

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Geometric Mean 3 –Equitable Labeling of Some Graphs

Kaneria V J¹, Meghpara Meera^{*} and Khoda Maulik³

¹Department of Mathematics, Saurashtra University, RAJKOT-360005.

E-mail: Kaneria_vinodray_j@yahoo.co.in

²R. K. University, RAJKOT-360020.

E-mail: meera.meghpara@gmail.com

³V. V. P. Engineering College, RAJKOT-360005.

E-mail: mjkmaths@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper we proved that K_{mn} ($m, n \geq 4$) is not a geometric mean 3 –equitable graph, while caterpillar $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$, $C_n \odot tK_1$ ($t \geq 2$) both are geometric mean 3 –equitable graphs. We also proved that $C_n \odot K_1$ is not geometric mean 3 –equitable graph, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, while it is geometric mean 3 –equitable graph, when $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$.

KEY WORDS : Caterpillar, corona graph, complete bipartite graph, cycle, star graph, geometric mean 3 –equitable graphs.

AMS subject classification number:05C78.

***Corresponding author**

Meera Meghpara

R. K. University, RAJKOT

(Gujrat)-360020.

E-mail: meera.meghpara@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION:

The concept of cordial and 3 –equitable labeling was introduced by Cahit^{1, 2}. The labeled graphs have several application in the areas of radar, circuit design, cryptography etc. Mean cordial labeling was introduced by Ponraj, Sivakumar and Sundaram ⁶.

Geometric mean cordial labeling of graph was introduced by ChitraLakshmi and Nagarajan ³ and they have proved that $P_n, C_n (n \equiv 1,2 \pmod{3}), K_{1,n}, K_n (n \leq 2), K_{2,n} (n \leq 2)$ are geometric mean cordial graphs and $K_n (n > 2), K_{2,n} (n > 2), K_{n,n} (n \geq 3), W_n$ are not geometric mean cordial graphs.

By survey of literature geometric mean cordial labeling defined by ChitraLakshmi and Nagarajan [3] its name should be geometric mean 3 –equitable labeling as they are using $e_f(0), e_f(1)$ and $e_f(2)$. For a (p, q) graph G , a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{0,1,2\}$ with its induced edge labeling function $f^*: E(G) \rightarrow \{0,1,2\}$ defined by $f^*(uv) = \lfloor \sqrt{f(u)f(v)} \rfloor$ is called geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling if $|v_f(i) - v_f(j)|, |e_f(i) - e_f(j)| \in \{0,1\}$, where $v_f(x)$ and $e_f(x)$ denotes the number of vertices and edges with x label respectively, where $x, i, j \in \{0,1,2\}$.

II. MAIN RESULTS :

Theorem–2. 1:Caterpillar $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ is a geometric mean 3 –equitable graph.

Proof :Let $V(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)) = \{v_i/1 \leq i \leq t\} \cup \{v_{ij}/1 \leq j \leq x_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ and $E(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)) = \{v_i v_{i+1}/1 \leq i \leq t-1\} \cup \{v_i v_{ij}/1 \leq j \leq x_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. It is obvious that $p = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_t + t$ and $q = p - 1$ (as caterpillar is a tree). We redefine $V(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)) = \{u_k/1 \leq k \leq p\}$ by taking $u_i = v_i (1 \leq i \leq t), u_{t+j_1} = v_{1j_1} (1 \leq j_1 \leq x_1), u_{t+x_1+j_2} = v_{2j_2} (1 \leq j_2 \leq x_2), \dots, u_{t+x_1+x_2+\dots+x_{t-1}+j_t} = v_{tj_t} (1 \leq j_t \leq x_t)$.

$$\text{Let } p_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor, p_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ and } p_3 = p - (p_1 + p_2).$$

Define $f: V(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)) \rightarrow \{0,1,2\}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_i) &= 1, & \text{when } 1 \leq i \leq p_1 \\ &= 2, & \text{when } p_1 + 1 \leq i \leq p_1 + p_2 \\ &= 0, & \text{when } p_1 + p_2 + 1 \leq i \leq p. \end{aligned}$$

Above defined labeling pattern give rise $v_f(0) = p_3, v_f(2) = p_2, v_f(1) = p_1$ and $e_f(1) = p_1 - 1, e_f(2) = p_2, e_f(0) = p_3$. In any case it is obvious that $|v_f(i) - v_f(j)|, |e_f(i) - e_f(j)| \in \{0,1\}, \forall i, j \in \{0,1,2\}$. Thus, $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ is a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph.

