

Research article

Available online www.ijsrr.org ISSN: 2279–0543

International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews

Natural Radioactivity Levels In Drinking Water of Dwellers Near East Coast of Odisha and Andhra Pradesh

N S Siva Kumar V^{1*} , Lakshmana Das N^1 and VidyaSagar D^2

¹Department of Electronics and Physics, GITAM Institute of Science, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam-530045, Andhra Pradesh, India ²Health Physics Unit (BARC), Nuclear Fuel Complex, Hyderabad, India Email: vnssk2007@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Drinking water from nearer to East coast fetched between Andhra Pradesh(AP) and Odisha collected from hand pumps and wells were analysed for gross alpha, gross beta and ²²⁶Ra concentration using Alpha and Beta counting systems. The gross alpha, gross beta activity and ²²⁶Ra activities ranging from 2mBq.I⁻¹ to 80 mBq.I⁻¹, <30mBq.I⁻¹ to 342 ± 31 mBq.I⁻¹ and 0.005 ± 0.001 mBq.I⁻¹ to 8 ± 1 mBq.I⁻¹ with a mean activities 6 ± 1 mBq.I⁻¹, 44 ± 5 mBq.I⁻¹ and 0.614 ± 0.05 mBq.I⁻¹ in Odisha. The gross alpha, gross beta activity and ²²⁶Ra activities ranging from <2mBq.I⁻¹ to 198 ± 30 mBq.I⁻¹ and 0.5 ± 0.04 Bq.I⁻¹ to 1.6 ± 0.24 mBqI⁻¹ with a mean activities 5 ± 1 mBq.I⁻¹, 342 ± 31 mBq.I⁻¹ and 1 ± 0.08 mBq.I⁻¹ in Andhra Pradesh(AP). The ingested effective dose due to Ra-226 were measured using consumption factor of adult in the study area and the values are found within the range even though overall annual effective dose also below the World Health Organization(WHO)reference dose level of $100 \ \mu Sv.y^{-1}$. The results show that consumption of groundwater may not pose any radiological health hazard to the public

KEYWORDS: Radioactivityconcentration, Gross alpha, Gross beta,Groundwater, Annual effective dose

*Corresponding author

N S Siva Kumar V

Research Scholar, Reg. No. 1264214203

Department of Electronics and Physics

GITAM Institute of Science, GITAM University

Visakhapatnam-530045 Andhra Pradesh, India

Email: vnssk2007@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Internal exposure of humans can be occurred through ingestion and inhalation due to ionizing radiation of radionuclides which were entered into the body from food and water. Small amount of radiation can damage internal organs and may pose a serious health risk. These radionuclides deliver radiation dose to the public, once they are ingested. Radiation levels are not same as compare before place to place and depend on the occurrence and distribution of radionuclides in earth's crust¹.

In case of water, it can change with respective their geochemical structure and geographical nature of the sources² such as soil and rocks, environment can contaminate through leaching and mobility of these radionuclides in earth crust. Naturally occurring radionuclides dissolve in water³ such as Uranium and Thorium series daughter particles due to their chemical properties from solid by precipitation as a result of natural process ⁴.Occurrence of these radionuclides in water can control by other factors such as hydro-geological conditions and geochemistry⁵. Hence generally water has naturally occurring radionuclides which emits alpha and beta particles are also responsible for total dose received by humans.²²⁶Ra is the second source of natural radioactivity in drinking water which effects bones and its absorbance in blood streams. The concentration of uranium influences the ²²⁶Ra activity and it is the parent particle of radon which may effects the health greatly. Hence the monitoring of drinking water is useful for identifying and trends f0r the radionuclide content.

