

Research article

Available online www.ijsrr.org

ISSN: 2279-0543

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Efficient Product-type Exponential Estimator for Population Variance

Panda K. B.* and Sen M.

P.G. Department of Statistics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751004, India E-mail:.kunja.st@utkaluniversity.ac.in* & <u>manoranjanstat@gmail.com</u>.

ABSTRACT

We in this paper propose a new exponential product type estimator for estimating the population variance using auxiliary information. To the first order of approximation, i.e., to $o(n^{-1})$, the expressions for the bias and the mean square error of the proposed exponential product-type estimator have been derived. The optimum value of the characterizing scalar, which minimizes the MSE of proposed estimator, has been obtained. With this optimum value, the expression for minimum MSE of the proposed estimator has been arrived at. The proposed estimator has been compared theoretically with simple variance, traditional product estimator and exponential product-type estimator and it is found that, under practical conditions, the proposed estimator fares better than its competing estimators. A numerical study is done to demonstrate the practical use of different estimation formulae and empirically demonstrate the performance of the constructed estimators.

KEYWORDS: Auxiliary variable, single-phase sampling, bias, mean square error.

*Corresponding author

K.B. Panda

Professor, P.G. Department of Statistics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751004, India E-mail: kunja.st@utkaluniversity.ac.in

INTRODUCTION

In survey sampling, the utilization of auxiliary information is frequently acknowledged to enhance the accuracy of the estimation of population characteristics. Estimation of the finite population variance has great significance in various fields such as in matters of health, variation in body temperature, pulse beat and blood pressure etc. Using auxiliary information, we, in this paper, introduce a new estimator, which fares better than its competing estimators.

Consider a finite population of size N, arbitrarily labelled 1, 2....N. Let y_i and x_i be, respectively, the values of the study variable y and the auxiliary variable x, in respect of the ith unit (i=1, 2,... N) of the population. When the auxiliary variable x is negatively correlated with the study variable y and S_x^2 , the population variance of x is known, product method of estimation is usually invoked to estimate the population variance S_y^2 of the study variable. The product method of estimation investigated by Robson¹ and Murthy², is quite effective.

NOTATIONS AND SOME EXISTING ESTIMATORS

In simple random sampling without replacement, we know that the sample variance s_y^2 provides an unbiased estimator of the population variance S_y^2 ,

where
$$S_y^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \overline{Y})^2$$

and $s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$.

Accordingly, we define

$$S_x^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \overline{X})^2$$

and
$$s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$
,

as the population and sample variances, respectively, for the auxiliary variable x.

Let
$$e_0 = \frac{s_y^2 - S_y^2}{S_y^2}$$
, *i. e.*, $s_y^2 = S_y^2 (1 + e_0)$,

$$e_1 = \frac{s_x^2 - S_x^2}{S_x^2}, i.e., s_x^2 = S_x^2(1 + e_1),$$

such that $E(e_0)=E(e_1)=0$, $E(e_0^2)=\frac{1}{n}(\lambda_{40}-1)$,

$$E(e_1^2) = \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_{04} - 1)$$
 and $E(e_0e_1) = \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_{22} - 1)$,

where
$$\lambda_{pq} = \frac{\mu_{pq}}{\mu_{20}^{p/2} \mu_{02}^{q/2}}$$

and $\mu_{pq} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \overline{Y})^p (x_i - \overline{X})^q$; (p, q) being non-negative integers, μ_{02}, μ_{20} are the second order moments of x and y, respectively, and $C_x = \frac{S_x}{\overline{X}}$ is the coefficient of variation for auxiliary variable X. With the above notations, the variance of the estimator s_y^2 is expressed as

$$V(s_y^2) = \frac{1}{n} S_y^4 (\lambda_{40} - 1).$$
(1)

Customary product type estimator for estimating the population variance of the study variable is

$$S_{y_P}^2 = \frac{S_y^2 S_x^2}{S_x^2} \,, \tag{2}$$

whose bias and mean square error, up to the first order of approximation ,i.e., to o (n^{-1}) are respectively,

$$B(s_{y_P}^2) = \frac{1}{n} S_y^2 (\lambda_{22} - 1)$$
(3)

and

$$MSE(s_{y_P}^2) = \frac{s_y^4}{n} [\lambda_{40} + \lambda_{04} + 2\lambda_{22} - 4].$$
(4)

