

Research article

Available online www.ijsrr.org

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Lifestyle Management for Type 2 Diabetes: Barriers and Facilitators

Shukla Prachi^{1*}, Siddhu Anupa² and PetersAtul N.C³

¹Assistant Professor, Lady Irwin College, University of Delhi, India.

²Director, Lady Irwin College, University of Delhi, India.

³Senior Consultant & Head, Apollo Institute of Bariatric & Metabolic Surgery, Indraprastha Apollo Hospitals, SaritaVihar, New Delhi India

ABSTRACT

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic disease and its management requires lifelong adherence to diet, exercise and medications. Adherence to diet and physical activity and can promote adequate glycemic control and also prevent complications. However, noncompliance to diet and physical activity is a major concern in T2DM individuals. The purpose of this review therefore is to document the barriers and facilitators to lifestyle management of T2DM.

KEY WORDS:T2DM, Dietary Management, Lifestyle Intervention, Physical Activity, Barriers, Facilitators.

*Corresponding Author

Ms. PrachiShukla

Department of Food and Nutrition
Lady Irwin College (University of Delhi)

Sikandra Road, New Delhi- 110001

Email: prachi_shukla237@yahoo.co.in, Mobile no: 9990338156

ISSN: 2279-0543

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is emerging as one of the most common public health concerns globally and is the most widely occurring form of diabetes. The worldwide prevalence of diabetes among adults aged 20-79 was 6.4% in 2010 and is expected to increase to 7.7% by 2030. Genetic influence in combination with environmental factors (abdominal obesity, sedentary lifestyle, stress, increased consumption of calorie dense low fiber and micronutrient deficient food) contributes to impaired insulin secretion and insulin resistance leading to the development of T2DM. As per the World Health Organization, the treatment of T2DM comprises of two things. The first is lifestyle therapy which includes healthy diet, physical activity, avoidance of tobacco and alcohol and the second being drug therapy that consists of intake of oral hypoglycemic agents and insulin.

Of the articles on which this review is based, while some scholars have focused on barriers and facilitators to diet therapy,⁶⁻⁸ others have studied barriers and facilitators to physical activity ⁹⁻¹¹ in T2DM subjects. Still others have examined the combined effect of both these aspects. ¹²⁻¹⁴ Some have even gone beyond to include factors affecting pharmacotherapy compliance. ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Though diet and lifestyle intervention is the mainstay in treatment of diabetes, ¹⁹ dietary compliance is a major issue that patients face. ^{7,8} So, the purpose of this review is to explore the barriers and facilitators for non-compliance of diet, lifestyle modification and physical activity in T2DM patients.

METHODOLOGY

The articles on which this review is based were published between 2000 and 2017 and were collected through the use of Google scholar and Pubmed database. The key words searched included "management", "treatment", "diet compliance", "barriers", "facilitators", "dietary counseling" "lifestyle modifications" and "physical activity" in combination with T2DM. More articles on the topic were drawn from the references used in these. Both qualitative and quantitative researches were included in this review.

REVIEW

Lifestyle Management for Prevention and Treatment of T2DM

As mentioned earlier, lifestyle management(comprising diabetes self-care, medical nutrition therapy, increased physical activity and smoking discontinuation) is vital component of diabetes care.²⁰ It is

found that lifestyle intervention is cost saving and cost effective option for prevention of T2DM.²¹⁻²³Espeland et al reported that intensive lifestyle intervention in T2DM subjects lead to reduced inpatient admissions, decreased medications and reduced expenditure on health care.²⁴

Apart from cost-benefit analysis, the effectiveness of lifestyle intervention for prevention or management of T2DM has been studied a lot in recent years across all age groups (children and adolescent, ²⁵ adults^{26,27} and elderly^{28,29}) and income levels. ^{30,31}

Studies have found thatT2DM can be averted with dietary modifications, increased exercise and avoidance of smoking and by restricting alcohol consumption. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Programme and The Diabetes Prevention Programme — most commonly cited lifestyle intervention— that advocate that T2DM can be prevented or delayed in impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) subjects with moderate reduction in weight and increased physical activity. The Physical Activity and Nutrition for Diabetes in Alberta (PANDA) trial reported the effectiveness of dietary intervention in Canadian T2DM subjects. Ramachandran et al. also reported similar findings for Asian-Indian subjects, who generally have a higher Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) to diabetes progression rate. Gregg et al. reported that intensive lifestyle intervention leads to partial remission of T2DM in subjects who were not on insulin therapy, had a lower HbA1c level and a shorter duration of diabetes.

