

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Streamlining of Process Parameters For Machining of Aisi 4130 Steel Alloy Using Wire – Electric Discharge Machine

P. Hema^{1*} and U. Sainadh²

^{1*} Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, S.V.U. College of Engineering, Tirupati – 517502, Andhra Pradesh,

² Academic Consultant, Department of Mechanical Engineering, S.V.U. College of Engineering, Tirupati – 517502, Andhra Pradesh, India E-mail: siva.usn@gmail.com, Mob: +91 – 9849704085

ABSTRACT

One of the most important aspects of hard metal machining is the correct selection of the production environment and Electrical Discharge Machine (EDM) is one of the most suitable machining techniques for hard alloys. This research paper examines the effect of performance parameters on AISI 4130 Steel alloy machining using Wire Electrical Discharge Machine (WEDM). Pulse on time, pulse off time, pulse peak current and water pressure are selected at three different levels for this investigation and brass wire of 0.25 mm diameter is chosen as the tool. The experiments are considered based on the Taguchi experiment design with L9 Orthogonal Array. Process parameter effects are analyzed on performance parameters such as Kerf width and Material Removal Rate (MRR) by machining 10 mm diameter hole on AISI 4130 steel alloy at different experiment levels. The output parameters are analyzed using S / N ratios and ANOVA identifies the most important parameters of the process for individual responses.

KEYWORDS: 4130 Steel Alloy, Wire EDM, Taguchi Method, Orthogonal Array, Kerf Width, Material Removal Rate, S/N Ratios, ANOVA

***Corresponding Author**

Dr. P. Hema

Assistant Professor,

Department of Mechanical Engineering,

Sri Venkateswara University College of Engineering,

Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati – 517 502

Email: hemasvumech@gmail.com, Mob. No.: +91 – 9490247265

INTRODUCTION

Wire EDM machining is an electro – thermal procedure where a flimsy single strand metal wire (typically Brass) allied to de – ionized water enables the wire to slice through metal utilizing heat from electrical sparkles. Wire EDM is commonly used to slice sheets up to a thickness of 300 mm and to make punches; instruments and dies from hard metals that are hard to machine with different techniques. Wire EDM is generally utilized when low residual burdens are required, as high cutting powers are not required for material evacuation¹. On the off chance that the energy per strike is moderately low (as in completing tasks), because of these low residual burdens, little change in the mechanical properties of a material is usual, in spite of the fact that non – stress material can harm the procedure. Due to the inherent properties of the process, Wire EDM can without much of a stretch machine complex parts and accuracy segments from hard conductive materials².

At the point when the wire approaches the part, the fascination of electrical charges makes a controlled flash, soften and vaporize minuscule material particles. The spark also removes a small portion of the wire, so once the wire passes through the workpiece, the machine discards the wire used and automatically moves new wire forward. The procedure is quick and a huge number of flashes are created every second, except the wire never contacts the workpiece. Wire EDM machines utilize a de – ionized water as dielectric liquid for ceaselessly cool and flush the machining zone amid EDM. Figure 1 shows the working principle of wire EDM³.




Figure 1: Working principle of wire EDM process

In this work, the following objectives are addressed:

- Machining of AISI 4130 steel alloy by using Wire EDM.
- To conduct the experiments by varying the control parameters such as Pulse on time, Pulse off time, Pulse peak current and Water pressure with de – ionized water is utilized as a dielectric liquid during machining of 10 mm diameter hole on AISI 4130 Steel Alloy.
- To optimize process parameters to improve machining features such as Kerf Width and Material Removal Rate (MRR) using S/N ratios.

- Find out the most influential process parameters of AISI 4130 Steel Alloy using Wire EDM by ANOVA.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup of the wire EDM is shown in Figure 2. Mainly, it consists of six parts which are explained below⁴.



Figure 2: Experimental setup of MIG welding

1. **Dielectric Reservoir, Pump and Circulation System:** For each run of the experiment, dielectric reservoirs and pumps are used to circulate the EDM oil and the EDM oil filter is also used.
2. **Power Generator and Control Unit:** It has a time control function that controls the length of time during each pulse that the current flows; this is called “on time”. The amount of current allowed to flow during each pulse is then controlled. These pulses are measured in microseconds and of very short duration. The control unit controls machining functions such as Ton, Ip, Duty Cycle, setting values and maintaining the tool gap on the workpiece.
3. **Working Tank with Work Holding Device:** All the EDM oil in the working tank is utilized to supply the liquid amid the machining procedure and the work holding gadget is utilized to hold the workpiece in a fixed position amid the machining procedure..
4. **X – Y Table Accommodating the Working Table:** It is used to give the workpiece moment in X and Y directions.
5. **Servo System to Feed the Tool:** To maintain the predetermined gap, the servo control unit is provided. It senses the gap voltage and compares it to the current value and then the different voltage is used to control the servo motor movement to adjust the gap.
6. Tool Holder