The southern part of the Odisha state and the Northern part of the AP is the study area has different geological structure with rocks of Eastern Ghats releasing heavy minerals carried into sea through rivers, wind and tidal waves which are settled in beach⁶. The coast has largest and richest deposit like Rutile, Zircon and Monazite along East Coast of India. The study area includes total 77 km region of East coast nearly comprises Chatrapur, Odisha and Sompeta, AP and sampling locations were identified within a distance between 1-10 km from the coast. The area was covered 31locations of Odisha and 25 locations in AP, it includes coastal villages as showed in figure 1.



Figure 1: Selected locations of study area between Odisha and Andhra Pradesh

The present study aimed to assess the natural radioactivity levels in water sources and the estimation of annual effective dose due to intake since it represents dose received by the population due to intake. An attempt is made to study, environmental Radiation levels in dwelling near East coast of AP and Odisha compared with other parts in World.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

The Water Samples were collected from aquatic environment include mainly drinking water samples from bore wells and surface water samples as per the International Atomic Energy Agency(IAEA) protocol. These include tube well water, supply water, open well and river waters. Each 10 L water Sample were collected in pvc carboys and Physicochemical parameters (TDS and pH) were determined onsite using electronic probes and a portable multi-parameter instrument. Sample have been acidified through adding 3 N nitric acid to bring pH value 2 immediatelyin order to keep radionuclides in watermedium and to avoid adsorption on the container's wall.

The process of measuring gross alpha and gross beta is a better way and shortest way to estimate total dose in the form of alpha and beta radioactivity in the water. This process has convenience and can be used for daily monitoring rather to measure the concentration of individual radionuclide. Alpha counting system(Make: Electronic Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Model: PNC-Alpha, S.No-1416) and Beta counting system (Make: Electronic Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Model: PNC-1G, S.No-1409) were used for gross alpha and gross beta activity measurements in different water samples. The detectors were calibrated for α and β energies using by ²⁴¹Am and ⁹⁰Sr-⁹⁰Y standard sources respectively. Backgroundsof each detector were determined by counting empty steel planchet for alpha and Aluminum planchet for beta. Gross alpha and beta of each water sample was

prepared depending on their TDS(Total dissolved salt) and analyzed as per Indian standards IS19194 Part I & II.If TDS of the sample less than 100mg.I^{-1} , sample was counted directly through evaporating at 70°C temperature of 150 ml volume on planchet or used radio chemical separation method in case of TDS greater than 100mg.I^{-1} . The residue in the vessel was scraped and placed on a planchet and counted for each samples.

| Table 1: Gross alpha, gross beta, ²²⁶ Ra concentration and annual effective dose from the digestion of ²²⁶ | ⁶ Ra |
|--|-----------------|
| concentration values of water in Odisha | |