Theorem–2. 2: $C_n \odot tK_1 (t \geq 2)$ is a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph.

Proof : Let $G = C_n \odot tK_1, V(G) = \{v_i/1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{v_{ij}/1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(G) = \{v_i v_{i+1}/1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{v_1 v_n\} \cup \{v_i v_{ij}/1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Thus, $p = |V(G)| = (t + 1)n = q$.

We redefine $V(G) = \{u_k/1 \leq k \leq p\}$ by taking $u_i = v_i (1 \leq i \leq n), u_{n+j_1} = v_{1j_1} (1 \leq j_1 \leq t), u_{t+n+j_2} = v_{2j_2} (1 \leq j_2 \leq t), \dots, u_{n+(n-1)t+j_n} = v_{nj_n} (1 \leq j_n \leq t)$.

Define $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{0,1,2\}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_i) &= 1, & \text{when } 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &= 2, & \text{when } \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor + p_1 \\ &= 0, & \text{when } \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor + p_1 + 1 \leq i \leq p, \text{ where } p_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Above defined labeling pattern give rise $v_f(0) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor, v_f(2) = \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor, v_f(1) = p_1$ and $e_f(1) = p_1, e_f(2) = \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor, e_f(0) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor$. In any case it is observed that $|v_f(i) - v_f(j)|, |e_f(i) - e_f(j)| \in \{0,1\}, \forall i, j \in \{0,1,2\}$. Thus, G admits a geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling and so, it is a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph.

Theorem–2. 3: $C_n \odot K_1$ is not geometric mean 3 – equitable graph, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and it is geometric mean 3 – equitable graph, when $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$.

Proof : Let $H = C_n \odot K_1, V(H) = \{v_i, u_i/1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(H) = \{v_i v_{i+1}/1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{v_1 v_n\} \cup \{u_i v_i/1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Thus $p = q = 2n$.

Case–I $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Take $n = 3t$.

If H admits any geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling f , then it is only possibly when $v_f(0) = v_f(1) = v_f(2) = 2t = \frac{p}{3} = \frac{2n}{3}$. Since, edge label 1 under f is only possible when its both the end vertices have label 1, under f , we must have $e_f(1) \leq 2t - 1$ and $\max\{e_f(0), e_f(2)\} \geq 2t + 1$. Which gives either $|e_f(0) - e_f(1)| \geq 2$ or $|e_f(2) - e_f(1)| \geq 2$. This leads to a contradiction as f is a geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling for H . Thus, H can not admits any geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling. So, it is not a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Case–II $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$.

$$\text{Let } p_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor, p_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{p-p_1}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ and } p_3 = p - (p_1 + p_2).$$

Define $f: V(H) \rightarrow \{0,1,2\}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_i) &= 1, & \forall 1 \leq i \leq p_1 \\ &= 2, & \forall p_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ f(u_i) &= 0, & \forall 1 \leq i \leq p_2 \\ &= 2, & \forall p_2 + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Above defined labeling pattern give rise $v_f(1) = p_1, v_f(0) = p_2, v_f(2) = p_3$ and $e_f(1) = p_1 - 1, e_f(0) = p_2, e_f(2) = p_3 + 1$. Since $p_3 = p_1 - 1, |p_i - p_j| \in \{0,1\}, \forall i \in \{0,1,2\}$, we must get $|v_f(i) - v_f(j)|, |e_f(i) - e_f(j)| \in \{0,1\}, \forall i, j \in \{0,1,2\}$. Thus, H admits geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling f and so, it is a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph, when $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$.

