

**Research article** 

Available online www.ijsrr.org

# International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

# The Upper Total Edge Domination Number of a Graph

### Sujin Flower. V

Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Nagercoil-629 004, India. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India Email:sujinflower@gmail.com Mobile No: +91-9790538138

#### ABSTRACT

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph of order n. The total edge dominating set S in a connected graph G is called a *minimal total edge dominating set* if no proper subset of S is a total edge dominating set of G. The upper total edge domination number $\gamma_{te}^+(G)$  of G is the maximum cardinality of a minimal total edge dominating sets of G. Some of its general properties satisfied by this concepts are studied. It is shown that for any integer  $a \ge 1$ , there exists a connected graph G such that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = a + 1$  and  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2a$ .

**KEYWORDS:**domination number, total domination number, edge domination number, total edge domination number, upper total domination number.

## AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 05C69.

#### \*Corresponding author

#### Sujin Flower. V

Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Nagercoil-629 004, India. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India Email:sujinflower@gmail.com Mobile No: +91-9790538138

IJSRR, 8(1) Jan. – March., 2019

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

By a graph G = (V, E), we mean a finite, undirected connected graph without loops or multiple edges. The order and size of G are denoted by n and m respectively. For basic graph theoretic terminology, we refer to Chartrand [1].  $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$  is called the *neighborhood* of the vertex v in G. A vertex v is an *extreme* vertex of a graph G if  $\langle N(v) \rangle$  is complete. If  $e = \{u, v\}$  is an edge of a graph G with d(u) = 1 and d(v) > 1, then we call e a *pendent edge, u* a leaf and v a support vertex. Let L(G) be the set of all leaves of a graph G.For any connected graph G, a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is called a *cut vertex* of G if V - v is no longer connected. set of vertices D in a graph G is a *dominating set* if each vertex of G is dominated by some vertex of D. The domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of  $G^1$ . A total dominating set of a connected graphG is a setS of vertices ofG such that every vertex is adjacent to a vertex in S. Every graph without isolated vertices has a total dominating set, since S = V(G) is such a set. The total domination number  $\gamma_t(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality of total dominating sets S in  $G^{2,3,4}$ . A set of edges Mof G is called an *edgedominating set* if every edge of E - M is adjacent to an element of M. An edge domination number,  $\gamma_e(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality of an edge dominating sets of  $G^{5,6,7,8,9,10}$ . An edge dominating set S of G is called a *total edge dominating set* of G if  $\langle S \rangle$  has no isolated edges. The total edge domination number  $\gamma_{te}(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality taken over all total edge dominating sets of  $G^{6,11}$ .

# 2. THE UPPER TOTAL EDGE DOMINATION NUMBER OF A GRAPH *Definition 2. 1.*

The total edge dominating set *S* in a connected graph *G* is called a *minimal total edge dominating set* if no proper subset of *S* is a total edge dominating set of *G*. The *upper total edge domination number*  $\gamma_{te}^+(G)$  of *G* is the maximum cardinality of a minimal total edge dominating sets of *G*.

#### Example 2.2

For the graph G given in Figure 1,  $S_1 = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_5, v_5v_6\}$  and  $S_2 = \{v_1v_7, v_1v_2, v_2v_5\}$  are the minimum total edge dominating sets of G so that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = 3$ . The set  $S = \{v_1v_7, v_6v_7, v_2v_3, v_2v_5\}$  is a total edge dominating set of G and it is clear that no proper subset of S is the total edge dominating set of G and so S is the minimal total edge dominating set of G. Also it is easily verified that no five element or six element subset is a minimal total edge dominating set of G, it follows that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 4$ .



#### Remark 2.4

Every minimum total edge dominating set of *G* is a minimal total edge dominating set of *G* and the converse is not true. For the graph *G* given in Figure 2.1,  $S = \{v_1v_7, v_6v_7, v_2v_3, v_2v_5\}$  is a minimal total edge dominating set but not a minimum total edge dominating set of *G*.