| S. No | Location | Latitude North | Longitude East | Gross alpha activity (mBq.l ⁻¹) | Gross beta activity (mBq.l ⁻¹) | Ra-226 activity (mBq.l- ¹) | Annual dose due to ingestion of Ra-226 (µSv.y ⁻¹) |
|----------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Girisola | 19 ⁰ 09'48" | 84 [°] 42'45" | 4 ± 0.4 | *BDL | 1.5 ± 0.11 | 0.31 |
| 2 | Chatipadar | 19 ⁰ 10'32" | 84° 42'10" | 9 ± 0.9 | 134 ± 11 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Sonapur | 19 ⁰ 09'49" | 84 [°] 42'43" | 4 ± 0.4 | BDL | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 0.25 |
| 4 | RamayyaPatnam | 19 ⁰ 14'32" | 84° 52'34" | 6 ± 0.3 | 245 ± 13 | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 5 | Chandanabad | 19 ⁰ 13'34" | 83° 43'52" | 3 ± 0.3 | 198 ± 20 | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 6 | Dhepanaupada | 19 ⁰ 10'13" | 84 [°] 48'52" | 4 ± 0.2 | 31 ± 3 | 1.5 ± 0.11 | 0.31 |
| 7 | Indrakhi | 19 ⁰ 12'32" | 84 [°] 49'45" | 5 ± 0.5 | BDL | 1.5 ± 0.14 | 0.31 |
| 8 | Tulu | 19 ⁰ 13'08" | 84 [°] 48'03" | 6 ± 0.6 | BDL | 0.75 ± 0.08 | 0.15 |
| 9 | Sasanpadar | 19 ⁰ 13'27" | 84 [°] 47'29" | 6 ± 0.5 | BDL | 1.4 ± 0.13 | 0.29 |
| 10 | Gunupur | 19 ⁰ 13'45" | 84 [°] 48'49" | 4 ± 0.4 | BDL | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 0.22 |
| 11 | Padmapur | 19 ⁰ 06'52" | 84 ⁰ 30'58" | 8 ± 0.7 | BDL | 1.5 ± 0.12 | 0.31 |
| 12 | Terapentho | 19 ⁰ 13'39" | 84 ⁰ 46'10" | 5 ± 0.3 | BDL | 2.1 ± 0.21 | 0.43 |
| 13 | New Golabandha | 19 ⁰ 11'31" | 84 [°] 43'41" | 6 ± 0.3 | BDL | 0.9 ± 0.09 | 0.18 |
| 14 | Gounju | 19 ⁰ 17'26" | 84 ⁰ 50'18" | 4 ± 0.4 | 38 ± 4 | 0.1 ± 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 15 | Gopalpur | 19 ⁰ 15'54" | 84° 52'20" | 2 ± 0.2 | 259 ± 13 | 3.5 ± 0.32 | 0.72 |
| 16 | Haripur | 19 ⁰ 15'10" | 84 ⁰ 55'35" | 5 ± 0.3 | 80 ± 8 | 1.2 ± 0.11 | 0.25 |
| 17 | Upulaputi | 19 ⁰ 03'25" | 84 [°] 29'59" | 8 ± 0.6 | 220 ± 18 | 3 ± 0.24 | 0.61 |
| 18 | Kalipalli | 19 ⁰ 18'44" | 84° 55'32" | 5 ± 0.3 | BDL | 1 ± 0.1 | 0.20 |
| 19 | Mandiapalli | 19 ⁰ 07'41" | 84 ⁰ 40'14" | 7 ± 0.7 | BDL | 0.005 ± 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 20 | Basanaputti | 19 ⁰ 18'18" | 84 [°] 55'56" | 4 ± 0.3 | BDL | 1.5 ± 0.15 | 0.31 |
| 21 | Badaputi | 19 ⁰ 18'10" | 84° 56'25" | 7 ± 0.7 | 133 ± 12 | 0.3 ± 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 22 | P.Lakshmipur | 19 ⁰ 18'22" | 84 [°] 55'13" | 2 ± 0.2 | 56 ± 6 | 1.1 ± 0.09 | 0.22 |
| 23 | Lakshmipur | 19 ⁰ 39'45" | 84 [°] 24'21" | 8 ± 0.4 | 35 ± 4 | 1 ± 0.1 | 0.20 |
| 24 | Bhaginapeta | 19 ⁰ 19'10" | 84 [°] 56'34" | 7 ± 0.7 | 67 ± 7 | 0.87 ± 0.05 | 0.18 |
| 25 | S.Payakapada | 19 ⁰ 54'47" | 83° 30'10" | 2 ± 0.2 | BDL | 0.83 ± 0.09 | 0.17 |
| 26 | Chamakhandi | 19 ⁰ 20'18" | 84 ⁰ 55'58" | 7 ± 0.7 | 133 ± 14 | 0.2 ± 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 27 | Mattikhalo | 19 ⁰ 18'47" | 84 ⁰ 56'57" | 80 ± 4 | 78 ± 7 | 0.3 ± 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 28 | Arjyapalli | 19 ⁰ 09'37" | 84 ⁰ 58'35" | 7 ± 0.5 | BDL | 1.6 ± 0.16 | 0.33 |
| 29 | Chandrapada | 19 ⁰ 19'47" | 84 [°] 57'00" | 4 ± 0.4 | 106 ± 8 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 0.25 |
| 30 | Mayapatna | 19 ⁰ 20'16" | 84 [°] 56'51" | 4 ± 0.2 | 342 ± 31 | 0.9 ± 0.09 | 0.18 |
| 31 | Chatrapur | 19° 21'00" | 84 ⁰ 58'48" | 5 ± 0.3 | 189 ± 16 | 0.7 ± 0.06 | 0.14 |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| | Minimum | | | 2 ± 0.2 | BDL | 0.005 ± 0.01 | 0.01 |
| | Maximum | | | 80 ± 4 | 342 ± 31 | 3.5 ± 0.32 | 0.72 |
| | GM | | | 6 ± 1 | 44 ± 5 | 0.61 ± 0.07 | 0.12 |
| | SD | | | 14 ± 1 | 91 ± 8 | 0.81 ± 0.08 | 0.17 |

