine	C12H16N2O	+	+	+	+
Bufotenidine	C13H18N2O	+	-	-	+
Dehydrobufotenine	C12H14N2O	+	+	-	+
Bufothionine	C12H15N2O3S	+	+	+	-
Indole-3-acetic acid	C10H9NO2	-	-	+	-

+: Present; −: Not present.

Poison effect

A role for venoms as a defensive role, but this purpose is considered secondary [43, 44]. A variety of venom components could be used for defensive purposes, for instance toxin peptides, alkaloids, protease inhibitors that slow down digestion, and other compounds that cause aggression of the body. It is interesting to note that some compounds cause specific behaviors in predators, such as peptides in the skin mucus of Xenopus frogs that stimulate uncontrollable yawning and open bite that allow frogs to crawl out of the snakes' mouths[43].

Recent studies have shown that many venom components have strong antimicrobial activity [45,46]. Due to the various structures and bioactivity of peptide toxins, certain Peptide toxins have exhibited broad antimicrobial activity, which may have potential to be developed as new antimicrobial factors or as form for drug layout . Due to the diversity of structures and bioactivity of peptide toxins, some

Peptide toxins have shown extensive antimicrobial activity, which can be expanded as new antimicrobial factors or else as patterns for drug design [47, 46 48]. Defensins and Cathelicidins were the two major families of natural antimicrobials peptides, which have potent microbid property versus bacteria, fungi and several viruses.

Numerous ingredients found in venom of animals are hormone such peptides, that could profoundly affect metabolic systems. amphibians skin is enriched in bioactive peptides, capable of stimulating or inhibiting activities of metabolism. Beginning with a targeted metabolic illness, such as diabetes, examination for venom toxins, if possible in animals models, might guide to finding of

new modulating metabolic active ingredients metabolic system. Such as work may reveal the unidentified regulative ways in human being metabolism and techniques of the disease implicated[3].

REFERENCE

1. Ewert J P, John E, Cooper , Tom L , Gilbert M , Kathryn R, , Helen S, Species specific provisions for Amphibians Background information for the proposals presented by the Group of Experts on Amphibians and Reptiles. Strasbourg, 27 August 2004; GT 123, 2004; 14, PART B.
2. Abe AS, Estivation in South American amphibians and reptiles. Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.1995; 11-12: 1241-1247.
3. Zhangy, Why do we study animal toxins? zological Research, 2015; 36(4): 183–222.
4. Daly JW, Spande TF, Garraffo HM., Alkaloids from amphibian skin: a tabulation of over eight-hundred compounds. J of Natural Products, 2005; 68(10): 1556-1575.
5. Dumbacher JP, Beehler BM, Spande TF, Garraffo HM, Daly JW. Homobatracho toxin in the genus Pitohui: chemical defense in birds? Science, 1992; 258(5083): 799-801.
6. Kingdon J, Agwanda B, Kinnaird M, O'Brien T, Holland C, Gheysens T, Boulet-Audet M, VollrathF.. A poisonous surprise under the coat of the African crested rat, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biolo. Scien.2012;279(1729): 675-680.
7. ToldoRC , Jared C., Utaneous granular glands and amphibian venom. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A Physiology. 1995;111(1):1-29.
8. Campbell CR, Voyles J, Cook DI, Dinudom A., Frog skin epithelium: electrolyte transport and chytridiomycosis.The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biol.2012; 44(3): 431-434.
9. Duellman WE, Trueb L. Relationship with the environment. In: Duellman WE, Trueb L. Biology of Amphibians. Maryland: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1994; 197-228.
10. Voyles J, Young S, Berger L, Campbell C, Voyles WF, Dinudom A, Cook D, Webb R, Alford RA, Skerratt LF, Speare R.. Pathogenesis of chytridiomycosis, a cause of catastrophic amphibian declines.Science, 2009; 326(5952): 582-585.
11. Padro JD., Smailb.J, Huttenlocker AK. stem caecilian from the Triassic of Colorado sheds light on the origins of Lissamphibia, ProcNatlAcadSci U S A. 2017 ; 3: 114(27): E5389–E5395.
12. Zhao E. "Distribution patterns of amphibians in temperate East Asia." *Patterns of Distribution of Amphibians.A Global Perspective.* Duellman, W. E., eds., Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 1999; 421-443.
13. Zhao, E. (ed.), *China Red Data Book of Endangered Animals. Amphibia and Reptilia.* Science Press, Beijing, China 1998.