EXPERIMENTATION

A series of experiments are carried out based on the Taguchi design on AISI 4130 steel alloy workpiece by using wire EDM with de – ionized water is utilized as a dielectric medium⁵. The details of the experiment are as follows:

AISI 4130 steel alloy workpiece is machined using distinctive control factors such as Pulse on time, Pulse off time, Pulse peak current and Water pressure. The Chemical composition, Physical properties of AISI 4130 steel alloy and the chosen control parameters & their levels are scheduled in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 1: Chemical Composition of AISI 4130 Steel Alloy

| C | Cr | Fe | Mn | Mo | P | Si | S |
|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| 0.28 – 0.33 | 0.80 – 1.1 | 97.03 – 98.22 | 0.40 – 0.60 | 0.15 – 0.25 | ≤ 0.035 | 0.15 – 0.30 | ≤ 0.040 |

Table 2: Mechanical properties of AISI 4130 steel alloy

| Yield Strength (MPa) | Tensile Strength (MPa) | Modulus of Elasticity (GPa) | Poisson’s Ratio | Hardness (HB) | Bulk Modulus (GPa) | Machinability |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 435 | 670 | 205 | 0.29 | 197 | 140 | 70% |

Table 3: Process parameters and their levels

| Process Parameter | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Pulse on time (µsec.) | 100 | 102 | 104 |
| Pulse off time (µsec.) | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| Pulse peak current (Amps) | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Water pressure (Kg/cm ²) | 80 | 85 | 90 |

Based on the control parameters and their levels, the experiments are carried out on the basis of Taguchi experiment design with L₉ Orthogonal Array and the responses are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Experimental results for 4130 steel alloy

| S. No. | Pulse on Time (µsec.) | Pulse off Time (µsec.) | Pulse Peak Current (Amps.) | Water Pressure (Kg/cm ²) | Kerf Width (mm) | MRR (g/sec) |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1 | 100 | 50 | 1 | 80 | 0.09 | 0.00121 |
| 2 | 100 | 51 | 2 | 85 | 0.46 | 0.00036 |
| 3 | 100 | 52 | 3 | 90 | 0.07 | 0.00045 |
| 4 | 102 | 50 | 2 | 90 | 0.64 | 0.000769 |
| 5 | 102 | 51 | 3 | 80 | 0.41 | 0.000287 |
| 6 | 102 | 52 | 1 | 85 | 0.01 | 0.0001 |
| 7 | 104 | 50 | 3 | 85 | 0.38 | 0.00129 |
| 8 | 104 | 51 | 1 | 90 | 0.20 | 0.0016 |
| 9 | 104 | 52 | 2 | 80 | 0.29 | 0.00156 |

The machined workpieces after cutting are shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Machined workpieces after cutting

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the resonances obtained in Table 4, the S/N ratios and ANOVA responses for Kerf width and Material Removal Rate are calculated using Minitab software⁶.

Kerf Width Vs Process Parameters

Equation (1) is used to calculate the S / N ratios for kerf width. The Taguchi method is used to analyze the results of the machining parameter response in categorize to smaller the better criteria. For smaller the better:

$$S/N = - 10 * \log_{10} (\Sigma (Y_i^2/n)) \quad \text{-----} \quad (1)$$

Where, Y_i = investigational value in the i^{th} , n = number of replications. Where the S/N proportions are determined from experimental values, y_i represents the experimentally observed value of the i^{th} experiment and $n=1$ is the repetitive number of each investigation in L9 is conducted.

Table 5: Response table for S/N ratios (smaller the better) for kerf width

| Level | Pulse on Time | Pulse off Time | Pulse Peak Current | Water Pressure |
|-------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 16.919 | 11.065 | 24.965 | 13.137 |
| 2 | 17.207 | 9.490 | 7.124 | 18.383 |
| 3 | 11.045 | 24.617 | 13.082 | 13.651 |
| Delta | 6.162 | 15.127 | 17.840 | 5.246 |
| Rank | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |




Figure 4: Main effects plot for kerf width

Table 6: Analysis of Variance Results for Kerf Width

| Source | DF | Seq SS | Adj SS | Adj MS | % Cont. |
|--------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Pulse on Time | 2 | 72.55 | 72.554 | 36.277 | 7.025 |
| Pulse off Time | 2 | 414.95 | 414.955 | 207.477 | 40.183 |
| Pulse peak current | 2 | 494.97 | 494.973 | 247.486 | 47.932 |
| Water pressure | 2 | 50.17 | 50.173 | 25.087 | 4.841 |
| Total | 8 | 1032.65 | | | 100 |

From Table 5 and Figure 4, the optimum parameters for a smaller Kerf width are observed is obtained at pulse on time 104 μsec, pulse off time 51 μsec, pulse peak current 2 Amp and water pressure 80 Kg/cm² and also from ANOVA Table 6, pulse peak current (47.932%) is the most influential parameter.