Below Detectable Limit(BDL)

Estimation of ²²⁶Rain water samples are also done using radiochemical separation method as suggested standard protocol BARC/HSEG/PROTOCOL/TECDOC-001.Four liters of water samples

were filtered through what man / Millipore filters (< 0.7μ m pore size) and evaporated to dryness and evaporated again after adding 5 to 10 ml of 4N concentrated nitric acid to dissolve the residue to bring the sample in nitrate form. The residue is dissolve in water and 2.5 mg of Ba carrier and 200 mg lead carrier were added. Sulfates were precipitated with 1:1 sulfuric acid and centrifuged to discardthe supernate. Dissolve the sulfate in 10% ammonical EDTA solution while keeping it on a water bath. Glacial acetic acid was added and only barium sulfate was precipitated. The BaSO₄precipitate was transferred on steel planchette and dried under an infrared lamp. ²²⁶Ra activity was done by counting of sample followed by ZnS(Ag) alpha counting system. The gross alpha, gross beta and ²²⁶Ra activities were measured in water samples are showed in tables 1 and 2 in two different regions.

| | | Latitude | Longitude | Gross alpha | Gross beta | Ra-226 | Annual dose due to |
|------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| S.No | Location | North | East | activity | activity | activity | ingestion of |
| | | (DDM) | (DDM) | $(\mathbf{mBa},\mathbf{l}^{-1})$ | (mBq.l ⁻¹) | (mBq.l- ¹) | Ra-226 |
| | | | 0 | (1112)(111) | | | (µSv.y ⁻¹) |
| 1 | Mulapalam | 18° 53'10" | 84° 34'38" | 7 ± 0.35 | BDL | 1.1 ± 0.08 | 0.22 |
| 2 | Baruva | 18° 53'05" | 84° 35'01" | 4 ± 1.2 | 35 ± 3.5 | 1.4 ± 0.13 | 0.29 |
| 3 | Kurlam | 18° 54'06" | 84 [°] 33'08" | 6 ± 0.9 | BDL | 1 ± 0.15 | 0.20 |
| 4 | Baruvapeta | 18° 56'34" | 84° 35'05" | 4 ± 0.2 | BDL | 0.79 ± 0.04 | 0.16 |
| 5 | Gollagandi | 18° 55'22" | 84 ⁰ 37'18" | 5 ± 0.25 | 26 ± 1.3 | 0.8 ± 0.08 | 0.16 |
| 6 | Rushikudda | 18° 56'28" | 84 ⁰ 38'24" | 6 ± 0.42 | BDL | 1.4 ± 0.21 | 0.29 |
| 7 | Kuttuma | 18° 56'04" | 84 ⁰ 36'49" | 7 ± 0.63 | BDL | 1.34 ± 0.07 | 0.27 |