Compliance and Adherence to Lifestyle Treatment

Although the terms "compliance" and "adherence" are used interchangeably, the two concepts are different from each other. The degree to which a patient is able to follow medical advice is termed as compliance. On the other hand, noncompliance means inability to follow medical guidance. Meichenbaum and Turk have defined adherence as the "active, voluntary and collaborative involvement of the patient in a mutually acceptable course of behavior to produce a therapeutic result."

Parajuli et al. conducted a study among Nepalese and reported non-adherence to dietary and physical activity advice in 87.5% and 42.1% subjects respectively and poor adherence in 12.5% and 36.6% T2DM subjects respectively. Similar results were reported by Thamilarasi and Sowmiya among semi urban population where diet non-compliance was reported in 79.2% and compliance was reported in only 20.8% subjects. Sharma et al. reported a lower (23.3%) dietary adherence among patients attending diabetes clinic. Patel et al. conducted a research among T2DM subjects from Ahmadabad and reported that 73% subjects were consuming diabetic diet. While Khan et al. documented a lower diet adherence rate (64.7%) among diabetic subjects from Saudi Arabia. Studies reported different physical activity adherence rate, Patel et al. 54%, Parajuli et al. 21.3%,

Khan et al. 45.3% and Sharma et al. 31.7%. Since adherence to diet, lifestyle intervention requires giving up on long standing habits so non-compliance is a major problem in achieving glycemic control in T2DM. The section below will focus on the barriers and facilitators for T2DM management using diet and physical activity approach.

Barriers to Practicing Diet and Physical Activity Compliance

The identified barriers are sub divided into five broad areas as individual related (Age, gender, socioeconomic status, duration of T2DM, educational Level, knowledge level, marital status, vicinity to the hospital, family history of T2DM and lack of time), social, psychological, health care facility related and miscellaneous.

Individual Related

Increasing age is associated with reduced compliance. ^{11,14}Goderis et al. reported that imposing strict recommendations of diet and physical activity is difficult in elderly patients. ⁴⁰In terms of gender, adherence related problems for diet are found to be more common in females in comparison to males ¹⁴ and this pattern remains true in the context of physical activity too. ¹⁵Social and cultural values ¹⁰ and household work and childcare ¹² were reported to be the main reasons for reduced physical activity in females.

Socioeconomic status and financial constraints are also determining factors behind physical activity compliance.^{6,40,41} People belonging to the lower middle-income group are more compliant than upper middle-income group.¹⁴ Adherence to treatment decreases as the duration of disease increases.^{7,14}

Patel et al. studied the factors associated with diabetic diet compliance and reported that higher educational level was associated with higher dietary adherence. Most of the literature cites lack of knowledge as the most important factor for noncompliance of dietary and lifestyle advice. however, and lifestyle advice. The vicinity to the hospital determines the treatment compliance. Less distance leads to greater adherence since the patients can frequently visit a hospital for follow up visits, diet counseling sessions. Advised that the patients can be advised to the patients of the patients can be advised to the patients of the

A positive family history of T2DM was also found to be linked to practicing dietary advice⁸ and physical activity. ¹⁴Type of family also impacts adherence. People from the nuclear family system were more adherent to diet and physical activity as compared to people belonging to joint or extended family. ^{7,14} Compliance was also poor in living alone⁶ married or separated subjects. ¹¹On the contrary, widowed were more adherent to dietary advice. ¹⁴ Paucity of time was the major barrier for practicing physical activity. ^{10,11,17}

