

# *International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews*

## An Integral Representation of Bicomplex Dirichlet Series

**Jogendra Kumar**

Dept. of Mathematics, Govt. Degree College, Raza Nagar, Swar(Rampur) –244924, India

### **ABSTRACT**

In this paper, we have defined the **Bicomplex Dirichlet Series**  $f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  and investigate its region of convergence. We have also obtained an integral representation of **Bicomplex Dirichlet Series**

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}.$$

**KEYWORDS:** Bicomplex numbers, Bicomplex Gamma Function, Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function, Complex Dirichlet Series, Euler Product

**2010 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION:** 11F66, 30G35, 32A30, 32A10, 13A18.

---

**\*Corresponding author**

**Dr. Jogendra Kumar**

Department of Mathematics,  
Govt. Degree College,  
Raza Nagar, Swar, Rampur(UP) – 244924, INDIA.  
Email:jogendra.ibs@gmail.com, Mob No – 9412315836

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The set of Bicomplex Numbers defined as:

$$C_2 = \{x_1 + i_1 x_2 + i_2 x_3 + i_1 i_2 x_4 : x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in C_0, i_1 \neq i_2 \text{ and } i_1^2 = i_2^2 = -1, i_1 i_2 = i_2 i_1\}$$

Throughout this paper, the sets of complex and real numbers are denoted by  $C_1$  and  $C_0$ , respectively. For details of the theory of Bicomplex numbers, we refer to <sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup>. We shall use the notations  $C(i_1)$  and  $C(i_2)$  for the following sets:  $C(i_1) = \{u + i_1 v : u, v \in C_0\}$ ;  $C(i_2) = \{\alpha + i_2 \beta : \alpha, \beta \in C_0\}$

### 1.1 Idempotent Elements:

Besides 0 and 1, there are exactly two non – trivial idempotent elements in  $C_2$ , denoted as  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  and defined as  $e_1 = \frac{1+i_1 i_2}{2}$  and  $e_2 = \frac{1-i_1 i_2}{2}$ . Note that  $e_1 + e_2 = 1$  and  $e_1 e_2 = e_2 e_1 = 0$ .

### 1.2 Cartesian Idempotent Set:

$$C_2 = C(i_1) \times_e C(i_1) = C(i_1)e_1 + C(i_1)e_2 = \{\xi \in C_2 : \xi = {}^1\xi e_1 + {}^2\xi e_2, ({}^1\xi, {}^2\xi) \in C(i_1) \times C(i_1)\}$$

$$C_2 = C(i_2) \times_e C(i_2) = C(i_2)e_1 + C(i_2)e_2 = \{\xi \in C_2 : \xi = \xi_1 e_1 + \xi_2 e_2, (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in C(i_2) \times C(i_2)\}$$

### 1.3 Idempotent Representation Of Bicomplex Numbers:

(I)  $C(i_1)$ - idempotent representation of Bicomplex Number Throughout this paper  $C(i_1)$ -idempotent representation of Bicomplex Number is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= (x_1 + i_1 x_2) + i_2 (x_3 + i_1 x_4) = z_1 + i_2 z_2 = (z_1 - i_1 z_2)e_1 + (z_1 + i_1 z_2)e_2 \\ &= [(x_1 + x_4) + i_1(x_2 - x_3)]e_1 + [(x_1 - x_4) + i_1(x_2 + x_3)]e_2 = {}^1\xi e_1 + {}^2\xi e_2 \end{aligned}$$

(II)  $C(i_2)$ - idempotent representation of Bicomplex Number Throughout this paper  $C(i_2)$ -idempotent representation of Bicomplex Number is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= (x_1 + i_2 x_3) + i_1(x_2 + i_2 x_4) = w_1 + i_1 w_2 = (w_1 - i_2 w_2)e_1 + (w_1 + i_2 w_2)e_2 \\ &= [(x_1 + x_4) - i_2(x_2 - x_3)]e_1 + [(x_1 - x_4) + i_2(x_2 + x_3)]e_2 = \xi_1 e_1 + \xi_2 e_2 \end{aligned}$$

### 1.4 Singular Elements:

Non zero singular elements exist in  $C_2$ . In fact, a Bicomplex number  $\xi = z_1 + z_2 i_2$  is singular if and only if  $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 0$ . Set of all singular elements in  $C_2$  is denoted as  $O_2$ .

