

 Research article Available online www.ijsrr.org ISSN: 2279–0543

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

A Study on Derived Fourier Series Using Banach - Cesaro Sum ability Method

Kalpana Saxena¹ and Manju Prabhakar2*

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya, BHOPAL, (M.P.) India ²Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya, BHOPAL, (M.P.) India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we are presenting the concept of product summability Banach(C , 1) of derived series of the Fourier series. This result is motivated by Dayal¹.

KEYWORDS: (C, 1) summability; Banach summability; Banach(C, 1) summability.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010): 42A24, 42A20 and 42B08

***Corresponding Author Manju Prabhakar** Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya, Bhopal, (M.P.) India Email: manjuprabhakar17@gmail.com; Mob No. 8770551889

INTRODUCTION

The concept of sum ability theory has arises so speedly in recent years. It came after the summation of non-convergent series and has played an important role in the field of quantum mechanics, Fourier analysis, Fixed point theory, probability theory and so many.

S. Banach² extends the limit function defined on the space of all convergent sequences to the space of all bounded sequences. Furthermore, $Lorentz³$ has derived the concept of almost convergent through the Banach limit in the year 1948.

Lorentz³ gave an idea for summation by assigning a general limit x_n for the bounded sequences $x = \{x_n\}$. In the theory of almost periodic function this method is similar to the mean values. It can be seen that this general limit is narrowly connected to that of limits of S. Banach². According to Mishra⁴, now we are defining Banach summability

Let Ω and I_{∞} denote the linear space of all sequences and bounded sequences of real numbers respectively. A linear functional L on I_{∞} is said to be Banach limit if and only if the function L satisfies the following properties

$$
(1.1) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{L}_1: \qquad \qquad \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{x}) \ge 0
$$

for every $x \ge 0$ i.e. $x_n \ge 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in I_\infty$

(1.2)
$$
L_2
$$
: $L(e) = 1$

for
$$
e = (1,1,1,......,)
$$

(1.3) L_3 : $L(x) = L(\tau(x))$

for every $x = \{x_n\} \in I_\infty$

where, τ denotes the shift operator on I_{∞} such that

 $\tau(x) = \tau(\{x_n\}) = \{x_{n+1}\}\$

A sequence $x \in I_{\infty}$ is a Banach summable if all the Banach limits of x are the same.

If L is a limit functional on I_{∞} , then

 $\forall x \in I_{\infty}$,

we say that, $L(x)$ is called a Banach limit of x.

A series $\sum u_n$ with sequence of its partial sums $\{s_n\}$ is said to be Banach summable iff $\{s_n\}$ is Banach summable.

Let t_n be the sequence defined by

IJSRR, 8(2) April. – June., 2019 Page 2627

$$
t_n=\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=p}^{n+p}s_k\,,\quad n\in\mathbb{N},
$$

then t_n is said to be the k-th element of the Banach transformed sequence. If

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=s\,,
$$

a finite number, uniformly $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

 Σu_n is said to be Banach summable to s.

The $(C, 1)$ transform is defined as the nth partial sum of $(C, 1)$ summability (Cesaro summability) Titmarsh⁵ and is given by

$$
t_n = \frac{s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n}{n + 1}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_k \to s
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

the infinite series $\sum u_n$ is summable to the definite number s by (C, 1) method.

If the method of Banach summability is superimposed on the Cesaro means of order one, another method of summability Banach (C, 1) is obtained.

Then the series Σu_n is said to be summable by Banach (C, 1) means or summable Banach (C, 1) to a definite number s i.e.

$$
t_k(p) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=p}^{p+k} \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} S_k \right\} \to s
$$

as $n \to \infty$.

We shall consider a function $f(x)$ of bounded variation integrable in the sense of Lebesgue and periodic with period2π.

If,

$$
a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos nx dx
$$

and

$$
b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin nx \, dx
$$

then f(t) generates the Fourier –Lebesgue series

(1.4)
$$
\frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \text{const} + b_n \text{sinnt}) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t)
$$

The series

(1.5)
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(b_n \text{cosnt} - a_n \text{sinnt}) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nB_n(t)
$$

which is obtained by differentiating (1.4) term by term is called the derived Fourier series or the derived series of f(t).