#### **Theorem 2.5**

For a connected graph  $G, 2 \le \gamma_{te}(G) \le \gamma_{te}^+(G) \le m$ .

#### Proof.

We know that any total edge dominating set needs at least two edges and  $so\gamma_{te}(G) \ge 2$ . Since every minimal total edge dominating set is also the total edge dominating  $set, \gamma_{te}(G) \le \gamma_{te}^+(G)$ . Also, since E(G) is the total dominating set of G, it is clear that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) \le m$ . Thus  $2 \le \gamma_{te}(G) \le \gamma_{te}^+(G) \le m$ .

#### Remark 2.6.

The bounds in Theorem 2.5 are sharp. For any graph  $G = P_2$ , m = 2,  $\gamma_{te}(G) = 2$  and  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ . Therefore  $2 = \gamma_{te}(G) = \gamma_{te}^+(G) = m$ . Also, all the inequalities in Theorem 2.5 are strict. For the graph G given in Figure  $1, \gamma_{te}(G) = 3, \gamma_{te}^+(G) = 4$  and m = 7 so that  $2 < \gamma_{te}(G) < \gamma_{te}^+(G) < m$ .

#### Theorem 2.7.

For a connected graph G,  $\gamma_{te}(G) = m$  if and only if  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = m$ .

#### Proof.

Let $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = m$ . Then S = E(G) is the unique minimal total edge dominating set of G. Since no proper subset of S is the total edge dominating set, it is clear that S is the unique minimum total edge dominating set of G and so $\gamma_{te}(G) = m$ . The converse follows from Theorem 2.3.

#### **Theorem 2.8**

For complete graph  $G = K_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ ,  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ .

#### Proof.

Let *S* be any set of two adjacent edges of  $K_n$ . Since each edge of  $K_n$  is incident with an edge of *S*, it follows that *S* is a total edge dominating set of *G* so that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = 2$ . We show that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ . Suppose that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) \ge 3$ . Then there exists a total edge dominating set  $S_1$  such that  $|S_1| \ge 3$ . It is clear that  $S_1$  contains two adjacent edges say  $e_1, e_2$ . Then  $S_1' = \{e_1, e_2\}$  is a total edge dominating set of *G*, which is a contradiction. Thus  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ .

#### Theorem 2.9

For complete bipartite graph  $G = K_{m,n}$   $(m, n \ge 2), \gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ .

#### Proof.

Let S be any set of two adjacent edges of  $K_{m,n}$ . Since each edge of  $K_{m,n}$  is incident with an edge of S, it follows that S is a total edge dominating set of G so that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = 2$ . We show that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ . Suppose  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) \ge 3$ . Then there exists a total edge dominating set  $S_1$  such that  $|S_1| \ge 3$ . It is clear that  $S_1$  contains two adjacent edges say  $e_1, e_2$ . Then  $S_1' = \{e_1, e_2\}$  is a total edge dominating set of G, which is a contradiction. Thus  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ .

#### Theorem 2.10

For any graph  $G = K_{1,n}$   $(n \ge 2)$ ,  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2$ .

#### Proof.

The proof is similar to Theorem 2.9.

#### Theorem 2.11

For any integer  $a \ge 1$ , there exists a connected graph G such that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = a + 1$  and  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2a$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $P_i: u_i, v_i, w_i$   $(1 \le i \le a)$  be a path of order 3 and P: x, y be a path of order 2. Let G be a graph obtained from  $P_i$   $(1 \le i \le a)$  and P by joining y with each  $u_i$   $(2 \le i \le a)$ ,  $v_i(2 \le i \le a)$  and  $w_i$   $(2 \le i \le a)$  and also join x with  $u_1, v_1$  and  $w_1$ . The graph G is shown in Figure 2.