### 1.5 Norm:

The norm in  $C_2$  is defined as

$$\|\xi\| = \left\{ |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 \right\}^{1/2} = \left[ \frac{|{}^1\xi|^2 + |{}^2\xi|^2}{2} \right]^{1/2} = [x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2]^{1/2}$$

$C_2$  becomes a modified Banach algebra, in the sense that  $\xi, \eta \in C_2$ , we have, in general,

$$\|\xi \cdot \eta\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$$

### 1.6 Complex Dirichlet Series <sup>5, 6, 7</sup>:

In general, a Dirichlet series is a series of the form

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s} \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a monotonically increasing and unbounded sequence of real numbers, and  $s = \sigma + it$  is a complex variable. When the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of exponent is to be emphasized, such a series is called a **Complex Dirichlet series of type  $\lambda_n$** .

If  $\lambda_n = n$ , then  $f(s)$  is a power series in  $z = e^{-s}$ . If  $\lambda_n = \log n$ , then

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (1.2)$$

is called an **Ordinary complex Dirichlet series**.

## 2. BICOMPLEX DIRICHLET SERIES:

The **Bicomplex Dirichlet series** is defined as

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi} \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence of bicomplex numbers,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a strictly monotonically increasing and unbounded sequence of positive real numbers and  $\xi \in C_2$  is a bicomplex variable. If  $\lambda_n = n$ , then

$f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (e^{-\xi})^n$  is a **power series** in  $e^{-\xi}$ . If  $\lambda_n = \log n$ , then

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n n^{-\xi} \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

is a **Ordinary Bicomplex Dirichlet Series**.

If  $\alpha_n = 1$  in equation (3.2)  $f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\xi}$  represent **Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function**<sup>8, 9, 10, 11</sup> in

that consequence we named  $f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n n^{-\xi}$  a **Generalized Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function**<sup>12, 13, 14</sup>.

Note that,

$$\alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi} = (^1\alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n^1 \xi}) e_1 + (^2\alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 \xi}) e_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi} = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ^1\alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n^1 \xi} \right] e_1 + \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ^2\alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 \xi} \right] e_2$$

Now we denote the sum function of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^1 \xi}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^2 \xi}$  by  $f(\xi)$ ,  ${}^1 f({}^1 \xi)$  and  ${}^2 f({}^2 \xi)$  respectively.

Thus  $f(\xi) = {}^1 f({}^1 \xi) e_1 + {}^2 f({}^2 \xi) e_2$

Then  $f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  is a Bicomplex Dirichlet series and  ${}^1 f({}^1 \xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^1 \xi}$ ,  ${}^2 f({}^2 \xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^2 \xi}$  are Complex Dirichlet series. Throughout, we denote the abscissae of convergence of  ${}^1 f({}^1 \xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^1 \xi}$  and  ${}^2 f({}^2 \xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^2 \xi}$  by  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , and the abscissae of absolute convergence by  $\bar{\sigma}_1$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_2$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 2.1:** A Bicomplex Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  converges for  $\xi = \xi_0$  iff  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^1 \xi}$  converges for  ${}^1 \xi = {}^1 \xi_0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n {}^2 \xi}$  converges for  ${}^2 \xi = {}^2 \xi_0$ .

**THEOREM 2.2:** If  $f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  converges for  $\xi = \xi_0$  then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  converges in the region  $\{\xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}({}^1 \xi) > \operatorname{Re}({}^1 \xi_0) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}({}^2 \xi) > \operatorname{Re}({}^2 \xi_0)\}$

$$= \{\xi \in C_2 : x_1 + x_4 > x_1^0 + x_4^0 \text{ and } x_1 - x_4 > x_1^0 - x_4^0\}$$

or equivalently in the region

$$\{\xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}(z_1) > \operatorname{Re}(z_1^0) \text{ and } |\operatorname{Im}(z_2) - \operatorname{Im}(z_2^0)| < \operatorname{Re}(z_1) - \operatorname{Re}(z_1^0)\}.$$