| 8 | Sompeta | 18° 56'44" | 84 [°] 34'56" | 2 ± 0.2 | BDL | 1.27 ± 0.06 | 0.26 |
| 9 | Manikyapuram | 18° 57'06" | 84 [°] 37'52" | 2 ± 0.16 | BDL | 0.82 ± 0.04 | 0.17 |
| 10 | Balliputtuga | 18° 58'38" | 84 ⁰ 39'17" | 4 ± 0.28 | BDL | 0.97 ± 0.05 | 0.20 |
| 11 | Kusumpuram | 18° 58'01" | 84 ⁰ 38'44" | 6 ± 0.72 | BDL | 1.18 ± 0.08 | 0.24 |
| 12 | Kanchili | 18° 58'51" | 84 [°] 34'55" | 8 ± 0.48 | BDL | 1.2 ± 0.11 | 0.25 |
| 13 | Borivanka | 18 ⁰ 58'59" | 84 [°] 40'01" | 5 ± 0.35 | BDL | 1.6 ± 0.24 | 0.33 |
| 14 | Varakha | 18 ⁰ 59'15" | 84 ⁰ 39'06" | 6 ± 0.9 | BDL | 1.5 ± 0.11 | 0.31 |
| 15 | Bejjiputtuga | 18 ⁰ 59'18" | 84° 40'23" | BDL | 31 ± 2.8 | 1.3 ± 0.12 | 0.27 |
| 16 | Jagathi | 18 ⁰ 59'56" | 84 [°] 41'04" | 6 ± 0.84 | BDL | 1.12 ± 0.11 | 0.23 |
| 17 | Kaviti | 19 ⁰ 00'33" | 84 ⁰ 41'18" | 4 ± 0.28 | BDL | 0.67 ± 0.05 | 0.14 |
| 18 | Putiyadala | 19 ⁰ 01'42" | 84 [°] 42'17" | 5 ± 0.4 | 133 ± 6.6 | 0.87 ± 0.04 | 0.18 |
| 19 | Kapasakuddi | 19 ⁰ 01'17" | 84 [°] 43'13" | 8 ± 0.72 | 198 ± 19.8 | 1.1 ± 0.11 | 0.22 |
| 20 | Rajapuram | 19 ⁰ 02'36" | 84 [°] 40'01" | 6 ± 0.42 | BDL | 1.3 ± 0.07 | 0.27 |
| 21 | Chandiputtuga | 19 ⁰ 02'57" | 84 [°] 40'54" | 3 ± 0.45 | BDL | 1.6 ± 0.16 | 0.33 |
| 22 | Nelavanka | 19 [°] 02'21" | 84 [°] 43'20" | 7 ± 0.84 | BDL | 1.2 ± 0.18 | 0.25 |
| 23 | Baliyaputtuga | 18° 58'29" | 84 [°] 34'19" | 5 ± 0.8 | 67 ± 4.7 | 0.9 ± 0.05 | 0.18 |
| 24 | Kesapuram | 19 ⁰ 04'17" | 84 [°] 42'26" | 9 ± 1.62 | BDL | 1 ± 0.05 | 0.20 |
| 25 | Burjapadu | 19 ⁰ 03'24" | 84 ⁰ 43'38" | 9 ± 0.18 | BDL | 1.1 ± 0.08 | 0.22 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Minimum | | | BDL | BDL | 0.67 ± 0.04 | 0.14 |
| | Maximum | | | 9 ± 3 | 198 ± 30 | 1.6 ± 0.24 | 0.33 |
| | GM | | | 5 ± 1 | 11 ± 1 | 1.11 ± 0.09 | 0.23 |
| | SD | | | 2 ± 0.12 | 45 ± 1.62 | 0.25 ± 0.05 | 0.23 |

 Table 2: Gross alpha, gross beta, ²²⁶Ra concentration and annual effective dose from the digestion of ²²⁶Ra concentration values of water in Andhrapradesh