First we claim that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = a + 1$ . It is easily observed that an edge xy belongs to every minimum total edge dominating set of G and so  $\gamma_{te}(G) \ge 1$ . Also it is easily seen that every minimum total edge dominating set of G contains at least one edge of each block of  $G - \{x\}$  and each block of  $G - \{y\}$  and so  $\gamma_{te}(G) \ge a + 1$ . Now  $X = \{xy, xv_1, yv_2, yv_3, \dots, yv_a\}$  is a total edge dominating set of G so that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = a + 1$ .

Next we show that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2a$ . Now  $D = \{xu_1, yu_2, yu_3, \dots, xu_a, xw_1, yw_2, yw_3, \dots, yw_a\}$  is a total edge dominating set of G. We show that D is a minimal total edge dominating set of G. Let D' be any proper subset of D. Then there exists at least one edge say  $e \in D$  such that  $e \notin D'$ . Suppose that  $e = xu_i$  for some  $i (1 \le i \le a)$ , then the edge  $xw_i (1 \le i \le a)$  will be isolated in  $\langle D' \rangle$ . Therefore D' is not a total edge dominating set of G. Now, assume that  $e = xw_i$  for some  $i (1 \le i \le a)$ , then the edge  $xu_i (1 \le i \le a)$  will be isolated in  $\langle D' \rangle$ . Therefore D' is not a total edge dominating set of G. Now, assume that  $e = xw_i$  for some  $i (1 \le i \le a)$ , then the edge  $xu_i (1 \le i \le a)$  will be isolated in  $\langle D' \rangle$  and so D' is not a total edge dominating set of G. Therefore any proper subset of D is not a total edge dominating set of G. Hence D is a minimal total edge dominating set of G and so  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) \ge 2a$ . We show that  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = 2a$ . Suppose that there exists a minimal total edge dominating set T of G such that  $|T| \ge 2a + 1$ . Then T contains at least three edges of block of  $G - \{x\}$  or at least three edges of block of  $G - \{y\}$ . If T contains at least three edges of G, which is a contradiction. If T contains at least three edges  $G - \{y\}$ , then deleting one edge of  $G - \{y\}$  in T, results in T is a total edge dominating set of G.

#### **Open Problem**

For every pair a, b of integers with  $2 \le a < b$ , does there exists a connected graph G such that  $\gamma_{te}(G) = a$  and  $\gamma_{te}^+(G) = b$ ?

#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Chartrand G, Zhang P. Introduction to Graph Theory. McGraw-Hill. Kala mazoo. MI 2004.
- Arumugam S, Thuraiswamy A. Total Domination in Graphs. Ars. Combin. 1996; 43(2): 89-92.
- Cockayne E.J, Dawes R.M, Hedetniemi S.T. Total Domination in Graphs. *Networks*. 1980: 10: 211 - 219.
- 4. Dorbec P, Henning M.A, Mecoy J. Upper Total Domination Versus Upper Paired Domination. *Quaestiones Mathematical*. 2007; 30: 1 12.
- Arumugam S, Velammal S. Edge Domination in graphs. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics* 1998; 2(2): 173 - 179.
- 6. Devaraj J, Sujin Flower V. The Forcing Total Edge Domination Number of a Graph. *Asian Journal of Applied Research*. 2015: 1(4): 19 25.
- Dutton R.D, Brigham R.C, An external Problem for Edge Domination Insensitive Graphs. Discrete Appl. Math. 1988; 20: 113 - 125.
- 8. Mitchell S, Hedetniemi S.T. Edge domination in trees. Congr. Numer. 1977; 19: 489-509.
- 9. Thakkar D.K, Kakrecha B.M. About the Edge Domination Number of the Graphs. *Advances in Theoretical and Applied Mathematics*. 2016; 11(2): 93-98.
- 10. Vaithya S.K, Pandit R.M. Edge Domination in some path and cycle related graphs. *ISRN Discrete Mathematics*.2014; 1: 1-5.
- 11. Velammal S, Arumugam S. Total Edge Domination in graphs. *Global Journal of* Theoretical and Applied *Mathematical Sciences*. 2012; 2(2): 79 89.