**COROLLARY 2.1:** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  diverges for  $\xi = \xi_0$  then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  diverges in the region

$$\{\xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}({}^1 \xi) < \operatorname{Re}({}^1 \xi_0) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}({}^2 \xi) < \operatorname{Re}({}^2 \xi_0)\}$$

$$= \{\xi \in C_2 : x_1 + x_4 < x_1^0 + x_4^0 \text{ and } x_1 - x_4 < x_1^0 - x_4^0\}$$

or equivalently in the region

$$\{\xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_1^0) \text{ and } |\operatorname{Im}(z_2) - \operatorname{Im}(z_2^0)| > \operatorname{Re}(z_1) - \operatorname{Re}(z_1^0)\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.3:** The Bicomplex Dirichlet series  $f(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n \xi}$  converges in the region

$$R = \{\xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}({}^1 \xi) > \sigma_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}({}^2 \xi) > \sigma_2\}.$$

### 3. AN INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF BICOMPLEX DIRICHLET SERIES:

#### DEFINITION 3.1:

Let  $[a, b]$  be an interval in  $C_0$ . A curve  $C$  in  $C_2$  is a mapping  $\zeta: [a, b] \rightarrow C_2$ . The trace of  $C$  is the set  $\{ \zeta(t) \in C_2 : t \in [a, b] \}$ .

**THEOREM 3.1<sup>15</sup>** : Let  $\phi: X \rightarrow C_2$  be a continuous function, and let  $\gamma$  be a curve defined by mapping  $\zeta: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ . If  $\gamma$  has continuous derivative  $\zeta': [a, b] \rightarrow C_2$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} \phi(\zeta(t)) d\zeta(t) = \int_a^b \phi[\zeta(t)] \zeta'(t) dt$$

#### BICOMPLEX INTEGRALS AND THE IDEMPOTENT REPRESENTATION:

Let  $X$  be domain in  $C_2$  and let  $f: X \rightarrow C_2$ ,  $f(\zeta) = {}^1f({}^1\zeta)e_1 + {}^2f({}^2\zeta)e_2$  be a holomorphic function. Let  $\gamma$  be a curve  $\zeta(t) = z_1(t) + i_2 z_2(t)$ ,  $a \leq t \leq b$  whose trace is in  $X$ , so that  $\zeta(t) = {}^1\zeta(t)e_1 + {}^2\zeta(t)e_2$ , shows that there are curves  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , with traces in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively, such that

$$\gamma_1: {}^1\zeta = {}^1\zeta(t) \quad a \leq t \leq b$$

$$\gamma_2: {}^2\zeta = {}^2\zeta(t) \quad a \leq t \leq b$$

**THEOREM 3.2<sup>1</sup>**: Under the above mentioned notations and hypothesis, integrals of  $f$ ,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  exists on curves  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  respectively and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) d\zeta = \left[ \int_{\gamma_1} {}^1f({}^1\zeta) d({}^1\zeta) \right] e_1 + \left[ \int_{\gamma_2} {}^2f({}^2\zeta) d({}^2\zeta) \right] e_2.$$

#### DEFINITION 3.2<sup>15</sup>:

Let  $\xi = {}^1\xi e_1 + {}^2\xi e_2 \in C_2$ ,  $p = p_1 e_1 + p_2 e_2$ ,  $p_1, p_2 \in C_0^+$ .

We define

$$\Gamma_2(\xi) = \int_{\gamma} e^{-p} p^{\xi-1} dp$$

Where  $\gamma$  is a four dimensional curve in  $C_2$  and  $\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma_1(p_1)$ ,  $\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma_2(p_2)$  are component curves with traces in  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , such that  $\gamma = \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2$ .

We have obtained the following result regarding the region of convergence of Bicomplex Gamma function.

**THEOREM 3.3:** Let  $\xi = z_1 + z_2 i_2 \in C_2$  with  $\operatorname{Re}({}^1\xi) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}({}^2\xi) > 0$  then  $\Gamma_2(\xi)$  converges and  $\Gamma_2(\xi) = \Gamma({}^1\xi)e_1 + \Gamma({}^2\xi)e_2$ .

Moreover,  $\{ \xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}({}^1\xi) > 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}({}^2\xi) > 0 \} = \{ \xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}(z_1) > |\operatorname{Im}(z_2)| \}$ .