Minimum Detectable Activity(MDA) of Alpha = 2 mBq.l^{-1}

Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) of beta = 30mBq.l-1

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From table 1, the gross alpha, gross beta activity and ²²⁶Ra activities ranging from $2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ to $80 \pm 8 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$, BDL(<30mBq.I⁻¹) to $342 \pm 31 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ and $0.005 \pm 0.001 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ to $8 \pm 1 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ with a mean activities $6 \pm 1 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$, $44 \pm 5 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ and $0.614 \pm 0.05 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ in Odisha. From table 2, the gross alpha, gross beta activity and ²²⁶Ra activities ranging from BDL(<2mBq.I⁻¹) to $9 \pm 2 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$, BDL(<30mBq.I⁻¹) to $198 \pm 30 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ and $0.5 \pm 0.04 \text{ Bq.I}^{-1}$ to $1.6 \pm 0.24 \text{ mBqI}^{-1}$ with a mean activities $5 \pm 1 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$, $342 \pm 31 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ and $1 \pm 0.08 \text{ mBq.I}^{-1}$ in Andhra Pradesh. The resultant values were compared with other regional values as given in table 3 and are comparable.

 Table 3:Comparison of gross alpha, beta and ²²⁶Ra activity concentrations found in drinking waters with regulations and literature value

| Region | Gross alpha activity (mBq.l ⁻¹) | Gross beta activity (mBq.l ⁻¹) | ²²⁶ Ra activity (mBq.l- ¹) | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| WHO ³ | 500 | 1000 | 1000 | | | | |
| Tunisia ⁸ | 48 - 94 | 45 - 430 | 2.0 - 67.0 | | | | |
| Sudan ⁹ | | | 8.5 - 16.5 | | | | |
| Iran (Guilan) ¹⁰ | 12 -115 | 23 - 332 | | | | | |
| Turkey (Siirt) ¹¹ | 50 - 5640 | 60 - 2760 | | | | | |
| Bangladesh (Dhaka) ¹² | 0.73 - 0.96 | 65.54 - 77.29 | | | | | |
| Nigeria ¹³ | 7-80 | 120 -980 | | | | | |
| India(Odisha) ¹⁴ | | | 0.2- 5.7 | | | | |
| India(Odisha)-Present study | 2 - 80 | <30 - 342 | 0.005- 8 | | | | |
| India(AP)- Present study | <2 - 9 | <30-198 | 0.5 -1.6 | | | | |

Resultant values of gross alpha, gross beta and 226 Ra activity concentrationswere showed in figure 2 & 3.



Figure2: Gross alpha, gross beta and Ra-226 activity concentrations indrinking wateratOdisha



Figure3: Gross alpha, gross beta and Ra-226 activity concentrations indrinking water atAndhrapradesh

CONCLUSIONS

Internal exposure due to gross- α , gross- β and ²²⁶Ra radionuclide in water were measured in Odisha and Andhra Pradesh and observed to be below the recommended guidelines values for drinking water quality by WHO(2008)500 mBq.1⁻¹, 1000 mBq.1⁻¹ and 1000mBq.1⁻¹. World Health Organization and ICRP has suggested a dose limit of 100 μ Sv.y⁻¹and 1000 μ Sv.y⁻¹due to ingestion of ²²⁶Ra in water and resulted mean values are within the limit. Hence, it can be concluded that the radioactivity levels of drinking water in the study area are much below the recommended limits and considered as safe for drinking.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to Board of Research in Nuclear Science(BRNS), Department of Atomic Energy(DAE), Mumbaifor their financial support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- 1. UNSCEAR(United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation). Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. United Nations ed., New York. 2000; E.77.IX.1
- ÖzlemSelçukZorer, HasanCeylan and MahmutDoğru. Gross alpha and beta radioactivity concentration in water, soil and sediment of the Bendimahi River and Van Lake (Turkey).Environ Monit Assess. 2009; 148 : 39–46.
- 3. WHO. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. In: 4th end Radiological quality of drinking water. World Health Organization, Geneva. 4th end2011; 203–218.