Social Aspects

The social network (family, friends and colleagues) of T2DM people also impacts their compliance to diet and physical activity. Thamilarasi&Sowmiya reported that social events were responsible for 40% noncompliance in T2DM subjects. Social obligations and socializing with friends were the commonly identified barriers to physical activity. Jansiraninatarajan reported that patients find difficulty in adhering to dietary advice during family gatherings. Similar results were reported by Booth et al. and the reason was the availability of unhealthy temptations and lack of healthier options. Lack of family support also leads to noncompliance to dietary regimen. Paucity of time was the major barrier for practicing physical activity. 10,11,17

Psychological Aspects

In addition to the above factors, non-compliance can also occur due to psychological factors as the disease leads to psychological distress. Psychological aspects for non-compliance includes lack of motivation, ^{6,12,13,40,42} lack of confidence, fear associated with worsening of the disease, depression, ¹¹ fear and shame ¹⁰ and negative attitude associated with leaving well established habits and adapting to new pattern. ¹³

Health Care Facility Related

Barriers that are identified at the level of health care facility included lack of knowledge related to diet therapy among physicians.⁷ Patel et al. reported that in their study 73 % subjects were following diabetic diet but HbA1c level below 7% was reported in only 35% subjects the reason could be that only 4% subjects referred to dietitians for counseling while 77% of them relied on physicians.⁸ The technical language used by health professionals (doctors, dietitians) was a major barrier in receiving, understanding and following recommendations for management of T2DM.^{7,43}

Miscellaneous

Other aspects include lack of energy,⁶ difficulty in controlling appetite and limited access to dietitians.¹² Barriers to physical activity (other than discussed above) include tiredness, television viewing,¹¹ bad weather, arthritis, safety related issues, shortage of ideal space for walking,¹³ comorbid conditions, lack of interest,⁹ lack of facilities⁴⁴ and domestic help.¹²Winter season was found to be a barrier to physical activity in one study⁹ but not so by other.¹²

Facilitators to Practicing Diet and Physical Activity Compliance

There is a scarcity of literature on the facilitators to lifestyle management. Making people aware of the linkage between diet and health is important for better adherence to the lifestyle intervention.⁷ Improvement in health status and meeting the short term and long term targets acts as a motivating fact.¹³ Visit to a dietitian for diet and lifestyle counseling and frequent follow ups lead to greater adherence.⁷Health professional's positive attitude, belief,¹⁵ praise, encouragement and reinforcement⁷ also works as an inspiration for better compliance to the treatment regimen. The constant supervision and support works as a promoter to physical activity.⁹ Social support (spouse, family members) is a determining factor to diet and physical activity compliance.^{13,44,45}

CONCLUSION

Lifestyle management that comprises of Medical Nutrition Therapy (MNT) and physical activity is an integral component in the management of T2DM and prevention of complications related to it. Noncompliance to lifestyle intervention is found to be a major problem with the patients. This review identified the barriers and facilitators to lifestyle management in T2DM patients and divided them into five broad areas as individual or patient related, social, psychological, health care facility related and miscellaneous. At the **Individual level**, long duration of disease, joint or extended family system, low education level, lack of knowledge about diet modifications and physical activity recommendations, greater distance from hospital and lack of time were commonly reported barriers. **Social** barriers included lack support from family and colleagues, family functions and gatherings. **Psychological** barriers include lack of motivation. The major barriers that were discussed at the level of health care facility included lack of dietary knowledge and use of technical language by health care professional which led to incomplete understanding among patients. While miscellaneous barrierswere lack of energy, tiredness, television viewing, bad weather, health conditions. Although the facilitators were few but regular follow up, visit to a dietitian, motivation and encouragement from health professionals were the factors that lead to lifestyle compliance. Diet counseling by dietitians, repeated exposure, frequent follow up sessions, nutrition education programmes, and development of Information Education Communication (IEC) material and involvement of family members in treatment counseling are the strategies that can be adapted to improve diet and physical activity compliance.