MRR Vs Process Parameters

Based on Equation (2), the S / N ratios for material removal rate are calculated. Taguchi method is used to analyze the result of the machining parameter response in order to larger the better criteria^{7&8}. For larger the better

$$S/N = - 10*\log_{10}(\Sigma (1/Y_i^2)/n) \quad \text{-----} \quad (2)$$

Where, Y_i = investigational value in the ith, n = number of replications. Where the S/N proportions are determined from experimental values, y_i represents the experimentally observed value of the ith experiment and n=1 is the repetitive number of each investigation in L9 is conducted.

Table 7: Response table for S/N ratios (larger the better) for material removal rate

| Level | Pulse on Time | Pulse off Time | Pulse Peak Current | Water Pressure |
|-------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | - 64.72 | - 59.47 | - 64.75 | - 61.77 |
| 2 | - 71.04 | - 65.21 | - 62.43 | - 68.89 |
| 3 | - 56.61 | - 67.69 | - 65.19 | - 61.71 |
| Delta | 14.43 | 8.22 | 2.76 | 7.18 |
| Rank | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |




Figure 5: Main effects plot for material removal rate

Table 8: Analysis of variance results for material removal rate

| Source | DF | Seq SS | Adj SS | Adj MS | % Cont. |
|--------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Pulse on Time | 2 | 313.785 | 313.785 | 156.893 | 58.57 |
| Pulse off Time | 2 | 106.661 | 106.661 | 53.331 | 19.90 |
| Pulse peak current | 2 | 13.191 | 13.191 | 6.595 | 2.46 |
| Water pressure | 2 | 102.086 | 102.086 | 51.043 | 19.063 |
| Total | 8 | 535.723 | | | 100 |

From Table 7 and Figure 5, the optimum parameters for a larger material removal rate is observed at pulse on time 104 μ sec, pulse off time 50 μ sec, pulse peak current 2 Amp and water pressure 80 Kg/cm² and also from ANOVA Table 8, pulse on time (58.57%) is the most influential parameter.

CONCLUSION

The following conclusions are drawn:

- Using brass wire of 0.25 mm electrode with de – ionized water as a dielectric medium, 10 mm holes are machined on AISI 4130 steel alloy by varying the control parameters such as pulse on time, pulse off time, pulse peak current and water pressure.
- From the results of S/N ratios:
 - It is observed that the optimum parameters are obtained at pulse on time 104 μ sec, pulse off time 51 μ sec, pulse peak current 2 Amp and water pressure 80 Kg/cm² exhibits minimum Kerf width than the other machined holes.
 - It is observed that the optimum parameters are obtained at pulse on time 104 μ sec, pulse off time 50 μ sec, pulse peak current 2 Amp and water pressure 80 Kg/cm² exhibits maximum Material Removal Rate than the other machined holes.
- From the ANOVA results:
 - It is observed that based on % contribution values, pulse peak current has great influence on kerf width
 - It is observed that based on % contribution values, pulse on time has great influence on material removal rate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors are grateful to Department of Mechanical Engineering, S.V.U. College of Engineering, S.V. University, Tirupati – 517 502 for providing the necessary equipments, tools and continuous encouragement to conduct this research.

REFERENCES

1. Kuppan P, Rajadurai A, Narayanan S. “Effect of EDM Parameters on Hole Quality Characteristics in Deep Hole Drilling of Inconel 718 Super alloy”. *International Journal of Manufacturing Research (IJMR)*., ISSN: 1750-0591, 2015; 10(1).
2. Kuppan P, Rajadurai A, Narayanan S. “Influence of EDM Process Parameters in Deep Hole Drilling of INCONEL 718”. *International Journal of Advance Manufacturing Technology*., Springer, 2007
3. Kamaljit Singh, Kalra CS. “An Experimental Investigation: Machining of OHNS Die Steel by EDM”. *Journal of Engineering, Computers and Applied Sciences*, 2013; 2(6): 39-42.
4. Kapil Banker, Ujjval Prajapati, Jaimin Prajapati, Paras Modi. “Parameter Optimization of Electro Discharge Machine of AISI 304 Steel by Using Taguchi Method”. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management*., 2014; 3(8): 20-24.
5. Anand Nikalje N, Umesh Hambire V. “Analysis of the Influence of EDM Parameters on Surface QUALITY, MRR, EWR and Micro Hardness of AISI O2”. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, ISSN: 2229-5518, 2014; 5(3).
6. Ghewade DV, Nipanikar SR. “Experimental Study of Electro Discharge Machining for Inconel Material”. *Journal of Engineering Studies and Research*, ISSN: 0976-7916, 2011; 2(2): 107-112.
7. Seiji Kumagai, Naoki Sato and Koichi Takeda. “Operation Parameter Optimization for Fabrication of Narrow, Deep Hole in Metal by Electrical Discharge Machining Using a Dielectric-Encased Wire-Electrode”. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 2007; 189(1-3): 310-315.
8. Liao YS, Huang JT, Chen YH. “A Study to Achieve a Fine Surface Finish in Wire – EDM”. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 2004; 149(1-3): 165-171.