**PROOF:** By Def. 3.2 and Th. 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_2(\xi) &= \int_{\gamma} e^{-p} p^{\xi-1} dp \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \left( e^{-p_1} p_1^{1\xi-1} e_1 + e^{-p_2} p_2^{2\xi-1} e_2 \right) (dp_1 e_1 + dp_2 e_2) \\
 &= \left[ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-p_1} p_1^{1\xi-1} dp_1 \right] e_1 + \left[ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-p_2} p_2^{2\xi-1} dp_2 \right] e_2 \\
 &= \Gamma(1\xi)e_1 + \Gamma(2\xi)e_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, from the theory of the Gamma function of a complex variable, it is well known that the series  $\Gamma(s)$  converges in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ .

Therefore,  $\Gamma(1\xi)$  and  $\Gamma(2\xi)$  converge, respectively, for  $\operatorname{Re}(1\xi) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(2\xi) > 0$ .

Hence,  $\Gamma_2(\xi) = \Gamma(1\xi)e_1 + \Gamma(2\xi)e_2$  converges on  $\{ \xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}(1\xi) > 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(2\xi) > 0 \}$ .

Now let,  $\xi = 1\xi e_1 + 2\xi e_2 = z_1 + i_1 z_2$  and  $z_1 = x_1 + i_1 x_2$ ,  $z_2 = x_3 + i_1 x_4$

$$1\xi = z_1 - i_1 z_2 = x_1 + x_4 + i_1(x_2 - x_3) \text{ and } 2\xi = z_1 + i_1 z_2 = x_1 - x_4 + i_1(x_2 + x_3)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(1\xi) = x_1 + x_4 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(2\xi) = x_1 - x_4$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}(1\xi) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(2\xi) > 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 + x_4 > 0 \text{ and } x_1 - x_4 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 > -x_4 \text{ and } x_1 > x_4$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 > |x_4|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(z_1) > |\operatorname{Im}(z_2)|$$

Hence,  $\{ \xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}(1\xi) > 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(2\xi) > 0 \} = \{ \xi \in C_2 : \operatorname{Re}(z_1) > |\operatorname{Im}(z_2)| \}$ .

**THEOREM 3.4<sup>15</sup>:** Let  $\xi = 1\xi e_1 + 2\xi e_2 = z_1 + z_2 i_2 \in C_2$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) > |\operatorname{Im}(z_2)|$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_2(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1\omega)} e_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\omega)} e_2.$$

Let  $\mu_n = \log \lambda_n$  and  $\xi \in C_2$ ,  $p = p_1 e_1 + p_2 e_2$ ,  $p_1, p_2 \in C_0^+$ .

Where  $\gamma$  is a four dimensional curve in  $C_2$  and  $\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma_1(p_1)$ ,  $\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma_2(p_2)$  are component curves with traces in  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , such that  $\gamma = \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2$ .

**THEOREM 3.5:** Under the above mentioned notations and hypothesis,

$$\sum \alpha_n e^{-\mu_n \xi} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_2(\xi)} \int_{\gamma} p^{\xi-1} \left( \sum \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n p} \right) dp,$$

provided that  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) > |\operatorname{Im}(z_2)|$  and the series on the left is convergent.

**PROOF:** Let  $\xi = z_1 + i z_2 \in C_2$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) > |\operatorname{Im}(z_2)|$ . Then, by Th. 3.4,

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_2(\xi)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(^1\xi)} e_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(^2\xi)} e_2 \quad \dots (3.1)$$

Further due to idempotent techniques,

$$p^{\xi-1} = p_1^{^1\xi-1} e_1 + p_2^{^2\xi-1} e_2$$

$$\text{and } \sum \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n p} = \left( \sum ^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p} \right) e_1 + \left( \sum ^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p} \right) e_2$$