REFERENCES

- 1. SosaleA, Kumar KP, Sadikot SM, Nigam et al. Chronic complications in newly diagnosed patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus in India. Indian J EndocrinolMetab2014; 18(3): 355-60.
- 2. Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Res ClinPract2010; 87(1): 4-14.
- 3. Kaveeshwar SA, Cornwall J. The current state of diabetes mellitus in India. The Australasian Med J 2014;7(1): 45.
- 4. KoheiK. Pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes and its treatment policy. Japan Med Assoc J 2010; *53*(1): 41-46.
- 5. World Health Organization. Global report on diabetes. World Health Organization. 2017.
- 6. Gundala S, Sastry VV, Manmohan T, Geeta, V. A study on adherence to dietary guidelines, treatment and preventive care among diabetic patients. IAIM 2016; 3(5): 166-73.
- 7. Kapur K, Kapur A, RamachandranS et al. Barriers to changing dietary behavior. J of Assoc PhysiciansIndia2008; 56(1): 27-32.
- 8. Patel M, Patel IM, Patel YM, RathiSK. Factors associated with consumption of diabetic diet among type 2 diabetic subjects from Ahmedabad, Western India. J Health PopulNutr 2012; 30(4): 447-55.
- 9. Casey D, De Civita M, DasguptaK. Understanding physical activity facilitators and barriers during and following a supervised exercise programme in Type 2 diabetes: a qualitative study. Diabetic Med 2010; 27(1): 79-84.
- 10. Lawton J, Ahmad N, Hanna L et al. I can't do any serious exercise': barriers to physical activity amongst people of Pakistani and Indian origin with Type 2 diabetes. Health Educ Res2005; 21(1): 43-54.
- 11. Thomas N, Alder E, LeeseGP. Barriers to physical activity in patients with diabetes. Postgrad Med J 2004; 80: 287-91.
- 12. Ali HI, Baynouna LM, Bernsen, RM. Barriers and facilitators of weight management: perspectives of Arab women at risk for type 2 diabetes. Health Soc Care Community 2010; 18(2): 219-228.
- 13. Booth AO, Lowis C, Dean M, Hunter SJ, McKinley MC. Diet and physical activity in the self-management of type 2 diabetes: barriers and facilitators identified by patients and health professionals. Prim Health Care Res Dev 2013;14(3): 293-306.
- 14. Parajuli J, Saleh F, Thapa N, Ali L. Factors associated with nonadherence to diet and physical activity among Nepalese type 2 diabetes patients; a cross sectional study. BMC Res Notes 2014; 7(1): 758.

- 15. JansiraninatarajanM. Diabetic compliance: A qualitative study from the patient's perspective in developing countries. Nurs Health Sci 2013; 1: 29-38.
- 16. Khan AR, LateefZNAA, Al Aithan MA et al. Factors contributing to non-compliance among diabetics attending primary health centers in the Al Hasa district of Saudi Arabia. J Family Community Med 2012; 19(1): 26.
- 17. Sharma T, Kalra J, Dhasmana DC, Basera H. Poor adherence to treatment: A major challenge in diabetes. Journal, Indian Academy of Clinical Medicine 2014; 15(1): 26-9.
- 18. Thamilarasi S, SowmiyaA. Evaluation of the reasons for non-compliance among type 2-diabetes mellitus patients in the semi urban population. Nat J Basic Med Sci2016; 7(1): 36-42.
- 19. Joshi SR. Diabetes care in India. Ann Glob Health 2015; 81(6): 830-38.
- 20. American Diabetes Association. Lifestyle management. Diabetes care 2017; 40(1):S33-S43.
- 21. Lindgren P, Lindstrom J, Tuomilehto J et al. Lifestyle intervention to prevent diabetes in men and women with impaired glucose tolerance is cost-effective. Int J TechnolAssess Health Care 2007; 23(2): 177-183.
- 22. Neumann A, Schwarz P, LindholmL. Estimating the cost-effectiveness of lifestyle intervention programmes to prevent diabetes based on an example from Germany: Markov modeling. Cost EffResourAlloc 2011; 9(1): 17.
- 23. Neumann A, Lindholm L, Norberg M et al. The cost-effectiveness of interventions targeting lifestyle change for the prevention of diabetes in a Swedish primary care and community based prevention program. Eur J Health Econ 2017; 18(7): 905-919.
- 24. Espeland MA, Glick HA, BertoniA et al. Impact of an intensive lifestyle intervention on use and cost of medical services among overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes: the action for health in diabetes. Diabetes Care2014; 37(9): 548-56
- 25. Vivian EM, Colbert LH, Remington PL. Lessons learned from a community based lifestyle intervention for youth at risk for type 2 diabetes. J Obes Weight Loss Ther 2013;1.
- 26. Admiraal WM, Vlaar EM, Nierkens V et al. Intensive lifestyle intervention in general practice to prevent type 2 diabetes among 18 to 60-year-old South Asians: 1-year effects on the weight status and metabolic profile of participants in a randomized controlled trial. Plos One 2013; 8(7): e68605.
- 27. den Braver NR, de Vet E, Duijzer G et al. Determinants of lifestyle behavior change to prevent type 2 diabetes in high-risk individuals. Int J BehavNutr Phys Act 2017; 14(1): 78.
- 28. Følling IS, Solbjør M. Midthjell K, Kulseng B, HelvikAS. Exploring lifestyle and risk in preventing type 2 diabetes-a nested qualitative study of older participants in a lifestyle intervention program (VEND-RISK). BMC Public Health 2016; 16(1): 876.