- EJMNguelem, EODarko, MM Ndontchueng et al. Assessment of Natural Radioactivity Level in Groundwater fromSelected Areas in Accra Metropolis.Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences. 2013;5(2): 85-93.
- Shashikumar TS, Chandrasekhar MS andParamesh L. Studies on Radon in soil gas and Natural radionuclides in soil, rock and ground water samples around Mysore city. International Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2011;1(5).
- PC Sahu and D Nandi.Studies on Geology and Mineral Resources of Ganjam District, Orissa, India.Int. Res. J. Earth Sci.2016; 4(6): 17-22.
- IAEA-TECDOC-1788. Criteria for Radionuclide Activity Concentrations for Food and Drinking Water. 2016;10-13.
- S Labidi and S Gharbi. Dose assessment to members of the pulic in Tunisia from intakes of some naturally occurring radionuclides in bottled mineral water. Int. J. Radiat. Res.2018; 16(3): 371-381.
- 9. Alfatih AA Osman ,IsamSalih, Ibrahim A Shaddad et al. Investigation of natural radioactivity levels in water around Kadugli, Sudan. Radiation and Isotopes. 2008; 66: 1650–1653.
- 10. AAbbasi and V Bashiry. Measurement of radium-226 concentration and dose calculation of drinking water samples in Guilan province of Iran. Int. J. Radiat. Res. 2016; 14(4): 361-366.
- Teg `in I `, Yolbas J, Acar O. Assessment of gross alpha and beta activity levels and element concentrations in spa waters from Siirt and Sirnak, Turkey. J RadioanalNucl Chem. 2017; 311(1):109–119.
- 12. Gado AA, Muthukumar M, Gwani M et al. Determination of gross Alpha, Beta radioactivity in sachet drinking water. Research Journal of Physical Sciences. 2018; 6(3): 1-7.
- 13. PradyumnaLenka, SK Sahoo, S Mohapatra et al. Ingestion Dose from ²³⁸U, ²³²Th, ²²⁶Ra, ⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs in Cereals, Pulses And Drinking Water to Adult Population in A High Background Radiation Area, Odisha, India. Radiation Protection Dosimetry. 2013; 153(3): 328–333.
- Mohanty, A. K., Sengupta, D., Das, S. K., Saha, et al. Natural radioactivity and radiation exposure in the high background area at Chhatrapur beach placer deposit of Orissa, India. J. Environ. Radioact. 2004; 75: 15–33.
- 15. S. Biswas, J. Ferdous, A. Begum et al. Study of Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Radio activities in Environmental Samples. J. Sci. Res. 2015; 7 (1-2): 35-44.
- 16. Abdu Ibrahim, DahiruDahuwa, Ibrahim Bello. Measurement of Gross Alpha And Beta Radioactivity In Ground Water From Some Bore Holes And Wells In Kaduna North Local Government Area Of Kaduna State.2016; 8(4): 92-99.

- Waleed M. Abdellah, Pelagia. Optimization Method to Determine Gross Alpha-Beta in Water Samples Using Liquid Scintillation Counter. Research Library Advances in Applied Science Research. 2017; 8(1):62-69.
- Akbar Abbasi, FatemehMirekhtiary. Gross alpha and beta exposure assessment due to intake of drinking water in Guilan, Iran, RadioanalNucl Chem. 2017;DOI 10.1007/s10967-017-5493-6.
- 19. Rafat Amin M andPelagia. Gross Alpha and Beta Activities and Trace Elements Levels in Drinking Water of Saudi Arabia.Pelagia Research Library Advances in Applied Science Research. 2017; 8(1):62-69.
- 20. Ferdous J, Begum A, Sharmin NJ et al. Study of gross alpha and gross beta activity in bottled water in Dhaka Cityof Bangladesh. Asian J Water Environ Polluted. 2016;13(1):59–64.