We write,

$$
\begin{aligned} \emptyset(t) &= f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2s \\ g(t) &= \frac{\Psi(t)}{4\sin\frac{t}{2}} \\ \Psi(t) &= f(x+t) - f(x-t) \end{aligned}
$$

KNOWN RESULTS

Dayal¹ has introduced the concept of Banach summability first time in the field of Fourier series showing the existence of unique Banach limit of the series given yields a concept for the convergence of Fourier series. He proved

Theorem A. If

(2.1)
$$
\int_0^t |\phi_x(u)| du = o(t), \text{ as } t \to 0+
$$

and

(2.2)
$$
\int_{\frac{1}{n+p+1}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{|\phi_x(u)|}{u} du = 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

uniformly with respect to p.

Then the Fourier series f(t) has a unique Banach limit and the limit is zero.

Further, Diwan⁶ has obtained an analogous result by generalised theorem A for the derived Fourier series. She proved

(2.3)
$$
G(t) \equiv \int_0^t |g(u)| du = o(t)
$$
, as $t \to 0 +$

and

(2.4)
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{n+p+1}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{|g(u)|}{u} du = o(1)
$$

IJSRR, 8(2) April. – June., 2019 Page 2629

Theorem B. If

hold uniformly with respect to p, then the derived Fourier series (1.5) has a unique Banach limit and this limit is zero .

Dealing with Banach summability, many researchers gave an idea for F_A- limit and Banach limit of Fourier series like Ogra⁷, Kori⁸ and Aizpura et al.⁹ and so on.

MAIN RESULT

In this paper, our object is to study the concept of product summability of Banach summable over Cesaro mean by generalizing the previous results. We have given a new result for the Banach Cesaro summablility of derived Fourier series by taking the same conditions. The Theorem is as follows:

Theorem C. If

(3.1)
$$
G(t) = \int_0^t |g(u)| du = o(t)
$$
,

as $t \rightarrow 0$

and

(3.2)
$$
\int_{\frac{1}{n+p+1}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{|g(u)|}{u} du = 0,
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly w.r.to 'p'

then the derived Fourier series (1.5) is summable Banach $(C, 1)$ to zero at the point x.

We require the lemma for our proof.

LEMMA

If we write

$$
K_n^p(t) = \frac{-1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=p}^{n+p} \frac{\sin(v+1)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}}
$$

then

$$
K_n^p(t) = \begin{cases} 0(n+p+1), & \text{for } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{n+p+1} \\ & 0\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), & \text{for } 0 \le t \le \pi \\ & 0\left(\frac{1}{nt^2}\right), & \text{for } \frac{1}{n+1} \le t \le \pi \end{cases}
$$

Proof of lemma

for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{\ln n}$ $\frac{1}{n+p+1}$ we have,

$$
|K_{n}^{p}(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=p}^{n+p} O(v+1) \right|
$$

(4.1) = O(n + p + 1)

$$
\therefore \frac{\sin(v+1)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} = O(v+1)
$$

Also, for $0 \le t \le \pi$,

We have, on simplification

$$
|K_n^p(t)| = \frac{\sin (p + \frac{n}{2} + 1) \sin (n + 1) \frac{t}{2}}{(n + 1) (\sin \frac{t}{2})^2}
$$

(4.2) = O(\frac{1}{t})

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{for } \frac{1}{n+1} \le t \le \pi \\
\left|K_n^p(t)\right| &= \left| \frac{\text{cospt} - \text{cos}(n + p + 1)t + \text{cos}(p + 1)t - \text{cos}(n + p + 2)t}{2(n + 1)\text{sint.}\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right| \\
&\le \left| \frac{\text{cospt} - \text{cos}(n + p + 1)t}{2(n + 1)\text{sint.}\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right| + \left| \frac{\text{cos}(p + 1)t - \text{cos}(n + p + 2)t}{2(n + 1)\text{sint.}\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right| \\
&= I_{1,1} + I_{1,2}, \text{ say}\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\therefore I_{1.1} = \left| \frac{\cos pt - \cos (n + p + 1)t}{2(n + 1)\sin t \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{nt^2}\right)
$$

By virtue of 6 .