Singh et. al.³ suggested product-type exponential estimator for population variance in single-phase sampling as

$$s_{y_{Pe}}^2 = s_y^2 \exp\left[\frac{s_x^2 - S_x^2}{s_x^2 + S_x^2}\right],$$
(5)

whose bias and mean square error up to first order of approximation, i.e., to 0 (n^{-1}) are, respectively,

$$B(s_{y_{Pe}}^2) = \frac{s_y^2}{n} \left[-\frac{\lambda_{04}}{8} + \frac{\lambda_{22}}{2} - \frac{3}{8} \right]$$
(6)

and

$$MSE(s_{y_{Pe}}^2) = \frac{s_y^4}{n} [\lambda_{40} + \frac{\lambda_{04}}{4} + \lambda_{22} - \frac{9}{4}].$$
(7)

PROPOSED PRODUCT-TYPE EXPONENTIAL ESTIMATOR

We propose a new product-type exponential estimator for estimating the population variance S_{ν}^2 , as

$$s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2} = s_y^2 \exp[\frac{\beta (s_x^2 - S_x^2)}{s_x^2 + S_x^2}],$$
(8)

where, β is a suitably chosen pre-assigned constant. It may be noted here that if $\beta = 1$, the new estimator reduces to usual product-type estimator due to Singh et.al.²⁰¹¹

Substituting the value of $s_{y}^2 s_x^2$ in the expression (8), we get

$$\begin{split} s_{ype}^{\prime 2} &= s_{y}^{2} \exp\left[\frac{\beta(s_{x}^{2}-s_{x}^{2}+s_{x}^{2}e_{1})}{s_{x}^{2}+s_{x}^{2}+s_{x}^{2}e_{1}}\right] \\ &= s_{y}^{2} \exp\left[\frac{\beta(e_{1},s_{x}^{2})}{s_{x}^{2}(2+e_{1})}\right] \\ &= S_{y}^{2}(1+e_{0}) \exp\left[\beta(e_{1})(2+e_{1})^{-1}\right]. \\ &= S_{y}^{2}(1+e_{0}) \exp\left[\beta\frac{e_{1}}{2}(1+\frac{e_{1}}{2})^{-1}\right]. \\ &= S_{y}^{2}(1+e_{0}) \exp\left[1+\beta(\frac{e_{1}}{2})(1-\frac{e_{1}}{2}+\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{e_{1}^{3}}{8})+\beta^{2}\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8}(1-\frac{e_{1}}{2}+\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{e_{1}^{3}}{8})^{2}\right] \end{split}$$

Retaining terms only up to 2nd degree, we arrive at

$$S_{yPe}^{\prime 2} = S_{y}^{2} (1 + e_{0}) \exp \left[1 + \beta \left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right) - \beta \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4} + \beta^{2} \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8}\right]$$
$$= S_{y}^{2} \left[1 + \beta \left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right) - \beta \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4} + \beta^{2} \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8} + e_{0} + \beta \left(\frac{e_{0}e_{1}}{2}\right)\right]$$
$$= S_{y}^{2} \left[1 + \beta \left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right) - \beta \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4} + \beta^{2} \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8} + e_{0} + \beta \left(\frac{e_{0}e_{1}}{2}\right)\right]$$
(9)

The bias of the proposed exponential product estimator, to the first degree of approximation, i.e., to o (n^{-1}) , is

$$B(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}) = E(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}) - S_{y}^{2}$$

$$= S_{y}^{2}E\left[1 + \beta\left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right) - \beta\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4} + \beta^{2}\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8} + e_{0} + \beta\left(\frac{e_{0}e_{1}}{2}\right)\right] - S_{y}^{2}$$

$$= S_{y}^{2}\left[E(1) + \frac{\beta}{2}E(e_{1}) - \frac{\beta}{4}E(e_{1}^{2}) + \frac{\beta^{2}}{8}E(e_{1}^{2}) + E(e_{0}) + \frac{\beta}{2}E(e_{0}e_{1})\right]$$

$$= \frac{S_{y}^{2}}{n}\left[-\frac{\beta}{4}(\lambda_{04} - 1) + \frac{\beta^{2}}{8}(\lambda_{04} - 1) + \frac{\beta}{2}(\lambda_{22} - 1)\right].$$
(10)

The mean square error of the proposed exponential product estimator, to the first degree of approximation, i.e., to o (n^{-1}) , has been derived as follows:

$$MSE(s_{yp_{e}}^{'2}) = E[s_{yp_{e}}^{'2} - s_{y}^{2}]^{2}$$

$$= E[S_{y}^{2}\left(1 + \beta\left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right) - \beta\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4} + \beta^{2}\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8} + e_{0} + \frac{\beta}{2}(e_{0}e_{1})\right) - S_{y}^{2}]^{2}$$

$$= s_{y}^{4}E[\left(\beta\left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right) - \beta\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{4} + \beta^{2}\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{8} + e_{0} + \frac{\beta}{2}(e_{0}e_{1})\right)]^{2}$$

$$= S_{y}^{4}E[\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}e_{1}^{2} + e_{0}^{2} + \beta e_{0}e_{1}\right)]$$

$$= S_{y}^{4}[\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}E(e_{1}^{2}) + E(e_{0}^{2}) + \beta E(e_{0}e_{1})\right)]$$

$$= S_{y}^{4}[\frac{\beta^{2}}{4n}(\lambda_{04} - 1) + \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_{40} - 1) + \frac{\beta}{n}(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$

$$= \frac{s_{y}^{4}}{n}\left[\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}(\lambda_{04} - 1) + (\lambda_{40} - 1) + \beta(\lambda_{22} - 1)\right].$$
(11)