$$\text{Now, } p^{\xi-1} \left( \sum \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n p} \right) = p_1^{^1\xi-1} \left( \sum ^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p} \right) e_1 + p_2^{^2\xi-1} \left( \sum ^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p} \right) e_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\gamma} p^{\xi-1} \left( \sum \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n p} \right) dp \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \left\{ p_1^{^1\xi-1} \left( \sum ^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p} \right) e_1 + p_2^{^2\xi-1} \left( \sum ^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p} \right) e_2 \right\} \{dp_1 e_1 + dp_2 e_2\} \\ &= \left[ \int_0^{\infty} p_1^{^1\xi-1} \left( \sum ^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p_1} \right) dp_1 \right] e_1 + \left[ \int_0^{\infty} p_2^{^2\xi-1} \left( \sum ^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p_2} \right) dp_2 \right] e_2 \quad \dots (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Now by (3.1) and (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma_2(\xi)} \int_{\gamma} p^{\xi-1} \left( \sum \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n p} \right) dp \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^1\xi)} e_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(^2\xi)} e_2 \right] \\ &= \left[ \left[ \int_0^{\infty} p_1^{^1\xi-1} \left( \sum ^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p_1} \right) dp_1 \right] e_1 + \left[ \int_0^{\infty} p_2^{^2\xi-1} \left( \sum ^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p_2} \right) dp_2 \right] e_2 \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^1\xi)} \int_0^{\infty} p_1^{^1\xi-1} \left( \sum ^1 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p_1} \right) dp_1 \right] e_1 + \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^2\xi)} \int_0^{\infty} p_2^{^2\xi-1} \left( \sum ^2 \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p_2} \right) dp_2 \right] e_2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^1\xi)} \sum ^1 \alpha_n \int_0^{\infty} p_1^{^1\xi-1} e^{-\lambda_n ^1 p_1} dp_1 \right] e_1 + \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^2\xi)} \sum ^2 \alpha_n \int_0^{\infty} p_2^{^2\xi-1} e^{-\lambda_n ^2 p_2} dp_2 \right] e_2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^1\xi)} \sum \frac{^1 \alpha_n}{\lambda_n ^1 \xi} \Gamma(^1\xi) \right] e_1 + \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(^2\xi)} \sum \frac{^2 \alpha_n}{\lambda_n ^2 \xi} \Gamma(^2\xi) \right] e_2 \\ &= \left[ \sum \frac{^1 \alpha_n}{\lambda_n ^1 \xi} \right] e_1 + \left[ \sum \frac{^2 \alpha_n}{\lambda_n ^2 \xi} \right] e_2 = \sum \frac{\alpha_n}{\lambda_n ^\xi} = \sum \alpha_n \lambda_n^{-\xi} = \sum \alpha_n e^{-\mu_n \xi}, \quad [\because \mu_n = \log \lambda_n] \end{aligned}$$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am heartily thankful to Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Lovely Prof. Univ., Punjab and all staff of Govt. Degree College, Raza Nagar for their encouragement and support during the preparation of this paper.

## **REFERENCE**

1. Price G. B., "An introduction to multicomplex space and Functions" Marcel Dekker, 1991.
2. Luna-Elizarrarás M.E., Shapiro M., Struppa D.C., Vajiac A., "Bicomplex Holomorphic Functions: The Algebra, Geometry and Analysis of Bicomplex Numbers" Springer Int. Pub., 2015.
3. Srivastava R. K., "Certain Topological Aspects of Bicomplex Space" Bull. Pure & Appl. Math, 2008: 222-234.
4. Kumar J., "On Some Properties of Bicomplex Numbers •Conjugates •Inverse Modulii" Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Res. (JETIR), 2018;5(9):475-499.
5. Hardy G. H. and Riesz M., "The General Theory of Dirichlet Series" Cambridge University Press, 1915.
6. Titchmarsh E. C., "The Theory of functions" Oxford University press, 1960.
7. Apostol T. M., "Introduction to Analytic Number Theory" Springer, 2010.
8. Rochon D., "A Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function" Tokyo J. of Math., 2004; 27(2):357–369.
9. Kumar J., "A Study of Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function" M. Phil. Dissertation, Dr. B. R. A. Univ., Agra, 2006.
10. Srivastava R. K. and Kumar J., "A Note on Zeros of the Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function" Int. J. of Math. Sci. and Eng. Appl. (IJMSEA), 2008; 2(III):163 -172.
11. Srivastava R. K. and Kumar J., "A Note on Poles of the Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function" South East Asian J. Math. & Math. Sc., 2010; 9(1): 65-75.
12. Kumar J., "A Study of Bicomplex Dirichlet Series" Ph.D. Thesis, Dr. B. R. A. Univ., Agra, 2010.
13. Kumar J., "A Generalized Bicomplex Riemann Zeta Function" VSRD Int. J. of Tech. & Non-Tech. Res., 2015; VI (VII):193-197.
14. Kumar J., "Bicomplex Dirichlet Series" Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), 2018; 5(10):143-165.
15. Goyal S. P., Mathur T. and Goyal R., "Bicomplex Gamma and Beta Function" Rajasthan Acad. of Phys. Sc., 2006; 5:131–142.