Also,

$$
I_{1,2} = \frac{\cos(p + 1)t - \cos(n + p + 2)t}{2(n + 1)\sin t \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}}
$$

 $(4.3) = 0$ $\frac{1}{n t^2}$

PROOF OF THEOREM C

The nth partial sum S'_n of derived Fourier series (1.5) given by Titmarch⁵ can be written as

$$
S'_{n} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \left[\sum_{r=1}^{n} r \sin rt \right] dt
$$

$$
= \frac{-2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \text{const} \right] dt
$$

$$
= \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\sin \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)t}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

(C, 1) transform of S'_n will be given by

$$
C'_{n} = \frac{-1}{(n+1)\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1 - \cos(n+1)t}{\sin^{2} \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(t) \left[\frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} \{1 - \cos(n+1)t\}}{(n+1)\sin^{2} \frac{t}{2}} - \frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

\n
$$
C'_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(t) \left[\frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} \{1 - \cos(n+1)t\}}{(n+1)\sin^{2} \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(t) \left[\frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

 (5.1) ~ $I_1 + I_2$, say Let,

$$
I_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} g(t) \left[\frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} \{1 - \cos(n + 1)t\}}{(n + 1)\sin^2 \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

= $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta} g(t) \left[\frac{2\{1 - \cos(n + 1)t\}}{(n + 1)t^2} \right] dt + o(1),$

as $n \to \infty$

since the last interval is $o(1)$, by the presence of n in denominator & by Riemann-Lebesgue Theorem.

If (1.10) hold for $t \leq \delta$, then

$$
\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta} g(t) \left[\frac{2\{1-\cos((n+1)t)\}}{(n+1)t^2} \right] dt = o(1),
$$

due to Saxena¹⁰

$$
\therefore I_1 = o(1)
$$

It follows from (5.1) that

IJSRR, 8(2) April. – June., 2019 Page 2632

$$
C'_{n} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(t) \left[\frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] dt
$$

Banach (C, 1) transform of S_n is given by,

$$
\sigma'_{n} = \frac{-1}{(n+1)\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(t) \sum_{v=p}^{n+p} \frac{\sin(v+1)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} dt + o(1)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(t) K_{n}^{p}(t) dt + o(1)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+p+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n+p+1}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} g(t) K_{n}^{p}(t) dt \right] + o(1)
$$

 $(5.2) = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{\pi} [P + Q + R] + o(1)$, say

By using condition (3.1) and (4.1), we have

$$
|P| = \frac{1}{\pi} O(n + p + 1) \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+p+1}} |g(t)| dt
$$

(5.3) = o(1), as n \to \infty

On applying condition (3.2) and (4.2),

we have

$$
|Q| = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{n+p+1}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} O(\frac{1}{t}) |g(t)| dt
$$

(5.4) = o(1), as n \to \infty
Also,

By using (3.1) and integration by part, we have

$$
|R| = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) |g(t)| dt
$$

\n
$$
= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\left\{ \frac{G(t)}{t^2} \right\}_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} + 2 \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{G(t)}{t^3} dt \right]
$$

\n
$$
= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right]_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi}
$$

\n(5.5) = o(1),
\nas n \to \infty
\nBy virtue of (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5),

IJSRR, 8(2) April. – June., 2019 Page 2633

 $\sigma'_n = o(1)$, as $n \to \infty$

uniformly w. r. to p.

Hence, the proof of Theorem C is complete.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dayal S. On Banach limit of Fourier series and conjugate series I. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin: 1968; 11: 255-262.
- 2. Banach S. Theorie des operations lineaires. Chelsea Publishing Company: New York; 1978.
- 3. Lorentz GG. A contribution to the theory of divergent series. Acta Mathematica: 1948; 80: 167-190.
- 4. Mishra UK. Current Topics in Summability Theory and Applications. Springer Publication: Singapore; 2016.
- 5. Titchmarsh EC. The Theory of functions. $2nd$ ed. Oxford University Press; 1939.
- 6. Diwan P. Ph.D. Thesis. VIIIth Chapter; 1969.
- 7. Ogra G. Ph.D. Thesis. IInd Chapter: 1971.
- 8. Kori SC. Ph.D. Thesis. IInd Chapter; 1969.
- 9. Aizpura A, Armario R, Garcia-Pacheco FJ and Perez-Fernandez FJ. Banach limits and uniform almost summability. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications: 2011; 379: 82-90.
- 10. Saxena K. A Study of the Product summability $(\gamma, r)(C, 1)$ to derived Fourier series. Ultra Scientist: 2014; 26(2) A: 131-140.