With a view to determining the most suitable value of β , to be called β_{opt} , we minimize the mean square error subject to variation in β , implying thereby that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial MSE(s_{YPe}^{2})}{\partial \beta} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{S_{Y}^{4}}{n} \left[\frac{\beta^{2}}{4} \left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right) + \left(\lambda_{40} - 1 \right) + \beta \left(\lambda_{22} - 1 \right) \right] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{S_{Y}^{4}}{n} \left[\frac{2\beta}{4} \left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right) + \left(\lambda_{22} - 1 \right) \right] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{S_{Y}}{n} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right) + \left(\lambda_{22} - 1 \right) \right] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right) + \lambda_{22} - 1 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right) &= 1 - \lambda_{22} \\ \Rightarrow \beta \left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right) &= 2 \left(1 - \lambda_{22} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \beta &= \frac{2(1 - \lambda_{22})}{\left(\lambda_{04} - 1 \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

IJSRR, 7(3) July - Sep., 2018

Thus,
$$\beta_{opt} = \frac{2(\lambda_{22}-1)}{(1-\lambda_{04})}$$
. (12)

Substituting this value of β in the expression for MSE $(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2})$, i.e., in (11), we arrive at the minimum value of MSE $(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2})$, which is expressed as

$$MSE_{opt}(s_{y_{Re}}'^2) = \frac{s_y^4}{n} [\frac{2\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{22}^2 - 1}{\lambda_{04} - 1} + \lambda_{40} - 1].$$
(13)

On comparison of (13) with (1), the following results can be arrived at

$$MSE_{opt}(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}) - V(s_{y}^{2}) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_{y}^{4}}{n} \left[\frac{2\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{22}^{2} - 1)}{\lambda_{04} - 1} + \lambda_{40} - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{n} \left[S_{y}^{4} (\lambda_{40} - 1) \right] < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{04} > 1.$$
(14)

On comparison of (13) with (4), the following results can be arrived at

$$MSE_{opt}(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}) - MSE(s_{y_{P}}^{2}) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_{y}^{4}}{n} \left[\frac{2\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{22}^{2} - 1)}{\lambda_{04} - 1} + \lambda_{40} - 1 \right] - \frac{S_{y}^{4}}{n} [\lambda_{40} + \lambda_{04} + 2\lambda_{22} - 4] < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(1 - \lambda_{22})^{2}}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} + \lambda_{04} + 2\lambda_{22} > 3.$$
(15)

Comparing (13) with (7), we get

$$MSE_{opt}(s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}) - MSE(s_{y_{Pe}}^{2}) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_{y}^{4}}{n} \left[\frac{2\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{22}^{2} - 1}{\lambda_{04} - 1} + \lambda_{40} - 1\right] - \frac{S_{y}^{4}}{n} [\lambda_{40} + \frac{\lambda_{04}}{4} + \lambda_{22} - \frac{9}{4}] < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1 + \lambda_{22}^{2} - 2\lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{04} - 1} + \frac{\lambda_{04}}{4} + \lambda_{22} > \frac{5}{4}.$$
(16)

(1) The newly proposed estimator $s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}$ performs better than the simple variance estimator of population variance s_y^2 if

$$\lambda_{04}>1.$$

(2) The newly proposed estimator $s'^2_{y_{Pe}}$ performs better than the traditional product-type estimator for variance s^2_{yp} if

$$\frac{(1-\lambda_{22})^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)} + \lambda_{04} + 2\lambda_{22} > 3.$$

(3) The newly proposed estimator $s'^{2}_{y_{Pe}}$ performs better than the product-type exponential estimator due to Singh et. al.³ for variance, i.e., $s^{2}_{y_{Pe}}$ if

$$\frac{1+\lambda_{22}^2-2\lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{04}-1}+\frac{\lambda_{04}}{4}+\lambda_{22} > \frac{5}{4}.$$

EMPIRICAL STUDY

With a view to study the theoretical findings, we have chosen the following examples based on real data:

Example 1: We refer to Weisberg⁴ PP- 31-35, wherein the following information is found:

Y: Fuel consumption, gallons per person.