Theorem–2. 4: $K_{m,n}$ is not a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph, when $m, n \geq 4$.

Proof : Let $V(K_{m,n}) = M \cup N$. We take $M = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ and $N = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ both are two partite sets of $K_{m,n}$. Let $E(K_{m,n}) = \{u_i v_j / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. It is obvious that $p = m + n$ and $q = mn$.

Let $f: V(K_{m,n}) \rightarrow \{0,1,2\}$ be any vertex labeling. To make geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling f for $K_{m,n}$, we have to choose $\max\{v_f(0), v_f(1), v_f(2)\} - \min\{v_f(0), v_f(1), v_f(2)\} \in \{0,1\}$. Let $\max\{v_f(0), v_f(1), v_f(2)\} = t$. i.e. $t = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor$. Since, edge label 1 under f is only possible

when its both the end vertices have label 1 under f . We shall take the following three cases to compute $e_f(1)$ for $K_{m,n}$.

Case-I $\frac{t}{2} \geq \min\{m, n\} = n$ (say).

It is observe that $e_f(1) \leq (t - n) \cdot n = tn - n^2$.

First we shall prove here $tn - n^2 < \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor$. Suppose not if possible.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } tn - n^2 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor \\ \Rightarrow 3tn - 3n^2 &\geq mn \\ \Rightarrow (3(t - n) - m)n &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow (3(t - n) - m) &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow 3t - 3n &\geq m \\ \Rightarrow 3t - 2n &\geq m + n = p \\ \Rightarrow p + 2 - 2n &\geq p \\ \Rightarrow 2 - 2n &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Which is impossible as $n \geq 4$ and so, $tn - n^2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor$ can not holds. Thus, $e_f(1) \leq tn - n^2 < \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor$.

Case-II $\frac{t}{2} < \min\{m, n\} = n$ (say) and $\frac{t}{2} < \frac{m}{3}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2 &< \frac{mn}{3} \\ \Rightarrow e_f(1) &< \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor \\ \text{i.e. } e_f(1) &< \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Case-III $\frac{t}{2} < \min\{m, n\} = n$ (say) and $\frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{m}{3}$.

$$\Rightarrow 3t \geq 2m$$

$$\Rightarrow m + n + 2 \geq 2m$$

$$\Rightarrow m \leq n + 2.$$

Now we see that $t \leq \frac{m+n+2}{3} \leq \frac{2n+4}{3} \leq n$, as $n \geq 4$

$$\Rightarrow t \leq m, n$$

$$\Rightarrow t^2 \leq mn$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{t^2}{4} \leq \frac{mn}{4} < \frac{mn}{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow e_f(1) \leq \frac{t^2}{4} < \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

Thus, in any case $e_f(1) < \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor$, which gives $\max\{e_f(0), e_f(2)\} \geq \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{3} \right\rfloor + 2$.

$$\therefore \max\{e_f(0), e_f(2)\} - e_f(1) \geq 2$$

$\therefore f$ can not be a geometric mean 3 – equitable labeling for $K_{m,n}$. Hence, $K_{m,n}$ is not a geometric mean 3 – equitable graph, when $m, n \geq 4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I Cahit, Cordial graphs: A weaker version of graceful and harmonious graph, *Ars Combin.*, 23, (1987), pp. 201 – 207.
- [2] I Cahit, On cordial and 3 – equitable labeling of graphs, *Util. Math.*, 37, (1990), pp. 189 – 198.
- [3] K. Chitra Lakshmi and K. Nagarajan, Geometric mean cordial labeling of graphs, *Int. J. of Math. And Soft Computing*, 7(1), (2017), pp. 75 – 87.
- [4] J. A. Gallian, A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling, *The Electronics Journal of Combinatorics*, 17#DS6, (2016).
- [5] F. Harary, Graph theory, *Addition Wesley, Massachusetts*, 1972.
- [6] R. Ponraj, M. Sivakumar and M. Sundaram, Mean cordial labeling of graphs, *Open J. Discrete math.*, 2, (2012), pp. 145 – 148.