14. Lai R, Zhao Y, Yang DM, Zha HG, Lee WH, Zhang Y. Comparative study of the biological activities of the skin secretions from six common Chinese amphibians.Zoological Research, 2002; 23(2): 113-119.
15. Zhang Y. Amphibian skin secretions and bio-adaptive significance — implications from Bombina maxima skin secretion proteome. Zoological Research, 2006; 27(1): 101-112. (In Chinese)
16. Liu SB, He YY, Zhang Y, Lee WH, Qian JQ, Lai R, Jin Y.. A novel non-lens βγ-crystallin and trefoil factor complex from amphibian skin and its functional implications. PLoS One, 2008; 3(3): e1770.
17. Qian JQ, Liu SB, He YY, Lee WH, Zhang Y. a. Acute toxicity of βγ-CAT, a naturally existing non-lens βγ-crystallin and trefoil factor complex from frog Bombina maxima skin secretions. Toxicon. 2008; 52(1): 22-31.
18. Xu XQ, Lai R.. The chemistry and biological activities of peptides from amphibian skin secretions. Chemical Reviews, 2015; 115(4): 1760-1846.
19. Felsemburgh F A, Carvalho-e-Silva S P , de Brito-Gitirana L, Morphological characterization of the anuran integument of the Proceratophrys and Odontophrynus genera (Amphibia, Anuran, Leptodactylidae). Micron, 2007;38(5):439-45
20. Cramp RL, McPhee, RK, Meyer EA,Ohmer ME, Craig E, Franklin CE. First line of defence: the role of sloughing in the regulation of cutaneous microbes in frogs, Conserv Physiol. 2014; 2(1): cou012.
21. Vickaryous and Sire, The integumentary skeleton of tetrapods: origin, evolution, and development. Journal of Anatomy. 2009 Apr; 214(4): 441–464.
22. Castanho LM, De Luca IMS. Moulting behavior in leaf-frogs of the genus phyllomedusa (Anura: hylidae). Zoologischer Anzeiger .2001; 240: 3–6.
23. Fox H. The structure of the integument. In Heatwole H, Barthalmus GT, editors. , eds, Amphibian Biology: The Integument, Surrey Beatty & Sons, Chipping Norton, 1994; 1:32379.
24. Kowalski K, Marciniak P, Rosiński G, Rychlik L. Toxic activity and protein identification from the parotoid gland secretion of the common toad Bufobufo. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2018 Feb ;205:43-52.
25. Lüddecke T , Schulz S ,Sebastian Steinfartz, SVences M, A salamander's toxic arsenal: review of skin poison diversity and function in true salamanders, genus Salamandra. The Science of Nature,2018; 105(9-10).
26. Grant T, Frost DR, Caldwell J P, Gagliardo R, Haddad C F B, Kok P J R, Means D B, Noonan B P, Schargel W E, Wheeler W C (2006). "Phylogenetic systematics of dart-poison

frogs and their relatives (Amphibia: Athesphatanura: Dendrobatidae)" *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*.2006; 299 (299).