- 29. van de Rest O, Schutte BA, Deelen J et al. Metabolic effects of a 13-weeks lifestyle intervention in older adults: The Growing Old Together Study. Aging 2016; 8(1): 111.
- 30. Fianu A, Bourse L, NatyN et al. Long-Term Effectiveness of a Lifestyle Intervention for the Primary Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes in a Low Socio-Economic Community—An Intervention Follow-Up Study on Reunion Island. Plos One 2016; 11(1): e0146095.
- 31. Jiang L, Huang H, Johnson A et al. Special Diabetes Program for Indian Diabetes prevention Demonstration Project. Socioeconomic Disparities in Weight and Behavioral Outcomes among American Indian and Alaska Native Participants of a Translational Lifestyle Intervention Project. Diabetes Care 2015; 38(11): 2090-99.
- 32. Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ et al. Diet, lifestyle, and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. N Engl J Med 2001; 345(11): 790-797.
- 33. TuomilehtoJ, Lindström J, Eriksson JG et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med2001; 344(18): 1343-50.
- 34. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med 2002; 346(6): 393-403.
- 35. Asaad G, Soria-Contreras DC, Bell RC, Chan CB. Effectiveness of a Lifestyle Intervention in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: The Physical Activity and Nutrition for Diabetes in Alberta (PANDA) Trial. Healthcare 2016; 4(4): 73.
- 36. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). Diabetologia 2006; 49(2): 289-97.
- 37. Gregg EW, Chen H, WagenknechtLE et al. Association of an intensive lifestyle intervention with remission of type 2 diabetes. JAMA 2012; 308(23): 2489-2496.
- 38. HaynesRB, Taylor DW, SackettDL.Compliance in health care. Baltimore, Md: John Hopkins University Press. 1979.
- 39. Meichenbaum D, Turk DC. Facilitating treatment adherence: A practitioner's guidebook. Plenum Press.1987.
- 40. Goderis G, Borgermans L, Mathieu C et al. Barriers and facilitators to evidence based care of type 2 diabetes patients: experiences of general practitioners participating to a quality improvement program. ImplementSci2009; 4(1): 41.
- 41. Fort MP, Alvarado-Molina N, Peña L et al. Barriers and facilitating factors for disease self-management: a qualitative analysis of perceptions of patients receiving care for type 2 diabetes

- and/or hypertension in San José, Costa Rica and Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Mexico. BMC Family Practice2013; 14(1): 131.
- 42. Jansink R, Braspenning J, van der Weijden T, Elwyn G, GroR. Primary care nurses struggle with lifestyle counseling in diabetes care: a qualitative analysis. BMC Family Practice2010; 11(1): 41.
- 43. Fagerli RA, Lien ME, Wandel M. Experience of dietary advice among Pakistani-born persons with type 2 diabetes in Oslo. Appetite 2005; 45(3): 295-304.
- 44. Mier N, Medina AA, OryMG. Peer Reviewed: Mexican Americans with Type 2 Diabetes: Perspectives on Definitions, Motivators, and Programs of Physical Activity. Prev Chronic Dis 2007; 4(2).
- 45. Brown JB, Harris SB, Webster-Bogaert S et al. The role of patient, physician and systemic factors in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Family Practice 2002; 19(4): 344-349.