X: TAX, cents per gallon

Table 1: Parameter	s and	their	values
--------------------	-------	-------	--------

Sl.No.	Parameter	Value of the Parameter
1	N	48
3	X	0.570354
4	Ϋ́	576.7708
5	ρ_{yx}	-0.4512
6	λ_{40}	5.3604
7	λ ₀₄	3.3096
8	λ ₂₂	2.9198

 $\lambda_{04} > 1$

⇒ 3.3096 > 1.

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda_{22})^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} + \lambda_{04} + 2\lambda_{22} > 3$$

$$\Rightarrow 5.89095 > 3.$$

$$\frac{1 + \lambda_{22}^2 - 2\lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{04} - 1} + \frac{\lambda_{04}}{4} + \lambda_{22} > \frac{5}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow 5.34298 > \frac{5}{4}.$$

IJSRR, 7(3) July – Sep., 2018

Thus, we find that the condition (14), (15) and (16) are satisfied.

The MSEs of the competing estimators have been computed and presented in Table 2

Sl. No.	CompetingEstimator	$MSE / \frac{S_y^4}{n}$
1	S _y ²	4.3604
2	S ² _{yp}	10.5096
3	S ² _{yPe}	6.8576
4	S ^{'2} y _{Pe}	2.7646

 Table 2: MSE of the competing estimator

The percentage gain in efficiency of the proposed estimator, $s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}$ over the competing estimators s_y^2 , $s_{y_P}^2$ and $s_{y_{Pe}}^2$ has been given in the table 3

Table 3

Sr. No.	CompetingEstimator	Percentage Gain in Efficiency(PGE)
1	S _y ²	57.7226
2	S ² _{yp}	280.1490
3	S ² _{yPe}	148.0503

It is clear from the above table that the newly proposed estimator $s'_{y_{Pe}}^{2}$ performs better than the competing estimators.

Example 2: We refer to Swain⁵, PP-285-287, wherein the following information is found:

Y: Mean yield of rice per plant

X: percentage of sterility

Sl. No.	Parameter	Value of the Parameter
1	Ν	50
3	\overline{X}	18.762
4	\overline{Y}	12.839
5	$ ho_{yx}$	-0.25103
6	λ_{40}	3.828497
7	λ_{04}	2.474604
8	λ_{22}	1.60349

Table 4: Parameters and their values

$\lambda_{04} > 1$
⇒ 2.474604 > 1.
$\frac{(1-\lambda_{22})^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)}+\lambda_{04}+2\lambda_{22}>3$
⇒ 5.92856 > 3.
$\frac{1+\lambda_{22}^2-2\lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{04}-1}+\frac{\lambda_{04}}{4}+\lambda_{22}>\frac{5}{4}$
$\Rightarrow 2.46912 > \frac{5}{4}.$

Thus, we find that the condition (14), (15) and (16) are satisfied.

The MSEs of the competing estimators have been computed and presented in Table 5

Sl. No.	CompetingEstimator	$MSE/\frac{S_y^4}{n}$
1	s_y^2	2.828497
2	$S_{y_P}^2$	5.51008
3	$S_{y_{Pe}}^2$	3.800637
4	$S_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}$	2.5815

Table 5: MSE of the competing estimator

The percentage gain in efficiency of the proposed estimator, $s_{y_{Pe}}^{\prime 2}$ over the competing estimators s_y^2 , $s_{y_P}^2$ and $s_{y_{Pe}}^2$ has been given in the table 6

Table 6:		
Sl. No.	CompetingEstimator	Percentage Gain in Efficiency(PGE)
1	s_y^2	9.5676
2	$s_{y_P}^2$	113.4448
3	$s_{y_{Pe}}^2$	47.2259

It is clear from the above table that the newly proposed estimator $s'_{y_{Pe}}$ performs better than the competing estimators.

V. CONCLUSION

From Tables 3 & 6, it is clear that the proposed product-type exponential estimator for estimating the population variance perform better than its competing estimators under conditions that hold good in practice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much thankful to the editor-in-chief and the unknown learned referees for critically examining the manuscript and giving valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Robson, D.S. Application of multivariate Polykays to the theory of unbiased ratio-type estimation, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1957; 59: 1225-1226.
- 2. Murthy, M.N. Product method of estimation, Sankhya, 1964; 69-74.
- Singh, R., Chauhan, P., Sawan, N. & Smarandache, F., 'Improved exponential estimator for population variance using two auxiliary variables', Italian Journal of pure ans Applied Mathematics, 2011; 28:101-108.
- 4. Weisberg, Sanford, Applied Linear Regression, John Wiley & Sons, 1980
- 5. Swain, A.K.P.C, Finite Population of Sampling, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, 2003