27. Qi J , Zulfiker AHM , Li C , Good D, Wei M. The Development of Toad Toxins as Potential Therapeutic Agents. Toxins 2018; 10: 336.
28. Heying H. 2003. "Dendrobatidae". Animal Diversity Web. http://animaldiversity.ummz.umich.edu/site/accounts/information/Dendrobatidae.html.
29. John P. Rafferty Reptiles and Amphibians, Britamica Educational publishing association with Rosen educational services ,LLC ,New York, first edition, 2011; 264.
30. DalyJW , GarraffoMH, Spande, TH.F., Amphibian Alkaloids Chapter-3, The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Pharmacology1993; 43:185-288.
31. Delfino G, Giachi F, Nosi, D. &Malentacchi, C. Serous cutaneous glands in Phyllobates bicolor (Anura: Dendrobatidae): an ontogenetic, ultrastructural study on secretory product biosynthesis and maturation. Copeia, 2010;(1):27-37.
32. Angel R., Delfino G F, Parra G J. Ultrastructural patterns of secretory activity in poison cutaneous glands of larval and juvenile Dendrobatesauratus (Amphibia, Anura). Toxicon.2003; 41(1):29-39.
33. Moreno-Gómez, F, Tania D, Leonardo F, Juan A,; Xiomara P, Helberg AS. Histological Description of the Skin Glands of Phyllobates bicolor (Anura: Dendrobatidae) Using Three Staining Techniques. Int. J. Morphol.2014; 32(3):882-888.
34. Noble G A, Noble E R. On the histology of frog skin glands. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc.1944; 63:254-63.
35. Clarke BT, The natural history of amphibian skin secretions their normal functioning and potential medical applications, Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 1997;72 (3) :365.
36. Nalbantsoya A, MertKarışb 1 , Husniye T Y , Bayram G. Biological activities of skin and parotoid gland secretions of bufonid toads (Bufobufo, Bufoverrucosissimus and Bufotesvariabilis) from Turkey. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 2016; 80 : 298–303.
37. Smith KE, Christina G, Halpin, Candy R,. The benefits of being toxic to deter predators depends on prey body size.Behav Ecol. 2016; 27(6): 1650–1655.
38. Neuwirth M, Daly Jw, Myersm CW,Tice LW.. Morphology of the granular secretory glands in skin of poison-dart frogs (Dendrobatidae), Tissue and cell .1979;11(4):755-771.
39. Daly JW, The chemistry of poisons in amphibian skin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 1995; 92(1):9-13. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.92.1.9.
40. Faccio, S.D. Amphibian skin, toxic chimicals to medical marvels.2011.northern woodlands.

41. Gao H, Zehl M, Leitner A, Wu X, Wang Z, Kopp, B. Comparison of toad venoms from different Bufo species by HPLC LC-DAD-MS/MS. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2010, 131, 368–376.
42. Wang DL, Qi FH, Tang W, Wang FS, Chemical constituents and bioactivities of the skin of Bufobufogargarizans Cantor. Chem. Biodivers. 2011; 8:559–567.
43. Brodie ED. Toxins and venoms.Current Biology, 2009; 19(20): R931-R935.
44. Fry BG, Roelants K, Champagne DE, Scheib H, Tyndall JD, King GF, Nevalainen TJ, Norman JA, Lewis RJ, Norton RS, Renjifo C, De La Vega RC. The toxicogenomic multiverse: convergent recruitment of proteins into animal venoms.Annual Rev. Gen. Human Gen.2009; 10: 483-511.
45. Wang K ,Yazhou Li , Yuanyuan X, Changxiao L,. Research on Peptide Toxins with Antimicrobial Activities. Annals of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutics. Review Article Published: 23 Nov, 2016.
46. Charvat RA, Strobel RM, Pasternak MA, Klass SM, Rheubert JL. Analysis of snake venom composition and antimicrobial activity. Toxicon. 2018;150:151-167. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2018.05.016. Epub 2018 May 22.
47. Yan L, Adams ME. Lycotoxins. antimicrobial peptides from venom of the wolf spider Lycosacarolinensis. J Biol Chem. 1998; 273: 2059-2066.
48. Attarde SS, Pandit SV.Scorpion venom as therapeutic agent - current perspective.Int J Current Pharm Rev. 2016; 7: 59-72.
49. Summers K, Convergent evolution of bright coloration and toxicity in frogs. Proc Natl AcadSci U S A.2003; 28: 100(22): 12533–12534.